HARDING TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MINUTES REGULAR MEETING FEBRUARY 18, 2021 7:30 PM

CALL TO ORDER AND STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The Board Chair, Mr. Flanagan called the regular meeting of the Board of Adjustment to order at 7:30 and announced that adequate notice of the meeting had been made in accordance with the New Jersey State Open Public Meetings Act and State Executive Order 103.

<u>ROLL</u>

Ms. Taglairino called the roll. It went as follows:

Mr. Cammarata	Present	Mr. Newlin	Present	Mr. Maselli	Present
Mr. Addonizio	Present	Ms. Sovolos	Present	Mr. Boyan	Present
Mr. Rosenbaum	Present	Mr. Symonds	Present	Mr. Flanagan	Present

REGULAR MEETING

MINUTES

Mr. Flanagan made a motion to approve the January 21, 2021 minutes as written. It was seconded by Ms. Sovolos. On a voice vote all eligible members voted to approve the January 21, 2021 minutes.

ADMINISTRATIVE—Mr. Flanagan

Mr. Newlin discussed a previously created zoning requirement chart and possible revisions to the chart.

Mr. Flanagan noted the he was preparing a spread sheet to provide monthly application fee and technical review information to the Board.

The Board discussed the current Variance Application Checklist and is making the recommendation to the Township Committee to change its criteria by resolution instead of by ordinance.

<u>RESOLUTIONS</u>—Mr. Flanagan

BOA Resolution 04-2021 Do Not Exceed Limit for Gary Hall for 2020

Mr. Flanagan made a motion to approve BOA Resolution 04-2021 Do Not Exceed Limit for Gary Hall for 2020. It was seconded by Ms. Sovolos. On a voice vote all but Mr. Addonizio voted yes to approve the resolution. Mr. Addonizio abstained.

OLD BUSINESS

Application BOA# 17-18New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless
8 Millbrook Road, B17/L1, PL Zone
Applicant requesting variance relief for use, per NJSA 40:55D-
70(d) for a cell tower.

Presenting:

Richard Schneider, Attorney Frances Boshulte, RF Manager Dr. Eisenstein, RF Specialist Mr. Mlenak is acting Board Attorney for this application. Robert Simon is an objecting attorney for this application. Mr. Barree, a Planner from Heyer Gruel and Associates was in attendance for Ms. Mertz, the Board Planner.

There was a break hearing this application from 9:55 to 10:05.

Ms. Taglairino called the roll for the Board Members after the break and the following were present:

Mr. Boyan, Mr. Newlin, Mr. Flanagan, Mr. Maselli, Mr. Rosenbaum, Mr. Addonizio Mr. Symonds, Mr. Cammarata, and Ms. Sovolos.

The application is carried to the March 18, 2021 meeting with no further notice.

A transcript of the testimony is appended to the minutes.

NEW BUSINESS

Application BOA# 15-21

Joseph Ginarte 2 Welsh Lane, B49/L42, R-1 Zone Applicant is requesting variance relief for a side and rear setback and lot coverage and building coverage per N.J.S.A. 40:55D-70(c).

Presenting: Robert Dunn, Attorney Joseph Ginarte, Owner

Mr. Hall swore in Mr. Ginarte for testimony.

- Mr. Ginarte stated that he was the property owner since 1990. The existing pool was built at that time and was fully compliant at 14% lot coverage where now 10% is permitted.
- Mr. Ginarte is requesting relief to rebuild the pool in the same location.

The Board requested a Site Inspection of the property for February 27, 2021 at 9:00 am with an inclement weather date of March 13, 2021 at 9:00 am.

Application BOA# 13-20	Gregory & Christine Ihnken
rippiloution Dorin 13 20	Tempe Wick Road, B34/L3, RR-Zone
	Applicant is requesting variance relief for a side setback,
	building area per N.J.S.A. 40:55D-70(c) and relief for an
	accessory residence per N.J.S.A. 40:55D-70(d).

Presenting: David Scalera, Attorney Richard Schommer, Engineer Art Palumbo, Architect Gregory and Christine Ihnken, Owners

Mr. Hall swore in Mr. Palumbo for testimony.

- Mr. Palumbo presented proposed plans for a barn renovation and addition for an existing barn.
- Mr. Palumbo presented plans for a first floor accessory dwelling with a living area, study, bedroom, bathroom and washer and dryer with an addition of a solarium for and entryway adjoining the existing silo. The proposed residence is 1,185 square feet in area.
- Mr. Palumbo presented plans for a second floor game room and storage room with a hall bathroom with a shower and changing area.
- Mr. Flanagan noted that his main concern about this project is the density on a property that does not meet the six acre zone requirement.
- Mr. Fox noted that there is not environmental impact and the property septic had been expanded.
- Mr. Rosenbaum noted that he was in favor of preserving the structure but struggled with the acreage requirement.
- Mr. Kirby, a Harding resident, spoke in favor of the project.
- Mr. Boyan raised concerns that the plan had two full baths and could easily be converted into a two apartment structure.

This application is carried until the March 18, 2021 meeting with no further notice.

OTHER BUSINESS None

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Flanagan adjourned the meeting at 11:15

Lori Taglairino

Respectfully submitted by Lori Taglairino, Board of Adjustment Secretary

HARDING TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT RESOLUTION BOA#04-2021 AUTHORIZING INCREASE IN CONTRACT AMOUNT FOR PROFESSIONAL LEGAL SERVICES –BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT ATTORNEY- GARY HALL OF MCCARTER ENGLISH FEBRUARY 18, 2021

WHEREAS, the Board of Adjustment previously contracted Gary Hall, Board of Adjustment Attorney, of the firm McCarter English for legal services on January 16, 2020 via resolution BOA#3-2020 for an amount not to exceed \$9,500.00; and

WHEREAS, Resolution BOA# 06-2020, dated September 30, 2020 amended the do not exceed limit to \$15,000.00; and

WHEREAS, due to additional legal services now anticipated for 2020, there exists a need to increase the contract amount by an additional \$2,000.00; and

WHEREAS, the cost of this contract is not anticipated to exceed \$17,500; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Adjustment recommends that the total contract amount be increased to \$17,000.00; and

WHEREAS, the Chief Financial Officer has certified that additional funds are available and shall be encumbered through account #01-2020-

1185-0185-2-00035 in an amount not to exceed \$17,000.00.

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Adjustment of the Township of Harding in the County of Morris and State of New Jersey that an amendment to the existing contract with McCarter English to increase the contract amount by \$2,000.00 for a total not to exceed amount of \$17,000.00 be and is hereby approved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Adjustment be and are hereby authorized to execute an appropriate Amendment to Contract on behalf of the Board to reflect the increased contract amount authorized by this resolution; and **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that notice of this contract will be published as required by law within ten days of the passage of this Resolution. **DATED**: February 18, 2021

For: Flanagan, Newlin, Rosenbaum, Maselli, Sovolos, & Symonds Abstain: Addonizio

Page 1

HARDING TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

IN THE MATTER OF:	:	TRANSCRIPT
CACE, DOAH 17 10	:	
CASE: BUA# 17-10	•	OF
New York SMSA Limited Partnership	; ;	
d/b/a Verizon Wireless	:	PROCEEDINGS
8 Millbrook Road	:	
Block 17; Lot 1; PL Zone	:	
	X	

Thursday, February 18, 2021 Zoom Remote Videoconference Commencing at 7:40 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MIKE FLANAGAN, Chairman ALF NEWLIN DAN MASELLI HUGH SYMONDS ELIZABETH SOVOLOS THOMAS ADDONIZIO ARIC ROSENBAUM GEORGE BOYAN MICHAEL CAMMARATA

ALSO PRESENT:

LORI TAGLAIRINO, Board Administrator PAUL D. FOX, P.E., CME JOHN BARREE, PP, AICP DR. BRUCE EISENSTEIN, Cellular Communications Consultant

> PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE Certified Shorthand Reporters (908) 642-4299

Page 2 A P P E A R A N C E S: GREENBAUM, ROWE, SMITH & DAVIS, LLP BY: STEVEN G. MLENAK, ESQUIRE Attorneys for the Board VOGEL, CHAIT, COLLINS & SCHNEIDER, ESQUIRES BY: RICHARD SCHNEIDER, ESQUIRE Attorneys for the Applicant HEROLD LAW, PA BY: ROBERT F. SIMON, ESQUIRE Attorneys for the Objectors: SGSL, LLC; Harsh and Nina Bansal; Michael and Susan Koeneke; David and Eunice Conine; Brian and Christina McKittrick; Livio Saganic and Christel Engel; James M. Carifa and Sarah G. Conine; Ted Cotton

Page 3 INDEX WITNESS: PAGE 4 FRANCES BOSCHULTE..... 7 ΕΧΗΙΒΙΤS 9 IN EVD. DESCRIPTION PAGE 10 No Exhibits marked NO PUBLIC MEMBERS ARE SWORN

Page 4 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. 1 I think 2 that's it for the housekeeping. And I'll repeat it 3 just in case anyone joined late. 4 The Agenda for tonight we're going to hear 5 Verizon first. We expect that will go for probably around two hours. Maybe a little bit under. We are 6 7 then going to hear the Ginarti application. And then finally we are going to hear the Inkin application. 8 So 9 if you were here for something other than Verizon you may want to come back a little bit later, probably in 10 11 about two hours from now. 12 All right. So with that said, Mr. 13 Schneider, welcome back. Mr. Simon, welcome back. Ι hope you're both well. 14 15 MR. HALL: And I'll hand the torch off to 16 Mr. Mlenak. And I did, as I think I mentioned already, 17 Mr. Fox agreed to send me a text when you want me back. 18 I'm not going anywhere. So I will be back when you 19 finish Verizon for the night. 20 MR. MLENAK: Thanks, Gary. 21 Thank you, Gary. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okav. 22 SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mike, you also want 23 to note that the Planner is being represented by 24 another member of Heyer, Gruel for this evening. 25 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Yes. Where is

Page 5 our other member of Heyer, Gruel today? 1 2 MR. BARREE: I'm here, Chairman. 3 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Barree? MR. BARREE: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you for joining 5 Yes. McKinley and I spoke earlier. She said she 6 us. will be with us a little bit later this evening. She 7 had another obligation she could not change, but we're 8 9 happy to have you here, so thank you. MR. BARREE: Thank you for having me, and 10 11 nice to see you. 12 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Schneider, as I 13 recall, we are at the point where we had heard the RF testimony or the extended RF testimony, and at this 14 15 point Mr. Simon was going to begin his 16 cross-examination. Am I correct in that recollection? 17 MR. SCHNEIDER: That's correct, Mr. 18 Chairman. My recollection is consistent with yours 19 with the understanding that the cross-examination, since Mr. Simon had previously completed his 20 21 cross-examination the cross-examination should be 22 limited to those items testified to by Ms. Boschulte at 23 the January 21st hearing. 24 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. That sounds right to me. Mr. Simon, does that sound fair to you as well? 25

Page 6 MR. SIMON: Well, I don't think it was just 1 2 the January meeting. I believe it was also inclusive 3 of the meeting prior to that. So whatever I have not had an opportunity to cross-examine her on in terms of 4 testimony or submitted material that's what I intend to 5 cover tonight. Nothing repetitive. 6 7 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Steve, is that -- and I don't recall where we ended off the last 8 9 time. 10 MR. MLENAK: I'm trying to recall. Rob, there was additional testimony after you completed two 11 12 meetings ago? 13 MR. SIMON: Yes. Frances started in with some testimony. There were some questions from the 14 15 Board. And then I didn't question her at that moment. 16 And then she came back -- hi Frances. I see you there. 17 She came back I think with her report in January, and 18 then testified to that report in January. And then 19 that's what I intend -- those items that she covered 20 it's really mostly the January meeting, frankly. That's what I'm going to be devoting my 21 22 cross-examination to. 23 MR. MLENAK: Yes. You provided with what 24 you just said that your cross-examination is going to be limited to the additional testimony after you 25

Page 7 previously completed your cross-examination. 1 2 MR. SCHNEIDER: Absolutely correct. 3 MR. MLENAK: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. With that said, 4 Mr. Schneider, anything else before Mr. Simon begins? 5 MR. SCHNEIDER: No. I'd like to -- I know 6 you have matters behind us on the Agenda so I'd like to 7 get started and hopefully move it along. 8 9 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Mr. Simon, 10 the floor is yours. 11 MR. SIMON: Thank you. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll remind Ms. Boschulte 13 that she is still under oath. 14 FRANCES BOSCHULTE, having been 15 previously sworn, testifies as follows: 16 EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Ms. Boschulte, good evening. 17 Ο. 18 Α. Good evening. 19 Q. Can you hear me okay? 20 I can. Can you hear me okay? Α. 21 I can hear you great. Thank you very much Q. 22 for asking. 23 And I may be slipping and referring to you 24 either as Ms. Boschulte or Frances throughout my questioning; is that okay? 25

Page 8 1 Α. Yes. 2 Okay. Just want to check. Thank you. Q. 3 So Ms. Boschulte, to start off, you stated a number of times on the record at the last hearing 4 that the drive test you performed took place on March 5 3rd, 2020, but I believe that was actually the date of 6 7 your report where the drive test data was presented and that actually the drive test was conducted on 8 9 February 14th, 2020, over a year ago; is that accurate? Yes, that's accurate. 10 Α. 11 MR. SIMON: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to 12 clear the record on that. It was repeated a number of 13 times. 14 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 15 BY MR. SIMON: 16 Ο. And Frances, there has been no other drive 17 test conducted by you or PierCon since the 18 February 14th, 2020 date, correct? 19 Α. That's correct. 20 You are not aware of any drive test Q. conducted by Verizon or any other carrier for the gap 21 22 area in question prior or subsequent to February 14th, 23 2020, correct? 24 Correct. There were not. Α. 25 Q. And the data that you presented on Z-3

Page 9 through Z-8 that you recently testified to, that 1 2 numerical data was adjusted by you to account for RSSI 3 data that was converted to RSRP calculation, is that accurate? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο. And was it also adjusted to represent the 7 power level on the street? Not sure what you mean. 8 Α. In other words, is the calculation that is 9 Ο. 10 shown on Z-3 through Z-8 or the numbers that you're showing, is that the actual power level on the street? 11 12 Α. It's the power level that was taken by the 13 receiver on top of the vehicle that we were driving 14 around, so it is. There is, as indicated in the drive test report, that foliage factor, because the test was 15 taken in the Winter. 16 17 Ο. So that's the 7 dB foliage factor or correction that you put in for all the data shown on 18 your exhibit Z-3 through Z-8? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 Ο. So are the -- if we selected a number, 22 whatever the number is, if it shows on Z-3 through Z-8 as let's say negative 100, that reading was actually 23 negative 93 and that you added 7dB to that; correct? 24 25 That is correct. Α.

Page 10 And I apologize if this is an ignorant 1 Q. 2 question, but do you take that 7dB foliage factor 3 correction, do you do that before or after you convert 4 your data from RSSI to RSRP? 5 That was taken after the conversion. Α. 6 And then you also mentioned at the last Q. 7 meeting, and I may have wrote it down incorrectly, that you make an adjustment to what the cell tower would 8 9 provide in 4GLTE, is that what you said during your testimony? 10 11 Yes. The conversion includes a power Α. 12 adjustment. The CW test we only have a one watt 13 transmitter. The actual site, of course, the macro site will have more than one watt of power, of transmit 14 15 power. 16 Ο. So --17 Α. So these -- I'm sorry. 18 Ο. No. Go ahead. 19 Α. So the conversion calculation was provided 20 to Dr. Eisenstein for review and he accepted that 21 conversion. 22 Did you provide that to the Board? Ο. 23 I'm checking the report. I don't believe Α. 24 I have to double-check but I'm not 100 percent so. sure of everything that was submitted. 25

Page 11 MR. SIMON: Mr. Chairman, I would just ask 1 2 as a request that Ms. Boschulte supply the Board and 3 really to me directly through Mr. Schneider whatever that calculation or conversion that she's referring to, 4 5 please. 6 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Schneider, could 7 you supply those calculations to the Board and to Mr. Simon? 8 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: We'll be glad to do that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Thank you. 10 MR. SIMON: Thank you, Rich. 11 12 BY MR. SIMON: 13 Frances, could you describe though, Q. understanding that you're going to provide that to Mr. 14 15 Schneider, who's going to provide it to the Board and 16 myself, could you describe what that conversion just 17 generally consists of? 18 Α. Yes. Let me see if I can actually -- so it 19 consists of the difference in transmit power between 20 the CW and an actual base station. It also consists of 21 the difference between the antenna. Obviously, we're 22 not utilizing the same panel antennas that will be 23 provided at an actual macro facility. This was the 24 crane test, so utilizing only an omni antenna there is 25 a difference in gain, as well as the cable loss.

Page 12 Have you provided the actual raw readings 1 Q. 2 from that CW test to the Board? Α. 3 The raw readings from the RSSI before --Before you converted to RSSI --4 Ο. 5 Α. To RSRP? 6 Ο. Before you add the 7dB for the foliage, before you do the power adjustment to what the cell 7 tower would provide in 4GLTE? 8 9 No. Because it has no meaning. You have Α. to reference it to -- you have to convert it. As Dr. 10 11 Eisenstein was indicating before to see something in 12 meters and then in feet you have to do the conversion. 13 So what I provided was the RSRP for LTE. 14 Okay. As long as you provide that to the Q. Board so that we can take a look at that, thank you. 15 I'm going to move on. 16 In the areas of Z-3 that are colored in 17 green, you don't know how far away from the road that 18 you're showing as being covered there are there any 19 20 buildings, correct? Have you done that analysis? 21 Sorry. Repeat your question. Α. 22 Q. Sure. If you take Z-3 for example and you 23 show certain areas in green that presumably mean that 24 it meets the, your in-building, you know, negative 95 25 dBm RSRP; correct?

Page 13

A. Okay.

1

Q. Right. And have you done an analysis as to whether there are any buildings in the vicinity of where you're showing, in essence, green coverage in Z-3, just as an example?

A. The neg 95 that is the design threshold is a street level that takes into account, it's a design criteria that takes into account what the -- takes into account the margin so that you would have communication services if you were inside a building structure.

11 Q. I understand that, Ms. Boschulte. I'm 12 asking you whether you did any analysis as to whether 13 within those areas where you're showing green they're 14 actually buildings?

A. No. There isn't a need for me to do a specific analysis. The margin as in many link budgets give a --

Q. I didn't ask you whether there was a need.
I'm not trying to be argumentative. I'm asking you
whether you performed the analysis or not. That's all.
Did you?

A. The analysis of the fact that there could be anywhere between a 10 and 20 dB of attenuation inside a building structure.

25 Q. I understand. You've testified to that

Page 14 before. I'm not going back to that. I'm asking you 1 2 whether you -- first question --3 I did not physically go into buildings to Α. 4 see what the --I'm not asking you whether you went into 5 Q. buildings. 6 7 Α. Then I'm not sure what it is that you're asking. 8 9 My question is very simple. In the areas Q. where you did the CW test and you drove through, and 10 11 you believe that based on what you provided or what you 12 will provide to the Board that it meets the in-building 13 threshold. In those particular areas, whether it's along Blue or wherever, did you do any analysis to 14 15 ascertain whether there's any buildings in those areas? 16 Α. Yes. I identified areas where there were 17 building structures. 18 Ο. And did you -- how did you identify 19 building structures, did you write them down, did you 20 identify them any other way? 21 There are several ways that I identified Α. 22 building structures, one was through driving the area. 23 The second was through Google Earth. 24 So in terms of Google Earth, did you Q. provide any type of Google Earth data to the Board that 25

1 you reviewed as to buildings within those areas that 2 you drive tested?

I didn't supply a Google map, if that's 3 Α. what you're asking. I'm not sure. I think -- I mean, 4 I identified building structures during my testimony. 5 I'm asking you, did you record -- when you 6 Ο. 7 undertook your examination of this area, whether it was on February 14th, 2020, or another date, did you record 8 in any manner the location of any buildings in the 9 areas where you drive tested? 10 11 Yes. I recorded locations. Thev're Α. 12 mentioned in my reports of those building locations. 13 So if the -- you've submitted, I believe, Q. now three reports that your testimony tonight is that 14 15 the extent of your identifying buildings within the 16 coverage area in question are contained exclusively

17 somewhere within those three reports; correct?

18 A. Yes. They're in the reports. They're19 mentioned in the reports, right.

20 Q. So other than those three reports, do you 21 have other data that you have supplied or that you 22 intend to supply the Board as to the location of 23 buildings, whether they're residential or 24 nonresidential, within the areas that you drive tested? 25 A. I'm sorry. I really don't understand the 1 questions that you're asking.

Q. Ms. Boschulte, you are showing on your exhibits in green what you refer to as proposed reliable in-building coverage of negative 95 dBm RSRP; correct?

A. Yes.

6

25

Q. I'm asking you, what buildings are actually located within the areas that you are showing in green on Z-3?

There are no buildings on the street where 10 Α. you're able to drive, if that's what you're 11 12 specifically asking. The drive test can only be done 13 on the street. You can't drive onto people's properties. So initially what were submitted were 14 15 propagation maps, but then the question of the signal 16 levels and drive test came into question. So the drive 17 test was done. It's already been spoken to that the 18 propagation gives a view that the CW does not because 19 the drive test can only occur on the street; whereas, propagation helps provides a better picture of what 20 21 occurs between the streets in the residential areas.

Q. Have you done any analysis as to how far any buildings are from the roadways that you conducted a CW test on?

A. I have looked at the distance in some of

Page 17 the buildings, the distance from the houses from the 1 2 roads, yes. 3 Ο. And have you recorded that data in terms of 4 the distance of those buildings from the road anywhere? Only in my notes. 5 Α. 6 Have those notes been -- those notes -- I'm Ο. 7 sorry. Apologize. 8 Are those notes any part of the three 9 reports that you've submitted to the Board? I would have to go through the reports to 10 Α. 11 see if I called out any distances from residential houses from the streets. 12 13 Okay. But you know, as I ask you the Q. question you don't recall one way or the other right 14 15 now? 16 Α. I recall evaluating. I have some numbers 17 that I know. Certain driveways the concern, there are 18 very long driveways, and some of these driveways extend 19 more than 500 feet from the main roads. Some of them 20 as long as over a thousand feet that encompasses where 21 the residential houses are from the main road. 22 And have you identified in any of your Ο. 23 three reports -- just your recollection, of course --24 where those driveway -- residential driveways that 25 service homes are between 500 and a thousand linear

1 feet?

2 In my report I don't recall if I Α. 3 specifically called that out, but I can, you know, give 4 some examples of roads that I looked at and measured. 5 Q. Okay. So some of those specifically along 6 Α. 7 Pleasantville Road. The distance on Marino Drive and Wildlife Run, Wildlife Run was approximately around 8 9 five to 600 feet. There were other driveways along Village Road that extended over a thousand feet into 10 11 the resident -- toward the residential houses. 12 Do you remember where those were? Ο. 13 One I already mentioned which was Wildlife Α. Run that's off of Pleasantville Road and near Millbrook 14 15 Road. The other one that I looked at when I was 16 evaluating is -- it's called Featherbed Lane. 17 Ο. Any others that you can recall right now? 18 Α. Not off the top of my head, but I have been 19 through the process looking at the roads specifically 20 where the ones during my drive test route where it 21 indicated the signs actually said private. And I 22 noticed that to get to the end of some of those private 23 roads where there are residential housing it was over 24 500 feet. 25 And to the extent that you made a notation Q.

Page 18

1 of that you believe that that would be in one of your 2 three reports?

A. I don't believe -- I would have to really look. I don't believe other than the fact of me jotting down and making a mental note and notes on paper.

7 Ο. Okay. Let me move on. Just for clarification purposes, because I think there was some 8 9 confusion at the last meeting. Z-3 through Z-8, which includes the CW test 700 megahertz at 120 feet, that 10 11 assumes that the other sites in the area, including 12 Harding, Harding 2, Morristown 3 Reel, and Chatham 2, 13 that's all assuming that none of those sites are turned 14 on at all; correct?

A. It's not assuming. The Exhibits of Z-3 through Z-8 specifically are the collection of data from the CW test. It is not the recording of the signal strength from the existing Verizon Wireless network.

20 Q. Right. That's what I'm saying. It doesn't 21 show any -- it's as if none of those sites are in 22 existence; correct?

A. Correct.

24 Q. Thank you. And in Z-3 through Z-8, none of 25 those exhibits show the -- that BR site where the

Page 20 Verizon headquarters is that you refer to in your 1 2 dropped-call analysis; is that correct? 3 Α. That's correct. BR --Ο. Go ahead. 4 Yes, that's correct. 5 Α. And I went back and none of your prior 6 Q. 7 reports or testimony on this application identify a reference to BR, or I think it's the Basking Ridge 8 9 site; is that correct? That is correct. That's correct. 10 Α. 11 For the CW test the difference in coverage Ο. 12 between 120 feet -- and we're talking about, I'm sorry, it's 700 megahertz, Ms. Boschulte. For the CW test the 13 difference in coverage between 120 feet and 80 feet 14 15 mostly only affects the local residential streets; 16 correct? 17 Α. That's correct. 18 Q. And when I say the local residential 19 streets I'm not referring to the main streets which I'm 20 going to be referring to as either Glen Alpine, Blue 21 Mill, Lees Hill Road, Village Road or Millbrook Road. 22 Would you agree with that? 23 Yes. Α. 24 And Ms. Boschulte, have you done an Ο. analysis, even though you haven't provided it to the 25

Page 21 Board, as to what would happen with the coverage at 700 1 2 megahertz as the tower, the proposed tower that's at 3 the DPW site goes below 80 feet? So in other words, how much I guess fewer 4 linear feet of roadway do you lose as you dip -- if you 5 decided to reduce the height of the tower at the DPW 6 7 yard below 80 feet? Below 80 feet --8 Α. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: I guess the question is, Frances, have you done any analysis below 80 feet? 10 11 MR. SIMON: Thank you, Rich. 12 If you haven't done the MR. SCHNEIDER: analysis then you haven't done the analysis. 13 14 THE WITNESS: In my report I mention --15 sorry, were you referring to --16 BY MR. SIMON: 17 Ο. Let me rephrase it, and I think Mr. 18 Schneider makes a good point, that I did not see in any 19 of your reports, and I do not recall in any of your 20 testimony any analysis as to the coverage at 21 700 megahertz if you placed a tower at the DPW yard 22 with antennas that were lower than 80 feet in height; 23 is that accurate? 24 Α. Only at 80 feet. Right. So I know you haven't provided the 25 Q.

Board with any reports analysis or testimony at below -- at what would happen if there were antennas below 80 feet. So my follow-up question is, have you independently, even though you haven't provided it to the Board, done that analysis?

6 A. No, I have not evaluated lower than 7 80 feet.

And then let me move on then to some 8 Q. 9 questions regarding your -- the drop call or dropped transmission data that you referred to. Have you 10 reviewed any independent I quess peer-reviewed reports 11 or studies that determined what is a reasonable 12 standard of percentage of dropped data transmissions? 13 14 Α. Have I reviewed, I'm sorry, any reports? 15 Q. Yes. Any report or studies that does an 16 analysis and makes an independent determination as to 17 what a reasonable standard of dropped calls or dropped 18 data can be?

19 A. I've only, you know, read through research 20 and through -- based on what Dr. Eisenstein has 21 indicated that two percent has been an industry 22 standard.

Q. You mentioned last time that two percent has been an industry standard, but I'm asking you that forget about the industry for the moment, have you read

Page 23 any -- have you read any peer-reviewed report or 1 studies that makes that finding? 2 I've come across it a couple of times from 3 Α. reading, you know -- what do I want to say -- articles 4 5 that have been written about the two percent. Ο. And what article --6 7 Α. As a quality -- they refer to it as a quality of service. 8 9 And those recalls say what, that it Q. shouldn't be above two percent for dropped calls? 10 11 That they should stay below -- like two Α. 12 percent is a bench mark, so to speak, for the quality 13 of service. 14 Ο. And so do you recall what those articles 15 were that you read? 16 Α. No, but I'm sure I can find them again. 17 MR. SIMON: Okay. I would ask to the 18 extent, Mr. Chairman, that Ms. Boschulte can find those 19 articles if she can supply them. Thank you. 20 So, and just to be clear, and I think you Q. 21 referenced it before at the last meeting, that when you 22 talk in your report and your testimony about dropped 23 calls it's really dropped data transmissions; correct? 24 MR. SCHNEIDER: And dropped calls. 25 BY MR. SIMON:

Page 24 And dropped calls right. Go ahead? 1 Q. 2 Α. Go ahead. 3 No, no. Let me clarify the question. Q. So when you have presented your dropped call data, is that 4 5 comprised of both dropped transmissions, like on a text, as well as a dropped voice call that are mostly 6 7 data calls now? The dropped call includes all data 8 Α. 9 connections, which includes voice, which is VOLTE. So it includes voice, and do you have any 10 Q. data that breaks down from what you have submitted and 11 12 provided to the Board how much of that dropped data or 13 call is voice versus non-voice? I didn't request it, the separation of the 14 Α. 15 two. I have to look to see if the data that I was 16 supplied actually has that specific bucket included for 17 voice. I would have to separate it, but no, I don't 18 recall. 19 Q. So in terms of the fact that the data encompasses both voice calls and non-voice data 20 21 transmissions, if I have my three kids in the back seat 22 of my car, which I promise you in real life would never 23 happen anymore, but if it did many years ago, and each 24 kid is streaming a movie on their phone or their iPad

25 and each of their respective data connection would drop

1 that would constitute three dropped connections as 2 referenced on the excel spreadsheet provided to you by 3 Verizon; correct?

4 A. Correct. It's a connection that was made 5 and then lost. Correct.

Q. But you just don't remember right now
whether they actually broke it down between the voice
and the non-voice, or even within the non-voice if it's
broken down by, you know, texts, versus streaming a
movie, or music. Do you recall any of that?

11 A. The data that I provided does not 12 distinguish between what the data connection was used 13 for. So whether it was -- whether they were connecting 14 for a movie or a zoom call or whatever the case may be, 15 it's a connection that was made and was lost.

16 Ο. Ms. Boschulte, does the transmission, the 17 dropped transmission data that was provided to you by 18 Verizon, does that also reflect a situation where 19 someone's accessing a wireless connection from a home 20 or an office with a computer that has a built-in cellular, let's say, a wireless card or a USB device? 21 22 It's any smart device that's accessing the Α. 23 LTE network when the connection is made and if it's 24 lost it's pegged as a drop.

Q. And that's regardless of whether you're in

25

Page 25

Page 26 a home, you're in a building, you're driving, it 1 doesn't just settle? 2 3 Α. That's correct. And either prior to the last meeting where 4 Ο. you testified, or even subsequent to the last meeting, 5 have you reviewed any of the backup data used to create 6 the excel spreadsheet that was provided to you by 7 Verizon? 8 9 I don't know what you're referring to Α. No. as backup data. 10 11 Well, you testified, I believe, last time Q. 12 that they provided to you an excel spreadsheet? 13 That is correct. Α. And then you took the excel spreadsheet and 14 Ο. 15 you created your exhibit that you put forth in your 16 latest report? 17 Α. That's correct. 18 Q. But you have not seen the backup data that 19 created -- that led to the creation of that excel spreadsheet; correct? 20 21 Correct. I do not have access to the Α. 22 performance tool that they use to pull the actual 23 information from the network. 24 And so you haven't seen any data either Ο. where the overwhelming majority of the calls or data 25

Page 27 transmissions were not dropped; correct? That's the 1 2 good data, I guess? 3 Α. The total number of connections made, No. 4 that I do have. It's part of the data provided to show the difference to get the percentage, yes. 5 6 So, right. So you had that number, right? Ο. 7 You don't have the backup data, but you have the actual number, and that's what you did to calculate the 8 9 percentages, right? 10 Α. Correct. They have -- right. Yes. Well --11 12 Go head. I'm sorry. Did you want to say Ο. 13 something? Α. I should say -- I'm going to look at that, 14 15 but I believe the excel spreadsheet has the columns for 16 percentage, and has the columns of total number of 17 connections and the number that's dropped. 18 Ο. Okay. So you didn't do any then 19 independent calculation yourself then? You just took 20 the calculations that were done by someone in Verizon 21 and then you created an exhibit from that; correct? 22 Α. That's not correct. I do recall looking to 23 make sure I understood where the percentage numbers 24 came from, and to which buckets they came out of. So I did verify that the percentages did make sense. 25 That

Page 28

they did include the number of dropped failures,
 connection failures, and the total number of
 connections made.

4 Q. So you verified the percent calculation?5 A. Yes.

And again this may be an ignorant question, 6 Q. 7 but at what point does Verizon consider a data connection as being dropped? So is it actually losing 8 9 the connection where the user has to reinitiate the data connection, or can there be some, let's say, 10 11 interference with the transmission which causes delay 12 or freezing of the connection, and then it comes back before it actually, you know, fails, completely fails? 13

A. The connection loss is a connection that's lost. What you're indicating is some latency or lag time, but however it's still connected. So it's only when the connection has ended.

Q. Okay. During -- I'm assuming that in the past year or so that folks presumably have been using their smart phones more than ever because of COVID and the related state of emergency; is that a fair assumption?

A. It's fair.

Q. Have you reviewed any data from Verizonindicating whether or not that is the case?

Page 29 That there has been an increase? 1 Α. 2 Yes, Ma'am. Q. 3 I do have data that extends more than the Α. recent data provided, which I believe was from November 4 5 to --6 I guess my question or really curiosity is Q. 7 that, if you provided the November to December 2020 data, and how does that compare to the November to 8 9 December 2019 data. Have you looked at that? I have not looked at the 2019. What I did 10 Α. look at was from the start of the COVID, which I 11 12 believe was March of 2020 to maybe August or something. 13 I have to look back to see the date, but it more evaluated the year of 2020. 14 15 Q. But you have not done a comparison, nor 16 have you asked for the data from Verizon to do the 17 comparison to pre-pandemic times; correct? 18 Α. No. Not in the year 2019. No. 19 Q. And as you -- and as you have more users, 20 and again this is a little bit of an ignorant question admittedly, but as you have more users to the wireless 21 22 system my understanding is that the available power 23 sort of shrinks or becomes less available; correct? 24 Α. Yes. And a dropped data connection can be, you 25 Q.

Page 30 know, the user's fault, right, whether it's a dropped 1 2 phone or otherwise, the phone's fault or the network's 3 fault, correct, one of the three? 4 When you say user's fault, what --Α. So in other words --5 Q. What are you referring to? 6 Α. 7 So in other words, if I drop my phone, Ο. right, it's not that I'm making a call and all of a 8 9 sudden the call goes dead, I actually do something, you know, human error that results in losing the call. 10 The phone's fault is if my battery goes dead in my example, 11 12 and the network's fault is that I believe what you are 13 trying to show. Is that a fair, at least, summary? 14 Α. I don't see how a user can --15 Q. Let me go back. Okay. Can a phone case on 16 your phone that can block a cell signal from reaching 17 your phone's internal antenna; correct? 18 Α. Yes, can weaken the signal, yes. 19 Q. And the user -- because I do this from time to time -- can inadvertently block the internal antenna 20 while actually holding the phone which causes problems; 21 22 correct? 23 Yes. Your body can shield, yes. Α. 24 And then the user, I'll give myself as an Q. example, I can accidentally drop my phone, especially 25

Page 31 if I'm multi-tasking and trying to do a number of 1 things at once, right? And that can cause a data 2 transmission failure, right? Correct? 3 4 Α. I guess if you drop your phone. Right. And then I can also accidentally 5 Q. 6 disconnect whatever the data connection is, I hit the 7 wrong button or something happens? If you hit the wrong button and you 8 Α. 9 terminate the call then it's a termination, it's not a 10 drop. 11 But let's say I do something with my phone Q. 12 that results in the connection dropping. So for 13 example --14 Α. Yes, for example if you --15 Q. If I don't charge my phone and I probably 16 have a dead battery or a low smart phone or a computer 17 battery that can negatively impact the ability to hang 18 onto a cell signal; correct? 19 Α. I don't know for sure. I'd have to verify, 20 but I don't believe if your battery dies it's pegged as 21 a dropped call. 22 Ο. All right. But you don't know one way or 23 the other? 24 I don't know that for sure. Α. 25 Q. Okay. That's fair.

Page 32

All right, now, what happens -- there's 1 2 also circumstances where your SIM card, your subscriber 3 identity modular card which is needed to connect to the 4 carrier's network and identifies you and your activity on, for example, Verizon's network that could be 5 damaged also, right, that can be bent, that can be 6 7 chipped, it can be broken, right? That's possible, right? 8

9 A. Okay.

17

25

Q. Right. And then also I understand that you could have multiple applications on your phone that are running at the same time that are taking up space and memory that could result in a dropped data connection, right? Like if one of my kids has, you know, 20 or 30 background apps running simultaneously that it could result in a dropped data connection; correct?

A. In one of your applications?

18 Q. Yes. If I'm overloaded.

19 Α. Yes. If there's not enough bandwidth, yes. 20 Right. And in fact, if you don't also have Q. 21 updates that you install that can also result in a 22 dropped transmission data, correct, in some cases? 23 That I'm not sure about, but go Α. Okay. 24 ahead.

Q. And also -- all right. I'm going to leave
Page 33 that. I think I've made my point. 1 2 The dropped call data that you've presented 3 is just for 4G; right. 4 Α. Correct. And again, one of my many ignorant 5 Q. questions. Can an LTE drop be recorded when the 6 7 connection tries to drop down from 4G, let's say, to 3G? That could be a circumstance where you have a 8 9 dropped call? If there is weak signal and is 10 Α. Right. unable to complete its handdown or handover to another 11 12 cell, yes, it's a drop. 13 And in your report I believe when you're Q. talking about dropped calls or now we know they should 14 15 be called dropped data transmissions, that you say that 16 the dropped call performance data further, I think it 17 was something like further concludes poor service in 18 Harding Township toward the area of the proposed DPW 19 location; do you recall writing that? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Okay. And when you say "toward the area of Q. 22 the proposed DPW location," what do you mean by toward 23 the area? 24 So what I mean is, in evaluating, and again Α. you pointed out several scenarios that how a person 25

uses their phone in different ways. And again the 1 2 design threshold is to take into account, as it was 3 stated before, there's a margin. There's a margin for signal fading. So if you have your cell phone out or 4 if you have your cell phone in your pocket, or you put 5 your cell phone in the bottom of your book bag, or 6 7 you're standing behind a tree, or you're standing behind a refrigerator there are certain factors in your 8 9 environment that are going to attenuate the signal. So there is a level of margin to take those 10 fluctuations in the environment into account so that 11 12 you still have communication, an adequate signal remaining to have a communication. So in my report 13 when I'm referring to toward the proposed, you have 14 15 surrounding wireless facilities. Each of those 16 wireless facilities have a sector, an antenna that's 17 pointing toward the proposed location. The proposed 18 location is in the center.

Page 34

Q. Well, let me back you up there. Why would it be -- are these antenna sectors each pointing directly toward the DPW site?

A. If you actually look at the azimuths that are mentioned and you drew a line in the direction of those azimuths, yes, it's pretty close to that geographical area that I mentioned where you have the 1 triangle of Lees Hill Road and Sand Spring Road and 2 Glen Alpin Road and Village Drive, yes. It's all 3 toward in that geographical location.

Q. Have you provided any such diagram or
exhibit to show specifically what -- where those
azimuths are angled toward in relation to the DPW yard?

A. There isn't a diagram. Maybe that will be
helpful, but I did call out the site reference and the
azimuth reference.

Q. Well, you did do that, yes. I agree. I concur. But a dropped data connection can actually happen not necessarily as you're driving toward the DPW site, it could be as you're driving away from the DPW site; correct?

15 A. Well, if you're driving --

16 Ο. You can have a dropped data transmission if 17 you're driving away, right? Just like you can have an 18 updated transmission if you're driving parallel to the 19 antennas that you're calling out, you could, right? 20 You could drop a call anywhere between the Α. proposed site and the site that's transmitting. 21 I 22 think the point that I was making in my report is that 23 you have these surrounding wireless facilities all with 24 the sector pointing in the direction of the proposed, and if you look at the scanned data, if you look at the 25

Page 36 signal levels, the area where the sectors are pointing 1 2 shows an area of signal levels that are weaker than neg 3 95. They're in the areas --4 Ο. I know what the exhibits show. Can I finish? 5 Α. Go ahead. 6 Ο. 7 Thank you. Which in conjunction with the Α. dropped percentages gives an indication that the drops 8 9 are occurring in this area of weak signal strength, of signal strength that's weaker than the neg 95, 10 11 indicating that there's a problem in this geographic 12 area that neg 100 or neg 105 is not providing adequate 13 signal to maintain data connections. 14 Ο. All right. Where do these dropped data 15 connections actually take place, do you know where 16 precisely each of these data connections was dropped? No. I don't know precisely a latitude and 17 Α. 18 longitude location. 19 Q. And you don't know whether a dropped data 20 connection took place at neg 96 or even negative 106 or 21 negative 110, you don't have that data, it wasn't 22 provided to you by Verizon as to where the data 23 connection was dropped in relation to the area of 24 coverage; correct?

25 A. The actual geographical latitude and

Page 37 longitude location was not provided, but as I mentioned 1 2 what -- it does indicate that the drops are occurring in that direction of the proposed. Those sectors that 3 have the high dropped call percentages are providing 4 5 coverage in the vicinity of the proposed. So those signal strengths that are weaker than neg 95, those 6 7 areas it's showing that there's a problem. They're showing that the weak signal strength --8 9 But you're not -- you can have perfect Ο. coverage in an area of neg 95 and you can still have a 10 dropped call, correct? Right? 11 12 You can have a dropped call, yes, at neg Α. 13 95. Right. Where you currently show reliable 14 Q. 15 in-building LTE coverage it's even better than negative 16 95, correct? 17 Α. Yes, but it would be less. 18 Ο. Okay. But have you -- but you can't tell 19 me that the dropped calls are -- because we have 20 identified the locations of the dropped calls. And 21 many of them are right here and as opposed to right 22 over here. You're just basically taking the antenna 23 and having the recording done as to where there was a 24 dropped data transmission that that antenna had at one time; correct? 25

Page 38 Those antennas are the best servers in this 1 Α. 2 geographic location, and they have a high dropped call 3 percentage, not at the high band but at the low band. 4 The fact that they don't have a high dropped call at 5 the high band gives an indication that the high band 6 which we know covers close to the site where there's 7 going to be a stronger signal level, and then as you 8 move out you're going to switch down to the 9 700 megahertz. And it's the 700 megahertz that have the high dropped call rate, because you're further away 10 11 from the cell sites and you have a weaker signal 12 strength. 13 But you're the same distance from the cell Q. site. If you're a mile away from the BR site you're a 14 15 mile of way whether you're low frequency or a high 16 frequency; correct? 17 Α. A mile away did not show an indication of 18 having adequate signal strength at the high band. 19 Ο. So there were dropped calls at the high band? There weren't. 20 21 They're very low, showing that you're fine Α.

22 as you're closer to the site. The problem occurs when 23 you're moving further away from the site towards the 24 proposed.

Q. Now, you testified a number of times now

25

Page 39 over many months that what you're proposing to cover 1 2 with the DPW site is not going to be achieving the 3 closing of all the gaps in the Harding area; correct? 4 Α. That's correct. I'm sorry. I want to let you finish. 5 Q. Yes. That's correct. 6 Α. 7 And so what percentage of the dropped data Q. connections took place within the area that you're 8 9 going to be covering by the DPW cite versus outside that coverage area? 10 11 That I don't know, but --Α. 12 Ο. Go ahead. 13 Okay. I don't know specifically, but if Α. you look at the propagation of the proposed, and you 14 15 look at the, or the CW test of the proposed, the 16 coverage footprint covers at 120 feet covers a 17 significant amount of the area that has poor coverage. 18 Ο. When you say poor coverage, do you mean 19 weaker than negative 95 dBm RSRP; correct? 20 Α. Correct. 21 And do you have, I guess -- you show, and Q. 22 I'll get to the outdoor DAS exhibits that you provided 23 in a little bit, but where you have the installation of 24 those outdoor DAS nodes, did you have -- do you have dropped calls currently in those areas? 25

Page 40 We already established that there isn't any 1 Α. 2 latitude or longitude locations for the dropped call. 3 That the dropped call percentages on the sectors, the sectors cover the geographical area pointing toward the 4 proposed site. 5 6 Ο. And it's like -- and it's not just a line, 7 though, it's like a pie; right? Α. Correct. 8 9 So within -- so somewhere within that pie, Ο. right, that triangle as which you say is aimed toward 10 11 the DPW site, somewhere within that pie is where you 12 have the dropped call or dropped data transmission? 13 Correct. Α. 14 All right. But we don't know whether it's Ο. 15 right at the apex or as you're moving away and it's out 16 on the corners, we just don't know. Okay. I got it, 17 because you don't have the latitude and longitude. I 18 understand. 19 And besides the latitude and the longitude 20 and not knowing whether it's a voice versus non-voice, 21 do we have any idea whether it's broken down by, you 22 know, whether somebody is in a car versus outside, 23 versus in a building? 24 There's no distinction. Α. The fact that you can't maintain a connection, whether it's voice or data 25

Page 41 or wherever you are, is still a failure to maintain 1 2 that connection. Ο. And just for clarification, the RRC drop 3 number that's in your report, how does that relate to 4 the number of data connections per day? 5 6 Dose that show up on the corresponding 7 percentage sheet, and then I just need to do the math to back into it? 8 9 Let me make sure that I understand you. Α. I didn't see the total number of data 10 Q. connections per day. I just saw --11 12 Α. Yes. If you did the math --13 Okay. I'm not going to waste anyone's time Q. 14 with that then. 15 Are both the higher and lower frequencies 16 using the same antenna or the same azimuth? 17 Α. The azimuths are the same. 18 Ο. For both high and lower, right? 19 Α. Correct, yes. 20 And you know, you talked about the fact Q. 21 that we had very, very few dropped calls or dropped 22 data transmissions at the higher frequencies. I'm 23 assuming, and I think you stated it earlier, that it's 24 because most of the calls in this area operate at the lower frequencies, right, that propagate further, 25

Page 42 correct? 1 2 Α. Correct. All right. And in this area certainly of 3 Q. the state it's not a question of having inadequate 4 capacity in the gap area in guestion, right? 5 6 Α. Correct. This is not -- right. If you --7 Ο. Did you want to finish? I'm sorry. No. It's okay. 8 Α. 9 So from Morristown three, for example, how Ο. 10 many of those dropped calls at the, let's say at the higher frequencies, the 2100 megahertz band, occurred 11 12 in areas that you're going to be covering now with the 13 Harding DPW site at the 2100 megahertz band? Any? 14 Α. Repeat that again. Sure. And it may be an improper question, 15 Q. 16 you'll tell me it is. 17 For Morristown three you show a dropped call that's 2100 megahertz band, right? 18 19 Α. Right. 20 Q. So how many of those dropped calls at the 21 2100 megahertz band occurred in areas, if you know, that you're now going to be covering with the Harding 22 DPW site at 2100 megahertz? 23 24 Because it seems to me that because you 25 have such limited area of coverage at the higher

Page 43 frequencies you're not going to pick anything up at the 1 2 higher frequencies in terms of dropped data transmissions for Harding DPW? 3 Α. Correct. The --4 5 Q. I got it. The handoff is going to primarily occur for 6 Α. 7 the existing wireless facilities at the low band. Right. Okay. And then, did you I guess do 8 Ο. any type of analysis to determine whether you can, 9 let's say, adjust the electrical tilt of the antenna, 10 or swapping out the antennas at the existing sites for 11 12 a more effective antenna so to reduce the dropped call -- dropped transmission data? 13 14 The antennas that are on the existing Α. 15 sites, if you swap out an antenna -- I think what you're indicating is if there was a faulty antenna 16 17 where it wasn't performing --18 Q. No. I'm not talking about a faulty antenna, 19 I'm talking about whether you can adjust the antennas, you can get a more powerful antenna, or change the -- I 20 guess degree of the antenna, or the azimuth of the 21 22 antenna, to reduce the number of dropped data 23 transmissions. Have you looked into that? 24 I've looked into whether or not the tilts Α. on the surrounding sites have -- can play any role. 25

I've looked into the azimuths. I haven't looked to see 1 2 if there's a better antenna on the market that would 3 give it an extra dB or two, but what I have -- what I have evaluated so far is that changing the azimuth is 4 not going to give you an increase in signal strength 5 levels that are already weaker than neg 95 in this 6 7 They're already pointing, I believe, at an area. optimal azimuth trying to cover the center which is the 8 9 triangle that I always refer to where the DPW is located. 10

Page 44

11 So it's not a scenario where the -- it's 12 pointing, let's say, for the Morristown 3 Relo it's 13 pointing north, and then -- which would be away from 14 the DPW. They actually have a sector that's pointing 15 toward the area. So I think the azimuths are optimal.

16 The tilts -- the tilts are not going to 17 have any significance again in adding more gain or 18 increase in dB levels towards the proposed. You're 19 talking about distances that are over a mile away. 20 Q. Okay. And again, just so the record's 21 clear, let's say I'm on, let's say, Harding 2 and I'm 22 driving through azimuth 125, and I, I guess, catch 23 azimuth 40, or I catch azimuth 240, azimuth 125 is not 24 going to record a dropped data communication under that 25 scenario; correct?

Page 45 If you have handed over to the other -- an 1 Α. 2 adjacent sector of that cell site or a sector of 3 another site, correct, it's not going to be -- the dropped call will not be pegged on the sector that you 4 were initially on. 5 6 Ο. And a dropped data communication, though, 7 can in some instances, I know, be caused by interference between two sites where there's 8 9 overlapping coverage; correct? 10 There can be interference. There can be a Α. degrade in signal quality if you have too many signals 11 12 serving with weak signal levels, yes. 13 Ο. So do you know -- well, two questions, I 14 guess. First of all, I'm assuming that you don't know from the data that was provided to you in the excel 15 spreadsheet as to the dropped data transmissions as to 16 17 what percentage of them were caused by overlapping 18 coverage, you don't know that; correct? 19 Α. No. And if this site -- if this site is 20 Ο. 21 approved have you done an analysis to determine what 22 percentage of overlapping coverage will there be in relation to the other sites in the area? 23 I haven't looked at the -- to 24 Α. No. 25 calculate the percentage based on a best server plot.

Page 46 You stated the -- in order to identify 1 Ο. 2 which sector should be investigated, I guess, for the 3 dropped transmission data, that you said a mapping of 4 the sector or ID in the scanned drive data was used identifying the sites or the sectors mostly serving in 5 and around the coverage gap area in the proposed 6 7 location, something like that, right? Α. Yes. 8 9 Did you provide the Board with that mapping Q. of the sector ID? 10 11 Α. No. 12 And there are other sectors and there's Ο. other sites serving the coverage gap area of the 13 proposed location with different sector IDs than those 14 15 that you used for your dropped data transmission data; 16 correct? 17 Α. This area because there's no dominant 18 server and the signal levels are very weak, worse than 19 neg 100, you can have other cell IDs showing up in this 20 area at very weak levels, yes, creating essentially 21 noise. 22 Right. So the -- for example, let me take Ο. 23 Harding 2, the alpha sector azimuth at 125 degrees. 24 You say that that antenna is facing the DPW site; 25 correct?

Page 47 Yes. If you look at, yes, the azimuths 360 1 Α. 2 degrees and the orientation, yes. 3 Ο. And the distance and the width of that 4 coverage would presumably be shown on your propagation 5 maps of existing coverage; correct? 6 The propagation maps do not show you who's Α. 7 serving where, it's all green. If you wanted to see which sector is the dominating server for that 8 9 particular geographic area, because if you look you do have Harding 2, and Morristown 3 Relo, and they both 10 11 have sectors pointing in the southern direction, but one will be dominant over the other at certain 12 13 geographical locations. So if you wanted to see that 14 that is what we would consider a best server map which 15 would give you an indication of who is specifically 16 serving where. 17 Ο. But we can't figure that out from the 18 propagation? 19 Α. That's correct. 20 Let me see what else I want to -- oh, in Q. 21 terms of this dropped call data that you presented, you 22 don't have any information as to, I guess, percentage 23 or number of Verizon customers actually complaining as 24 to the dropped calls; correct? 25 I did not request customer complaint Α. No.

Page 48 1 information. So you know nothing about recording of 2 Ο. complaints or how many or anything like that; correct? 3 4 Α. Correct. Now, let me move onto the ODAS plan that 5 Ο. you provided in Z-13 and Z-14. So Verizon designed 6 7 this ODAS, I quess, plan shown on Z-13, Z-14, and not you or PierCon; correct? 8 9 I did not. This plan was provided by Α. Verizon. 10 11 And you didn't do any tweaking of the plan; Q. 12 correct? 13 No tweaking. Α. 14 And how does this design that was supplied Q. 15 by Verizon shown in Z-13 and Z-14 compared to what was previously formally submitted by Verizon to this Board 16 actually and then withdrawn? 17 18 MR. SCHNEIDER: We're unaware of what was 19 submitted to the Board or withdrawn. Unless Ms. 20 Boschulte knows what was submitted to the Board and 21 withdrawn. 22 Α. What I have -- what I have is what is as 23 current of today. 24 My question -- okay. Let me back up. Ο. 25 Are you aware of any ODAS plan that was

Page 49 1 formally filed with the Harding Township Board of 2 Adjustment? 3 Α. Now you said it --4 Ο. I'm sorry. Is that a no? You just stated that there was something 5 Α. 6 formally submitted and then withdrawn. 7 Q. Are you aware of whether that took place? Α. So I'm not aware that anything formally was 8 9 done. So is it safe to say since you are not 10 Q. 11 aware, you have not seen anything that may have been 12 submitted to this Board in the past for an ODAS 13 network; correct? 14 Α. No. What I've seen is what's now. 15 Q. Did you inquire of Verizon, particularly in 16 response to or in reaction to a prior question I may 17 have asked you regarding an ODAS plan, whether they 18 had, in fact, submitted an ODAS plan to Harding 19 Township Board of Adjustment? 20 I did not -- sorry. I did not inquire to Α. 21 anything that was done. Previously I asked for the 22 ODAS conceptual design that they had been working on. 23 Ο. Okay. So when you say they had been 24 working on it, for how long had they been working on 25 it?

Page 50 That I don't know. 1 Α. 2 Have you seen any prior iteration of the --Q. 3 of what you submitted at Z-13 and Z-14? Α. So I -- no. What I have submitted is what 4 I have seen based on the propagation analysis that I've 5 done. That's it. 6 7 Well, regardless if it's based on the Ο. propagation analyses that you've done or not, you've 8 9 not seen any other ODAS plan? Why do you keep asking the same question? 10 Α. Ms. Boschulte, I'm trying to get a clear 11 Q. 12 answer. Have you seen any other plan, ODAS plan for this area in Harding other than what you've submitted 13 14 as Z-13 and Z-14? 15 Α. Other than this one, no. 16 Q. And with regard to, let's say Z-13, based 17 on the scale that you provide in the top left-hand 18 corner for Z-13 it appears to me that the coverage from 19 the ODAS nodes extend on either side of the roadway 20 width-wise for between one-eighth of a mile or 650 21 linear feet and a quarter of a mile or 1,320 linear 22 feet in some locations, is that a fair conclusion based 23 on the scale that you provided? 24 All the nodes combined together? Α. 25 Q. I'm sorry, Ms. Boschulte?

Page 51 I'm sorry. Can you hear me? 1 Α. I apologize. I missed that last --2 Ο. 3 I'm sorry. Are you asking -- I'm not sure. Α. 4 Are you referencing one node that covers, or all the 5 nodes combined? 6 Well, I'm saying any particular node will Q. 7 extend it appears for between one-eighth of a mile and a quarter of a mile based on the scale that you attach 8 9 to Z-13 and Z-14, is that a fair reading of the exhibit? 10 11 I see very few that cover a quarter of a Α. mile. I'm not sure which ones you're referencing that 12 covers a quarter of a mile. 13 14 Ο. Okay. So your testimony is that you don't 15 believe that the coverage -- I'm looking at Z-13 -- and 16 you don't believe that based on your scale that these 17 -- that any of these cover a quarter of a mile; is that 18 what you said? 19 Α. They look to be covering less than based on 20 the scale. 21 Can you estimate for me based on the scale Ο. 22 how long are they covering both along the roadway and 23 also perpendicular? 24 Sorry. I have to bring it up on my Α. 25 computer screen so I can see it.

Page 52

Q. That's all right. 1 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Rob, just for 3 clarification, do you mean a quarter mile each way or 4 one-eighth of a mile each way? Maybe that's the 5 confusion. 6 So if I'm looking, Mr. Newlin, MR. SIMON: 7 at Z-13 and I'm kind of comparing it to the scale. It appears that each one of these DAS nodes will extend in 8 9 each direction, for clarification, at least between an eighth of a mile and a quarter of a mile, and then also 10 perpendicular the same distance. 11 12 Okay. I see what you're saying. Α. Yes, so 13 I'm looking at it now in the light. 14 Ο. It's okay. 15 Α. Yes. So based on the scale above from zero 16 to one, which is a mile, and then the halfway point is 17 a half a mile, and then a quarter, and then one-eighth. 18 Yes, I would agree that it is in that 19 range, correct. 20 And there's typically -- and oh, I'm Q. Okay. 21 sorry. I know you have in response to questions I've 22 asked you in the past and just for confirmation, that 23 depending on line-of-site issues the poles will 24 typically be between 500 and 1500 feet apart; is that a 25 fair statement?

Page 53 They can be in that range. 1 Α. And there's no dense forest between the 2 Ο. 3 street and most of the homes on the streets that you're trying to cover here; correct? 4 There are dense trees between the homes. 5 Α. Well, when you say -- what's the -- how far 6 Q. 7 a setback, let's say, front the yard setback from the road to the front doors of these homes? 8 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you know, Frances. BY MR. SIMON: 10 11 Ο. If you know? Well, I think -- to the front door -- I 12 Α. mean, they vary. So in some cases there are some homes 13 that are closer to the road, like such as Pleasantville 14 15 Road. And then as I mentioned before other homes are 16 situated along on the hillside where there are several 17 trees between the road and the next residential house. 18 And that does -- it is greater than 500 feet in some 19 cases. 20 But most cases not. Most cases the setback Ο. 21 from the road to the front door is less than 500 feet; 22 correct? 23 I don't know where you're looking, but I Α. 24 don't agree that in most cases. In most cases there are several homes that are set back from the main 25

Page 54 1 roads. 2 I know they're set back from the main road, Ο. 3 are you saying that the majority of the homes are set 4 back more than 300 feet, or a football field away from 5 the road? 6 Α. There are several homes that I am looking 7 at. Sorry? Ms. Boschulte, I'm asking, is it your 8 Q. 9 testimony that the majority of the homes in this area are set back greater than 300 feet from the roadway; if 10 11 you know? 12 I will have to evaluate every home to say Α. if it was the majority. 13 14 And then with regard to Z-13 and Z-14 do Q. 15 you know how many of these nodes that you're showing 16 will be utilizing existing telephone poles or other 17 structures, versus how many are going to need new 18 structures? 19 Α. Based on my -- I don't know for sure, 20 but --21 Finish your answer. It's okay. Q. 22 I can only tell you from my experience in Α. 23 designing ODAS networks on utility poles and from what 24 I've seen driving up and down these roads that many of them have primary and secondary power and have risers 25

Page 55 on them. So to a certain degree they're not going to 1 2 be usable. Ο. So you'd have to put in --3 Α. Put in a new -- correct. 4 But you haven't done that analysis; 5 Q. correct? 6 7 I haven't done a thorough analysis, that's Α. correct. 8 9 And have you looked to see whether there's Q. in addition to telephone calls whether there's 10 opportunities for small cell installations on, let's 11 12 say, traffic lights or buildings or rooftops, anywhere along let's say Glen Alpin or Blue Mill Road, Lees Hill 13 Road, Village Drive or Millbrook Road? 14 15 Α. There's very little rooftops in this area. 16 So I have looked at to see existing rooftop structures, and there isn't -- other than the ODAS solution that 17 18 Verizon is proposing other areas to put a small cell 19 opportunities are very minimal. 20 What about at the Harding DPW? There are Ο. 21 buildings and structures there, right? 22 Α. They have the sheds and the actual facility 23 that's there. It's like a one story. Putting a small 24 cell structure on a one-story rooftop is really not going to provide much service, especially if it's 25

Page 56 surrounding the amount of foliage that's in this area 1 2 other than covering the DPW. 3 Ο. Would it provide coverage similar to that if you placed an ODAS node in the same location? 4 5 Same location as what? MR. SCHNEIDER: The DPW? 6 Α. 7 Ο. As the DPW? I haven't done the analysis, but if you're 8 Α. 9 asking whether or not a small cell or an ODAS node would have comparative coverage; is that what you're 10 11 asking? 12 Ο. Yes. 13 If the heights are about the same, but you Α. have -- I think you have -- with a small cell the 14 15 installation is slightly different, the antenna 16 configurations can be slightly different than what 17 you're able to do on an ODAS node type of installation. 18 Ο. Is it fair to say that the two 19 installations in terms of coverage that would be 20 achieved are comparable? 21 Yes. They would be very small. Α. 22 But they're comparable, right? Ο. 23 Yes, but I think we can already see that Α. 24 the coverage footprint of an ODAS is very small. 25 Q. I didn't ask you that. I asked you compare

Page 57 ODAS to small cell. And it would be similar, correct, 1 2 in terms of coverage? 3 Α. Correct. 4 And you're not aware of locations within Q. the gap area where additional ODAS nodes physically 5 cannot be placed; correct? 6 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Do you understand the question, Frances? 8 9 THE WITNESS: No. I don't understand the question. 10 11 BY MR. SIMON: Is there anything about whether it's 12 Ο. wetlands, environmental issues, flood plain, is there 13 any area within the gap in question where you could not 14 15 physically install an ODAS node? 16 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you've done that 17 analysis. BY MR. SIMON: 18 19 Q. If you know? 20 I haven't done that analysis. Α. 21 And you're aware, or I should say it this Q. 22 way. 23 Are you aware or familiar with Harding's 24 right-of-way ordinance regarding the placement of 25 wireless telecommunications equipment?

Page 58 I am aware and I have read it, although it 1 Α. 2 was a while ago. 3 Ο. And based on your recollection, even though 4 it was a while ago, isn't it true that installing ODAS 5 nodes to your recollection is permitted within the right-of-way provided you comply with the ordinance? 6 7 Α. I do know that the ODAS nodes are permitted in the municipal right-of-way. I think it says 8 9 municipal right-of-way. 10 MR. SCHNEIDER: Subject to all compliance 11 with all of the provisions of the ordinance. 12 MR. SIMON: That's what I asked. I said 13 subject to complying with the ordinance. 14 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. 15 BY MR. SIMON: 16 Ο. Are there any -- so do you believe that for 17 purposes of this application that the right-of-way 18 ordinance does not pertain to an installation of an 19 ODAS node within the county right-of-way? 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: That calls for a legal 21 conclusion. I'll object to that. 22 Α. I don't know what you're asking. 23 Ο. I'll move on. 24 Your Exhibit Z-13 shows 25 ODAS nodes, and they're all proposed outside the area intended to be 25

Page 59 covered by the DPW macro site; is that accurate? 1 2 Α. Yes. That's accurate. It's in areas 3 where, almost all where the DP -- the proposed coverage from the DPW is not covering. 4 And the ODAS nodes along the roadways are 5 Ο. propagated based on what Verizon perceives as reliable 6 7 in-building LTE coverage; correct? Α. The propagation is at the neg 95 dBm RSRP. 8 9 In building, right. Q. 10 Α. Correct. So I will try to ask this somewhat artfully 11 Q. 12 enough. 13 If the desired signal strength was not in-building but let's say hypothetically in car, and 14 15 that the desired signal strength accordingly would not 16 be negative 95, but more like negative 105, that in 17 that scenario or hypothetical the ODAS propagation that 18 we're seeing in Z-13 would extend further; correct? 19 Α. I can tell you that in this particular case it is not correct. And although this exhibit was not 20 provided I did -- when I did my evaluation I did look 21 22 at the weaker signal levels at say, for example, the 23 in-vehicle threshold for neg 105, and the concern as I 24 mentioned previously in my testimony is that in this particular area, especially along Pleasantville Road 25

and Long Hill Road and some of the other areas, the 1 2 fact that the ODAS antenna is low and within the tree 3 line, and there is dense trees in these areas, in addition to severe changes in ground elevation, the 4 roll off between neg 95 and neg 105 is -- happens very 5 6 quickly. So you do not see a significant or even an 7 adequate amount of large footprint between the neg 95 and the neg 105. 8

Page 60

9 I did do that evaluation. The fact that 10 it's not -- I mean, we have just submitted so many 11 exhibits already that I did not submit that exhibit 12 showing the weaker signal levels, but that can be 13 provided. But I did evaluate that in my analysis.

14 Ο. So for example, I'm just looking at this, let's say there is no Harding DPW site, and 15 16 hypothetically you wanted to cover this entire area, 17 exclusively with an ODAS network, and you put some nodes, for example, on Blue Mill Road near the Harding 18 19 DPW site where it meets with Glen Alpin, are you 20 telling me that the coverage would be the same if your 21 desired signal strength was 105 -- negative 105 as 22 opposed to negative 95?

A. What I'm telling you is that first I did
not do an evaluation of placing additional nodes
anywhere other than what is in this conceptual design.

Page 61 So I can't answer your question regarding --1 2 I understand. I'm going to ask it Ο. 3 differently and I think it will be a better question, which is, for the areas that you looked at, or the ODAS 4 areas that you looked at were exclusively the ones that 5 are identified on Z-13 and Z-14; correct? 6 7 Α. Correct. And for those areas where there's ODAS 8 Ο. 9 nodes shown on Z-13 and Z-14, if the desired signal strength was not negative 95 but negative 105 it really 10 11 wouldn't make it much of any difference in terms of the 12 propagation from those particular ODAS nodes that are 13 shown on Z-13 and Z-14; correct? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 Q. Okay. Thank you. 16 So the -- do the ODAS nodes on Z-13 and 17 Z-14, do they cover any areas where there are 18 buildings? 19 Α. No. 20 Q. If you know. 21 Α. No. 22 Okay. And why -- do you know why ODAS Q. 23 nodes are shown along Spring Valley Road and Van Beuren 24 Road when they don't represent areas that you even drive tested as part of this application? 25

Page 62 Do I know why Verizon has conceptual design 1 Α. 2 there? Is that what you're asking? 3 Ο. Yes. 4 Α. It's an area that clearly has poor signal strength, and a gap in coverage. 5 6 Right. But it's a different gap than what Ο. 7 you've been focusing on for purposes of this application? 8 9 Correct. I -- yes, correct. Α. And when we started this application a 10 Q. 11 while back, in your initial report I believe you stated that Verizon Wireless focused on the major road -- I 12 13 think you said the major roads and central areas within 14 Harding Township such as the municipal court, the 15 police department, and the fire department; correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Ο. And when we talk about the major roads I 18 assume we're talking about Lees Hill Road, Blue Mill 19 Road and Glen Alpin? 20 Yes, and Village Road, yes. Α. 21 And Village Road? Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 So right now you have ODAS nodes proposed Q. 24 along Glen Alpin Road and Blue Mill Road, right, on Z-13, for example? 25

Page 63 And Pleasantville Road and Long Hill Road. 1 Α. 2 Ο. Plus included are ODAS nodes along Glen 3 Alpin and Blue Mill, right? 4 Α. Yes. You currently are showing six along Glen 5 Ο. 6 Alpin and four along Blue Mill, right? 7 Α. Yes. You also suggest that, I believe at the 8 Q. 9 last meeting, that you could place an ODAS node at the intersection of Blue Mill Road and James Street, right? 10 11 Didn't you say that at the last meeting? 12 Α. The question was why wasn't there one Yes. 13 placed? And I said, based on the data presented one 14 could be utilized there for not meeting -- for having 15 poor signal strength. 16 Ο. At that particular location? 17 Α. Correct. 18 Ο. And you would need, it seems to me in 19 looking at Z-13, only about eight or nine more nodes 20 along Blue Mill Road to cover the entire stretch of 21 Glen Alpin and Blue Mill that extends beyond where the 22 DPW macro site is intended to cover; correct? 23 I haven't done that evaluation. So as --Α. 24 if you look at the current ODAS design --25 Which one, Z-13? Q.

Page 64

1 A. On Z-13.

2 Q. Okay.

A. You know, in some cases the nodes are closer together. In other cases they're slightly further apart. So that would have to be evaluated based upon the terrain.

Q. So can you -- as you're looking at this right now and being familiar, Ms. Boschulte, with the terrain, can you estimate for me how many additional nodes would we be able to install along Blue Mill Road to cover the entire stretch of Glen Alpin and Blue Mill, approximately, like a range?

A. Between where the current design ends on
Blue Mill Road, all the way down to Glen Alpin?
Q. Yes. Right. So how many additional ones?
I figured -- I'm looking at the terrain
data, and other data. I'm not an expert, but I came up
with between eight and nine.

19 Α. It's possible. Probably around nine or 20 I think you'll need some additional ones around ten. 21 the bend where Blue Mill Road bends off and then 22 there's a change in elevation again. So --23 Ο. And then it also, in terms of where Lees 24 Hill Road is, how many do you think we would need along Lees Hill Road to cover the area where the macro site 25

Page 65 is intended to cover? I counted approximately four or 1 2 five. 3 I think that's more difficult, because as Α. you can see the area of coverage is not just along Lees 4 5 Hill Road. You are covering the residential area within that triangle of Lees Hill and Glen Alpin. 6 Ιf 7 you look at it from a triangle perspective up to Fawnhill --8 9 Right. And I misspoke and I apologize. Ο. I'm just talking about covering the roadway. Okay. 10 11 So how many more nodes -- I counted, you 12 know, four or five, in fairness, given the topography 13 along Lees Hill to cover the area that -- the area of 14 Lees Hill Road that the DPW macro site's intending to 15 cover. 16 Α. That's a good approximation to cover the 17 road. 18 Ο. And the -- and along Village Drive -- along 19 Village -- I think you say it's Village Road here. 20 Along what you call Village Road --21 Village Drive. Α. 22 I think -- right. In terms of covering Ο. 23 that roadway that's being covered by the Harding DPW 24 site, we're probably five or six nodes and then you've got to also take into consideration the fact that it 25

Page 66 looks like it's already being covered by another site, 1 2 right, because of the green? 3 Α. Yeah. This is where -- based on the actual 4 drive test this was like an over prediction, because it doesn't really get in there due to the terrain, but 5 based on approximation, yes, I would say probably four 6 7 or five. And so -- and then along Millbrook Road it 8 Ο. 9 seems that where the DPW site's intending to cover, you know, we're talking also probably even closer to four. 10 11 Does that sound right? 12 I think that area is going to be a little Α. bit more challenging, but --13 14 Maybe five? I understand what you're --Q. 15 Α. Yeah. It could be more like maybe five or 16 six. 17 Ο. So that would be five or six along 18 Millbrook Road? 19 Α. But again we're just talking about roads. 20 I totally agree. Right. So it seems that Q. 21 -- so and right now on the Z-13, Z-14 ODAS plan you're 22 showing 25 nodes that are all in areas that are not 23 intended to be covered by the Harding DPW; correct? 24 Right? 25 Correct. Α.

Page 67 So 25, and I just did the math in my head. 1 Q. 2 We're talking about maybe 25 more nodes to cover all 3 the main roads in the area at the signal strength 4 Verizon desires, correct? Including areas outside the coverage area, right, just for the roads; correct? 5 6 Α. Just for the roads. 7 Right. But you're also getting -- sounds Ο. like a Ginsu knife -- you're also getting Pleasantville 8 9 Road, you're getting Long Hill, you're getting Red Gate Road, you're getting Spring Valley Road, you're getting 10 11 Van Beuren Road in addition to that; correct? 12 But you're missing all the residential Α. 13 houses in between. 14 Okay. I understand what you're saying. Q. 15 And I'm assuming, or do you know the amount of traffic 16 that's on, let's say, Glen Alpin, Blue Mill, Lees Hill, 17 Village Drive and Millbrook Road as compared to those more minor roads such as Pleasantville Road and Red 18 19 Gate and Spring Valley? 20 The vehicular traffic you mean? Α. 21 Q. Yes. 22 That was a long time ago. I'd have to look Α. 23 for those numbers again. 24 And so, if you -- if you added, let's say, Ο. the 25 ODAS nodes, and again this is just for the major 25

Page 68 roads, to the ten currently proposed on Blue Mill and 1 Glen Alpin, that's a total of 35 ODAS nodes that are 2 3 needed for what you believe to be the major roadways in 4 the area. Again, we're just talking about roadways, right, does that sound right? 5 6 Sorry. Did you say a total of 35? Α. 7 Thirty-five, for the main roads as you've Ο. identified. 8 9 Α. Are you just adding in Blue Mill and Lees Hill? 10 11 Q. Yes. 12 Α. And not Village? 13 I'm adding in Village, Glen Alpin, Blue Ο. 14 Mill, Lees Hill? 15 Α. I thought that was an extra 20, plus 25. Isn't that like 45? 16 17 Ο. No. I think that we just need another 25. 18 There's ten right now. 19 Α. Sorry. 20 Q. I mean, my math -- okay. 21 I'm trying to figure out, are you looking Α. 22 at the --23 The bottom line is that if you wanted to Q. 24 just cover those roadways you would need approximately 35 to 40 ODAS nodes; correct? 25
Page 69 Okay. Not including the current 1 Α. conceptual --2 3 Ο. Yeah, not including the --4 Α. Oh, okay. That's why I was confused. Well, I'm including -- obviously, I want to 5 Q. use the nodes that are on Glen Alpin and Blue Mill that 6 7 you show, but not the ones that are on like Van Beuren and Spring Valley and those? 8 9 Α. Okay. Right. Are you following? 10 Q. 11 Understood. Α. 12 And you recall because PierCon worked on Q. 13 it, that for the Burnsville Mountain site or area that 14 Verizon installed with PierCon's assistance 32 ODAS 15 nodes in that area; correct? 16 MR. SCHNEIDER: Do you know that number to 17 be true, Frances? 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved in the installation. I was involved in the 20 design, but I don't know any of the approvals or 21 outcomes of what transpired. 22 BY MR. SIMON: 23 But based on your involvement in the design Ο. 24 do you remember how many nodes were involved? 25 I don't recall. I'd have to look back. Α.

Page 70 If I said it was 32, does that refresh your 1 Q. 2 recollection one way or another? 3 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you don't know, you 4 don't know. BY MR. SIMON: 5 6 If you don't know, you don't know. Q. 7 Α. No. It was too long ago. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon --8 9 MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm almost done. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We're approaching a 10 little over the two hours, I think. 11 12 MR. SIMON: I have like four questions 13 left. 14 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Thank you. 15 Q. Let me see here. Okay. You mentioned at 16 the last hearing about the school, the elementary 17 school. And you've not done any analysis as to whether 18 that can be covered by any in-building DAS or small 19 cell rooftop installation; correct? 20 I haven't done any, no, that's correct, Α. 21 whether or not that's a viable candidate. 22 MR. SIMON: Actually, Mr. Chairman, I want 23 to stick to my word. I'm done. 24 Thank you, Ms. Boschulte. Always a 25 pleasure to speak with you.

Page 71 1 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Mr. Simon, 2 thank you. 3 Ms. Boschulte, can I ask one guick 4 question? Just because I forgot to ask it last Monday. 5 How tall are these ODAS towers or structures in your 6 plan? 7 THE WITNESS: In the propagation analysis they all have an antenna centerline of approximately 33 8 9 -- 33.5 feet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thirty-three and a 10 11 half. And how does that compare, and I know this is a 12 tough thing to find, but a normal telephone pole? So as you've driven around town you've seen 13 14 the telephone poles. How tall are those? 15 THE WITNESS: I think they kind of average 16 -- through my experience they average between 25 and 35 17 feet. 18 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Fair enough. 19 Fair enough. Thank you. 20 Mr. Simon, thank you. 21 Are there any members of the public who are 22 not represented by Mr. Simon that have any questions 23 for Ms. Boschulte? (No reply.) 24 I'll give people a moment to turn their mics on if they do have any questions. 25

Page 72 I'm going to take that as a no. 1 Okav. 2 Mr. Schneider, thank you very much. Ms. 3 Boschulte, thank you for coming back. 4 Mr. Schneider, I'd like to pick this up 5 again next month. Do you have -- what's your plan for testimony next month? 6 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, we had talked about at the conclusion of last month, based on your 8 9 agenda, the possibility of a Special only because my intention next month is while I'll reserve the right to 10 call back Ms. Boschulte, my clear intention and hope is 11 12 to proceed with planning at next month's hearing, whether that be by Special or not. And I think I 13 respectfully was trying to highlight the need to want 14 15 to do that in one fell swoop so as not to have that, I 16 think, really important testimony broken up. 17 So my respectful request would be whether 18 it's at the Board's regular meeting where we can be 19 given the night, or some mutually acceptable Special 20 Meeting to be able to proceed with my planner so that 21 testimony and cross-examination can all be effectuated 22 in one setting. 23 Okay. How long do you CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: 24 think the testimony will be? 25 MR. SCHNEIDER: Famous last words, but I

Page 73 would -- off the top of my head I'm thinking about an 1 hour and a half in terms of direct. Could it be to two 2 3 hours? It could be. Let me err on the side of 4 caution. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So the bulk of 5 the Cross I would imagine is going to come from you, 6 7 Mr. Simon. Having not heard the testimony, but you have experience in these matters, how long do you 8 9 expect your cross would be? It typically would be over two 10 MR. SIMON: 11 I think that's a fair estimate. You know, two hours. 12 and a half. And Rich is correct. It's very, very 13 important testimony and that's why, you know, we need 14 to take the time with it. 15 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yeah. And Mr. 16 Schneider, so right there even if it's only two hours 17 by Mr. Simon, not including any of the Board's 18 questions, not including any of the other public's 19 questions we're over the three and a half hours we have 20 slotted for a meeting. 21 I would say regarding a Special Meeting if 22 the need arises we will have a Special Meeting and I 23 will move the non-Verizon applications to the Special 24 Meeting. All right. As we sit here I just don't know what the Agenda is going to look like for next month. 25

We may have some, we may have none, but I would like to play it by ear. But in any case, this application will be heard at the regularly scheduled meeting. If something gets moved to a Special Meeting it will not be this application. So if everybody keeps this on our calendar I think it's easier so we don't have to change our schedules around.

I would like to if we can talk, and you 8 9 Steve, and you and Steve can talk, and I'll talk with Steve, we'll figure out exactly what's on the Agenda, 10 and how to organize the -- or how to get your planning 11 12 testimony in. It doesn't sound like it's feasible to do both the Direct testimony and the Cross in one 13 meeting anyway. So anyway, let's plan on getting 14 15 together again at the regularly scheduled meeting next 16 month.

17 MR. SCHNEIDER: And just for the purposes 18 of the record, we would carry the matter without 19 further notice contingent upon mutual extensions of the Shot Clock to the Board's March 18th 7:30 p.m. meeting. 20 21 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Is that the correct 22 date, Lori? I'm not sure of the date. Let's look. 23 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Looks correct. 24 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: March 18th, yes. And yes, carried without further notice. And yeah, the 25

Page 75 Board consents to an extension of the Shot Clock. 1 2 MR. SCHNEIDER: And I reserve the right, Mr. Chairman, although it's not my intention at this 3 point to bring back Frances, but just for purposes of 4 the record I certainly reserve that right. But my 5 intention to move the matter along is to have our 6 7 planner on March 18th. 8 Okay. Sounds great. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: And we'll submit, if anything, in terms of any visual analysis to assist the 10 11 Board that in advance of the hearing for the Board's benefit. 12 13 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Great. Thank you. 14 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. So we will 15 16 see you next month. Thank you very much. 17 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. Thanks for all 18 your time tonight. 19 MR. SIMON: Thank you very much. 20 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. All right. 21 So for anyone on the meeting that is the conclusion for 22 the evening of the Verizon matter. We'll pick it up 23 again next month as you heard. 24 (Whereupon, the hearing on this application 25 concludes at 9:49 p.m.)

Page 76 CERTIFICATE I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action. IRIS LA ROSA, CSR, RPR Certificate No. 30XI 00162800 Dated:

A	Administrator	11:24 30:17,20	46:6,13,17,20	assumption
ability 31.17	1:17	34:16,20 37:22	47:9 50:13	28:22
able 16:11 56:17	admittedly	37:24 41:16	54:9 55:15	attach 51:8
64:10 72:20	29:21	43:10,12,15,16	56:1 57:5,14	attenuate 34:9
Absolutely 7:2	advance 75:11	43:18,20,21,22	58:25 59:25	attenuation
accentable	agenda 4:4 7:7	44:2 46:24	60:16 62:4	13:23
72:19	72:9 73:25	56:15 60:2	64:25 65:4,5	attorney 76:10
accepted 10:20	74:10	71:8	65:13,13 66:12	76:12
access 26:21	ago 6:12 8:9	antennas 11:22	67:3,5 68:4	Attorneys 2:3,6
accessing 25:19	24:23 58:2,4	21:22 22:2	69:13,15	2:8
25:22	67:22 70:7	35:19 38:1	areas 12:17,23	August 29:12
accidentally	agree 20:22	43:11,14,19	13:13 14:9,13	available 29:22
30:25 31:5	35:10 52:18	anymore 24:23	14:15,16 15:1	29:23
account 9:2 13:7	53:24 66:20	anyone's 41:13	15:10,24 16:8	average 71:15
13:8,9 34:2,11	agreed 4:17	anyway 74:14	16:21 36:3	71:16
accurate 8:9,10	ahead 10:18	74:14	37:7 39:25	aware 8:20
9:4 21:23 59:1	20:4 24:1,2	apart 52:24 64:5	42:12,21 55:18	48:25 49:7,8
59:2 76:6	32:24 36:6	apex 40:15	59:2 60:1,3	49:11 57:4,21
achieved 56:20	39:12	apologize 10:1	61:4,5,8,17,24	57:23 58:1
achieving 39:2	AICP 1:18	17:7 51:2 65:9	62:13 66:22	azimuth 35:9
action 76:11,13	aimed 40:10	appears 50:18	67:4	41:16 43:21
activity 32:4	ALF 1:11	51:7 52:8	argumentative	44:4,8,22,23
actual 9:11	alpha 46:23	Applicant 2:6	13:19	44:23,23 46:23
10:13 11:20,23	Alpin 35:2 55:13	application 4:7	ARIC 1:14	azimuths 34:22
12:1 26:22	60:19 62:19,24	4:8 20:7 58:17	arises 73:22	34:24 35:6
27:7 36:25	63:3,6,21	61:25 62:8,10	artfully 59:11	41:17 44:1,15
55:22 66:3	64:11,14 65:6	74:2,5 75:24	article 23:6	47:1
add 12:6	67:16 68:2,13	applications	articles 23:4,14	
added 9:24	69:6	32:11,1773:23	23:19	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{P} 2.7 7.14}$
67:24	Alpine 20:20	approaching	ascertain 14:15	D $3.77.14$ book $4.10.12.12$
adding 44:17	amount 39:17	/0:10	asked 29:16	1.17 18 6.16
68:9,13	56:1 60:7	approvals 69:20	49:17,21 52:22	6.17 14.1 20.6
addition 55:10	0/:13	approved 45:21	$30:23\ 38:12$	24.21 28.12
60:4 67:11	analyses 50:8		asking 7:22	29.13 30.15
additional 6:11	12.2 12 16 20	65.1 69.24	13.12,19 14.1	34.19.41.8
6:25 57:5	13.2,12,10,20 12.22,14.14	71.9	14.3,0 13.4,0	48.24 53.25
60:24 64:9,15	16.22 14.14	/1.0	22.24 50.10	54:2.4.10
64:20	$10.22\ 20.2,23$ 21.10 13 13 20	65.16.66.6	51.3 54.8 56.0	62:11 69:25
ADDONIZIO	21.10,13,13,20	05.10 00.0	56.11 58.22	72:3.11 75:4
1:13	15.21 50.5	apps 52.15 aros 8.22 1/1.22	62.2	background
adequate 34:12	55.5756.8	15.7 16 19.11	955ist 75.10	32:15
36:12 38:18	57.17 20 60.13	33.18 21 23	assistance 69.14	backup 26:6.10
0U:/	70.17 71.7	34.25 36.1 2 9	assume 67.18	26:18 27:7
adjacent 45:2	75.10	36.12 23 37.10	assumes 19.11	bag 34:6
adjust 45:10,19	angled 35.6	39:3 8 10 17	assuming 19.13	band 38:3,3,5,5
aujusteu 9:2,0	answer 50:12	40:4 41:24	19:15 28:18	38:18,20 42:11
aujustment 1:1	54:21 61:1	42:3.5.25 44:7	41:23 45:14	42:13,18,21
10.0,12 12:7	antenna 11:21	44:15 45:23	67:15	43:7
47.2,17				
1	1	I	I	1

	I		I	I
bandwidth	60:18 62:18,24	13:24 14:17,19	55:10 58:20	76:3
32:19	63:3,6,10,20	14:22 15:5,12	CAMMARATA	certify 76:5,9
Bansal 2:9	63:21 64:10,11	26:1 40:23	1:15	Chairman 1:11
Barree 1:18 5:2	64:14,21 67:16	59:9	candidate 70:21	4:1,21,25 5:2,3
5:3,4,10	68:1,9,13 69:6	buildings 12:20	capacity 42:5	5:5,12,18,24
base 11:20	BOA 1:4	13:3,14 14:3,6	car 24:22 40:22	6:7 7:4,9 8:11
based 14:11	Board 1:1,10,17	14:15 15:1,9	59:14	8:14 11:1,6,10
22:20 45:25	2:3 6:15 10:22	15:15,23 16:7	card 25:21 32:2	23:18 70:8,10
50:5,7,16,22	11:2,7,15 12:2	16:10,23 17:1	32:3	70:14,22 71:1
51:8,16,19,21	12:15 14:12,25	17:4 55:12,21	Carifa 2:10	71:10,18 72:7
52:15 54:19	15:22 17:9	61:18	carried 74:25	72:23 73:5,15
58:3 59:6	21:1 22:1,5	built-in 25:20	carrier 8:21	74:21,24 75:3
63:13 64:6	24:12 46:9	bulk 73:5	carrier's 32:4	75:8,13,15,20
66:3,6 69:23	48:16,19,20	Burnsville 69:13	carry 74:18	CHAIT 2:5
72:8	49:1,12,19	button 31:7,8	case 1:4 4:3	challenging
basically 37:22	52:2 74:23		25:14 28:25	66:13
Basking 20:8	75:1,11	$\frac{C}{2}$	30:15 59:19	change 5:8
battery 30:11	Board's 72:18	C 2:1 7:14,14	74:2	43:20 64:22
31:16,17,20	73:17 74:20	76:1,1	cases 32:22	74:6
begins 7:5	75:11	cable 11:25	53:13,19,20,20	changes 60:4
believe 6:2 8:6	body 30:23	calculate 27:8	53:24,24 64:3	changing 44:4
10:23 14:11	book 34:6	45:25	64:4	charge 31:15
15:13 19:1,3,4	Boschulte 3:4	calculation 9:3,9	catch 44:22,23	Chatham 19:12
26:11 27:15	5:22 7:12,17	10:19 11:4	cause 31:2	check 8:2
29:4,12 30:12	7:24 8:3 11:2	27:19 28:4	caused 45:7,17	checking 10:23
31:20 33:13	13:11 16:2	calculations	causes 28:11	chipped 32:7
44:7 51:15,16	20:13,24 23:18	11:727:20	30:21	Christel 2:10
58:16 62:11	25:16 48:20	calendar 74:6	caution 73:4	Christina 2:10
63:8 68:3	50:11,25 54:8	call 22:9 24:4,6	cell 10:8 12:7	circumstance
bench 23:12	64:8 70:24	24:8,13 25:14	30:16 31:18	33:8
bend 64:21	71:3,23 72:3	30:8,9,10 31:9	33:12 34:4,5,6	circumstances
bends 64:21	72:11	31:21 33:2,9	38:11,13 45:2	32:2
benefit 75:12	bottom 34:6	33:16 35:8,20	46:19 55:11,18	cite 39:9
bent 32:6	68:23	3/:4,11,12	55:24 56:9,14	clarification
best 38:1 45:25	BOYAN 1:14	38:2,4,10 40:2	57:1 70:19	19:8 41:3 52:3
47:14	BR 19:25 20:3,8	40:3,12 42:18	cellular 1:19	52:9
better 16:20	38:14	43:13 45:4	25:21	clarify 24:3
37:15 44:2	breaks 24:11	4/:21 65:20	center 34:18	clear 8:12 23:20
61:3	Brian 2:9	72:11	44:8	44:21 50:11
Beuren 61:23	bring 51:24 75:4	called 1/:11	centerline 71:8	72:11
67:11 69:7	broke 25:7	18:3,16 33:15	central 62:13	clearly 62:4
beyond 63:21	broken 25:9	calling 35:19	certain 12:23	Clock 74:20
bit 4:6,10 5:7	32:7 40:21	calls 22:1/23:10	17:17 34:8	75:1
29:20 39:23	72:16	23:23,24 24:1	47:12 55:1	close 34:24 38:6
66:13	BRUCE 1:19	24:/,20 26:25	certainly 42:3	closer 38:22
block 1:6 30:16	bucket 24:16	55:14 57:19,20	75:5	53:14 64:4
30:20	buckets 27:24	38:19 39:25	Certificate	66:10
Blue 14:14	budgets 13:16	41:21,24 42:10	76:16	closing 39:3
20:20 55:13	building 13:10	42:20 47:24	Certified 1:24	CME 1:18

	1	I	I	1
collection 19:16	59:23	74:19	Cotton 2:11	criteria 13:8
COLLINS 2:5	concludes 33:17	conversion 10:5	counsel 76:10,12	cross 73:6,9
colored 12:17	75:25	10:11,19,21	counted 65:1,11	74:13
columns 27:15	conclusion	11:4,16 12:12	county 58:19	cross-examina
27:16	50:22 58:21	convert 10:3	couple 23:3	5:16,19,21,21
combined 50:24	72:8 75:21	12:10	course 10:13	6:22,24 7:1
51:5	concur 35:11	converted 9:3	17:23	72:21
come 4:10 23:3	conducted 8:8	12:4	court 62:14	cross-examine
73:6	8:17,21 16:23	corner 50:18	cover 6:6 39:1	6:4
comes 28:12	configurations	corners 40:16	40:4 44:8	CSR 76:15
coming 72:3	56:16	correct 5:16.17	51:11.17 53:4	curiosity 29:6
Commencing	confirmation	7:2 8:18,19,23	60:16 61:17	current 48:23
1:8	52:22	8:24 9:24.25	63:20.22 64:11	63:24 64:13
communication	confused 69:4	12:20.25 15:17	64:25 65:1.13	69:1
13:9 34:12.13	confusion 19:9	16:5 19:14.22	65:15.16.66:9	currently 37:14
44.24 45.6	52.5	19.23 20.2 3 5	67.2 68.24	39.25 63.5
Communicati	Conine 2.9 11	20.9 10 10 16	coverage 13.4	68.1
1.19	conjunction	20:17 23:23	15.16 16.4	customer 47.25
comparable	36.7	25.3 4 5 26.3	20.11 14 21.1	customers 47.23
56.20.22	connect 32.3	26.13 17 20 21	21.20 36.24	CW 10.12 11.20
comparative	connected 28.16	20.13,17,20,21 27.1 10 21 22	37.5 10 15	12.2 14.10
56.10	connecting	27.1,10,21,22	30.10 16 17 18	16.18 2/ 10.10
50.10 compare 20.8	25.13	20.17,200.000	12.25 15.0 18	10.10,24 17.10
56.25 71.11	connection	$31.18\ 32.16\ 22$	45.22 46.6 13	30.15
50.25 / 1.11	24.25 25.4 12	$31.10\ 52.10,22$ $22.4\ 25.14$	45.22 40.0,15	39.13
67.17	24.25 25.4,12	36.24 37.11 16	51.15 56.3 10	D
07.17	23.13,19,23	27.25 28.16	56.10 24 57.2	\mathbf{D} 1:18 3:1
comparing 52.7	28.2, 8, 9, 10, 12 28.14, 14, 17	30.3 / 6 10 20	50.19,24 57.2	d/b/a 1:5
20.15 17	20.14,14,17	10.8 12 11.10	62.5 65.4 67.5	damaged 32:6
29.13,17	29.23 31.0,12	40.8,13 41.19	02.3 03.4 07.3	DAN 1:12
	35.11 36.20 23	42.1,2,0 43.4	12.10 50.1	DAS 39:22.24
$\frac{77.23}{\text{complaint } 47.25}$	40.25 41.2	45.18 46.16 25	65.23 66.1 23	52:8 70:18
complaints 18.3	40.23 41.2	45.18 40.10,25	70.18	data 8:7.25 9:2.3
complaints 40.5	24.0 25.1 27.2	47.3,19,24	70.10	9:18 10:4
completed 5:20	24.9 23.1 27.3	40.3,4,0,12	42.12 22 51.10	14.25 15.21
6.11 7.1	27.17 20.3	49.13 32.19	42.12,22 31.19	17.3 19.16
0.11 /.1	20.8 /1.5 11	55.8 57.1 2 6	50.4 65.5 10	22.10.13.18
28.12	33.0 + 1.3, 11	50.7 10 18 20	65.22	23:23 24:4.7.8
20.15	consents 73.1	61.671214	03.22	24.11 12 15 19
58.10	A7.14	62.0 0 15	20.16 16 51.4	24:20.25 25:11
30.10 comply 59.6	4/.14	62.17 22 66.22	51.12	25.12 17 26.6
comply 58.0	65.25	66.25 67.4 5	COVID 29.20	26.10 18 24 25
comprying 36.15	03.23	67.11 69.25	20.11	27.2 4 7 28.7
comprised 24.3	consists 11.17	60.15 70.10 20	27.11 arono 11.24	28:10 24 29:3
21.16 51.25		73.10 74.01 02	aroato 26.6	29:4.8.9.16.25
51.10 51:25	11.17,20	(3.12/4.21,23)	amonted 26:15 10	31.2632.13
	Consultant 1:10	10.2	created 20:15,19	32.16 22 33.2
49.22 00:23	consultant 1:19	10.3	$\frac{2/.21}{2}$	33.15 16 35.11
02:1 09:2	contained 15:10		creating 40:20	35.16.25.36.13
concern 1/:1/	contingent	41:0	creation 20:19	55.10,25 50.15
			l	

36:14.16.19.21	60:21 61:9	DPW 21:3.6.21	36:14.16.19.23	encompasses
36:22 37:24	desires 67:4	33:18.22.34:21	37:4.11.12.19	17:20 24:20
39:7 40:12.25	determination	35:6.12.13	37:20.24 38:2	ended 6:8 28:17
41:5.10.22	22:16	39:2.9 40:11	38:4.10.19	ends 64:13
43:2.13.22	determine 43:9	42:13.23.43:3	39:7.25 40:2.3	Engel 2:10
44:24 45:6.15	45:21	44:9.14 46:24	40:12.12 41:21	entire 60:16
45.16 46.3 4	determined	55.20 56.2 6 7	41.21 42.10 17	63.20.64.11
46:15.15 47:21	22:12	59:1.4 60:15	42:20 43:2.12	environment
63.13 64.17 17	device 25.21.22	60.19 63.22	43.13 22 44.24	34.9.11
date 8:6 18 15:8	devoting 6.21	65.14 23 66.9	45.4 6 16 46.3	environmental
29.13 74.22 22	diagram 35.4 7	66.23	46.15 47.21 24	57.13
76.8	dies 31.20	Dr 1.19 10.20	dronned-call	equinment
Dated 76.17	difference 11.19	12.10 22.20	20.2	57.25
David 2.9	11.21 25 20.11	drew 34.23	dronning 31.12	err 73·3
DAVIS 2.2	20.14 27.5	drive 8.5 7 8 16	drons 36.8 37.2	error 30.10
day 41.5 11	61.11	8.20 9.14 15.2	drove 14.10	especially 30.25
dR 0.17 13.23	different 3/1.1	15.10 24 16.11	due 66:5	55.25 50.25
<i>AA</i> ·3 18	A6.14 56.15 16	16.12 13 16 16	uuc 00.5	FSOURF 2.3 5
dBm 12.25 16.4	62.6	16.10 18.7 20	E	2.8
ubiii 12.25 10.4 30.10 50.8	differently 61.3	35.2 46.4	E 2:1.1 3:1.7	ESOURES 2.5
J9.19 J9.0	difficult 65.2	55.14 61.25	7:14.14 76:1.1	ESQUINES 2.5
21.16	din 21.5	65.18 21 66.4	ear 74:2	essence 15.4
51.10 December 20.7	direct 72.2	67.17	earlier 5.6 41.23	essentially 40.20
20.0	74.12	0/.1/ driven 71.12	Earth 14.23 24	established 40.1
29.9 desided 21.6	/4.15	driven /1.15	14.25	64.0 72.11
degrade 45:11	25.24 27.2	driveway 17.24	easier 74:6	04.9 / 5.11 Funice 2:0
degree 43.11	47.11 52.0	17.10 10 21	effective 43.12	Eunice 2.9 evaluate 54.12
55.1	47.11 J2.9	17.10,10,24	effectuated	60.12
JJ.1 dograas 16:22	24.21	10.9 driving 0.12	72:21	00.13 avaluated 22.6
40.23	J4.21 disconnect 21.6	14.22 26.1	eight 63:19	20.14 AA.A
4/.2	distance 16:25	$14.22\ 20.1$ $25.12\ 12\ 15\ 17$	64·18	29.14 44.4 64.5
donso 52.2 5	17.1 A 18.7	25.12,13,13,17	eighth 52:10	04.3 avaluating 17.16
uelise 55.2,5	1/.1,4 10./	53.10 44.22	Eisenstein 1.19	18.16 22.24
donantmont	52.11	$d_{max} 22.0 24.25$	10.20 12.11	10.10 55.24
62.15 15	J2.11 distances 17.11	25.24 20.7 25	22.20	60.0 24 62.22
02.13,13 depending		25.24 50.7,25	either 7·24	EVD 2.0
52.23	distinction	33.12 35.20	20:20 26:4 24	$\mathbf{E} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{J}$
J2.23		11.2 JJ.20	50:19	7.17 18 75.22
11.16	40.24 distinguish	41.5 dranned 22.0 12	electrical 43.10	/.1/,10/J.22
	25.12	22.17 17 22.10	elementary	everyboury 74.3
2.0	23.12 dominant 46.17	22.17,17,23.10	70.16	exactly 74.10
5.9 design 12.67	47.12	23.22,23,24	elevation 60.4	7.16.15.7
24.2 49.14	47.12 dominating 17.8	24.1,4,3,0,0,12	64.22	/.10 13./
10.22 60.25	door $52,12,21$	23.1,17 27.1	ELIZABETH	12.5 20.11 25
62.1 62.24	doors 53.8	$\begin{array}{c} 2/.1/20.1,0\\ 20.25\ 20.1 \end{array}$	1:13	$13.3 \ 50.11,23$ $31.12 \ 14 \ 27.5$
64.12 60.20 22	Doso 41.6	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	emergency	12.0 16.22
04.15 09:20,25	double abool	31.2132.13,10	28:21	42.7 40:22
designing 54.22		22.11 15 12	emplovee 76.10	62.25
designing 34:23	DP 50.2	25.14,13,10	76:12	02.23 axamples 19.4
uesneu 59:15,15	DI 37.3	33.11,10 30:8	,	champles 18:4
	I			

excel 25:2 26:7	41:20 49:18	76:13	Fox 1:18 4:17	38:5
26:12.14.19	60:2.9 65:25	find 23:16.18	Frances 3:4 6:13	glad 11:9
27.15 45.15	factor 9.15 17	71.12	6·16 7·24 8·16	Glen 20:20 35:2
exclusively	10.2	finding 23.2	11.13 21.10	55.13 60.19
15.16 60.17	factors 34.8	fine 38.21	53.9 57.8	62.19 24 63.2
61.5	fading 34.4	finish 4.19 36.5	69.17 75.4	63.5 21 64.11
exhibit 9.19	fails 28.13 13	39.5 42.7	frankly 6.20	64.14.65.6
26.15 27.21	failure 31.3 41.1	54.21	freezing 28.12	67.16 68.2 13
35.5 51.10	failures 28.12	fire 62.15	frequencies	69.6
58.24 59.20	fair 5.25 28.21	first 4.5 14.2	41.15 22 25	go 4.5 10.18
60.11	28.23 30.13	45.14 60.23	42.11 43.1 2	14.3 17.10
exhibits 3.10	31.25 50.22	five 18.9 65.2 12	frequency 38.15	20.4 24.1 2
16.3 19.15 25	51.9 52.25	65.24 66.7 14	38.16	27.12 30.15
36.4 39.22	56.18 71.18 19	66.15.17	front 53.7 8 12	32.23 36.6
60.11	73.11	FLANAGAN	53.21	39.12
evistence 19.22	fairness 65.12	$1 \cdot 11 \ 4 \cdot 1 \ 21 \ 25$	further 33.16 17	goes 21.3 30.9
existing 10.18	familiar 57.23	5.3512246.7	38.10 23 /1.25	30.11
A3.7 11 1A	61.8	$7.4 \ 9 \ 8.14 \ 11.6$	50.10,25 41.25	$\frac{50.11}{1000}$
47.5 54.16	Famous 72.25	11.10 70.8 10	71.10 25 76.0	5.15 6.21 2/
55.16	far 12.18 16.22	$70.14\ 71.1\ 10$	74.17,2570.7	11.1/15 12.16
05.10 avnoct 1.5 73.0	1al 12.18 10.22	71.18 72.23	G	14.1 20.20
expect 4.5 75.9	fault 20.1 2 2 /	73.5 15 74.21	G 2:3.11	27.14 32.25
54.22 71.16	30.11 12	74.24 75.8 13	gain 11:25 44:17	27.14 32.23
73.8	foulty /3.16.18	75.15 20	gan 8:21 42:5	30.2 0 /1.12
75.0 ovnort 61.17	Foundary 45.10,10	flood 57.12	46:6.13 57:5	12.12 22 42.1
expert 04.17	fassible 74.12	floor 7:10	57:14 62:5.6	42.12,22 43.1
50.10 51.7	Footborbod	fluctuations	gans 39:3	43.0 44.3,10
52.8 50.18	18.16		Gary 4:20.21	54.17 55.1 25
52.0 59.10	10.10 February 1.7	54.11 foousad 62.12	Gate 67:9.19	61.2 66.12
18.10	8.0 18 22 15.9	focusing 62.12	generally 11:17	72.1 72.6 25
10.10 ovtonds 20.3	6.9, 10, 22, 13.0	foliogo 0.15 17	geographic	72.175.0,25
63·21	17.20 18.1 0	1011age 9.15,17	36:11 38:2	21.18 27.2
03.21 ovtonsion 75.1	18.10 24 10.10	follys 28.10	47:9	65.16
extensions $7/.10$	20.12 14 14	follow up 22.3	geographical	C_{00} $14.23.24$
extensions 74.19	20.12,14,14 21.357810	following 60:10	34:25 35:3	14.25 15.3
18.25 23.18	21.3,3,7,0,10	follows 7:15	36:25 40:4	17.23 13.3
avtra 11.2 68.15	21.22,24 22.3	football 5/1:/	47:13	75.13
Слпа тт. 5 00.15	50.21 22 52.24	footprint 30.16	GEORGE 1:14	75.15 graatar 53.18
F	53.18 21 54.4	56.24 60.7	getting 67:7.8.9	54.10
F 2:8 7:14 76:1	54.10 71.0 17	50.24 00.7	67:9.10.10	34.10
facilities 34.15	foll 72.15	forest 52.2	74.14	12.10,25
34:16 35:23	for 21.1	forget 22:25	Ginarti 4:7	13.4,13 10.3,8
43.7	field 54.4	forget 71.4	Ginsu 67:8	CDFENDAUM
facility 11:23	figure 17.17	formally 19.16	give 13:17 18:3	
55:22	68.21 71.10	101 many 40.10	30:24 44:3.5	2.2 ground 60.1
facing 46:24	figured 6/16	forth 26.15 76.0	47:15 71:24	Cruck 1.24 5.1
fact 13:22 19:4	filed /0.1	four 62.6 65.1	given 65:12	GIUCI 4.24 J.1
24:19 32:20	finally 1.9	65 .12 66.6 10	72:19	21.4,7 22.11 27.2
38:4 40:24	financially	70.12	gives 16:18 36:8	22.11 27.2
		/0.12	8	27.0 31.4
	I	I	I	I

	I		I	
39:21 43:8,21	heights 56:13	I	indicated 9:14	involvement
44:22 45:14	helpful 35:8	ID 46:4,10	18:21 22:21	69:23
46:2 47:22	helps 16:20	idea 40:21	indicating 12:11	iPad 24:24
48:7	hereinbefore	identified 14:16	28:15,25 36:11	IRIS 76:3,15
	76:8	14:21 15:5	43:16	issues 52:23
$\frac{\mathbf{H}}{\mathbf{H}^2 \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T} 1 4}$	HEROLD 2:7	17:22 37:20	indication 36:8	57:13
H 3:/ /:14	Heyer 4:24 5:1	61:6 68:8	38:5,17 47:15	items 5:22 6:19
half 52:17 /1:11	hi 6:16	identifies 32:4	industry 22:21	iteration 50:2
/3:2,12,19	high 37:4 38:2,3	identify 14:18	22:24,25	I
naliway 52:16	38:4,5,5,10,15	14:20 20:7	information	$\frac{J}{J_{2}}$
HALL 4:15	38:18,19 41:18	46:1	26:23 47:22	James 2:10
hand 4:15	higher 41:15,22	identifying	48:1	63:10 L
nanddown	42:11,25 43:2	15:15 46:5	initial 62:11	January 5:23
33:11 h d - d 45-1	highlight 72:14	identity 32:3	initially 16:14	6:2,17,18,20
handed 45:1	Hill 20:21 35:1	IDs 46:14,19	45:5	Jersey /6:4
nandoli 43:6	55:13 60:1	ignorant 10:1	Inkin 4:8	JUHN 1:18
nandover 33:11	62:18 63:1	28:6 29:20	inquire 49:15,20	joined 4:3
nang 31:17	64:24,25 65:5	33:5	inside 13:10,23	joining 5:5
nappen 21:1	65:6,13,14	imagine 73:6	install 32:21	Jotting 19:5
22:2 24:23	67:9,16 68:10	impact 31:17	57:15 64:10	K
35:12 h 21.7	68:14	important 72:16	installation	$\frac{1}{1}$
nappens 31:7	hillside 53:16	73:13	39:23 56:15,17	keeps 74.5
32:1 60:5	hit 31:6,8	improper 42:15	58:18 69:19	kccps 74.5
nappy 5:9	holding 30:21	in-building	70:19	kids 24.24
Harding 1:1	home 25:19 26:1	12:24 14:12	installations	kius 24.21 32.14 kind 52.7 71.15
19:12,12 33:18	54:12	16:4 37:15	55:11 56:19	kiiu 52.7 71.15 knife 67.8
39:3 42:13,22	homes 17:25	59:7,14 70:18	installed 69:14	know 7.6 12.18
43:3 44:21	53:3,5,8,13,15	in-vehicle 59:23	installing 58:4	12.24 17.13 17
40:23 4/:10	53:25 54:3,6,9	inadequate 42:4	instances 45:7	18.3 21.25
49:1,18 30:15	hope 4:14 72:11	inadvertently	intend 6:5,19	22.10 23.4
55:20 60:15,18	hopefully 7:8	30:20	15:22	22.17 23.4
02:14 03:25	hour 73:2	include 28:1	intended 58:25	$23.9\ 20.9$ $28.13\ 30.1\ 10$
00:23	hours 4:6,11	included 24:16	63:22 65:1	31.10 22 24
Harding's 57:25	70:11 73:3,11	63:2	66:23	32.14 33.14
Harsn 2:8	73:16,19	includes 10:11	intending 65:14	36.4 15 17 19
neau 18:18	house 53:17	19:10 24:8,9	66:9	38.6 39.11 13
2/:12 0/:1	housekeeping	24:10	intention 72:10	40.14 16 22
/3:1	4:2	including 19:11	72:11 75:3,6	41.20 42.21
neauquarters	houses 17:1,12	67:4 69:1,3,5	interested 76:13	45.7 13 14 18
20:1 hear 4:4 7 8	17:21 18:11	73:17,18	interference	48.2 50.1
Hear 4:4,7,8	67:13	inclusive 6:2	28:11 45:8,10	52.21 53.9 11
7:19,20,21	housing 18:23	incorrectly 10:7	internal 30:17	53.23 54.2 11
J1.1 heard 5.12 72.7	HUGH 1:12	increase 29:1	30:20	54:15 19 57.19
Heard 5:15 / 5:7	human 30:10	44:5,18	intersection	58.7 22 61.20
14.3 13.23	hypothetical	independent	63:10	61:22 62.1
70.16 72.12	59:17	22:11,16 27:19	investigated	64:3 65.12
75.11 24	hypothetically	independently	46:2	66:10 67:15
13.11,24 hoight 21.6 22	59:14 60:16	22:4	involved 69:19	69:16 18 20
neight 21.0,22		indicate 37:2	69:19,24	70:3.4.6.6
	l			, 0.5, 1,0,0

71:11 73:11,13	6:25 42:25	44:1,1 45:24	47:6	10:6 15:12,19
73:24	line 34:23 40:6	55:9,16 61:4,5	March 8:5 29:12	18:13 22:23
knowing 40:20	60:3 68:23	looking 18:19	74:20,24 75:7	34:23,25 37:1
knows 48:20	line-of-site	27:22 51:15	margin 13:9,16	53:15 59:24
Koeneke 2:9	52:23	52:6,13 53:23	34:3,3,10	70:15
	linear 17:25	54:6 60:14	Marino 18:7	meters 12:12
L	21:5 50:21,21	63:19 64:7,16	mark 23:12	Michael 1:15
L 7:14	link 13:16	68:21	marked 3:10	2:9
LA 76:3,15	little 4:6,10 5:7	looks 66:1 74:23	market 44:2	mics 71:25
lag 28:15	29:20 39:23	Lori 1:17 74:22	MASELLI 1:12	Mike 1:11 4:22
Lane 18:16	55:15 66:12	lose 21:5	material 6:5	mile 38:14,15,17
large 60:7	70:11	losing 28:8	math 41:7,12	44:19 50:20,21
late 4:3	Livio 2:10	30:10	67:1 68:20	51:7,8,12,13
latency 28:15	LLC 2:8	loss 11:25 28:14	matter 1:3 74:18	51:17 52:3,4
latest 26:16	LLP 2:2	lost 25:5,15,24	75:6,22	52:10,10,16,17
latitude 36:17	local 20:15,18	28:15	matters 7:7 73:8	Mill 20:21 55:13
36:25 40:2,17	located 16:8	Lot 1:6	McKinley 5:6	60:18 62:18,24
40:19	44:10	low 31:16 38:3	McKittrick 2:10	63:3,6,10,20
LAW 2:7	location 15:9,22	38:15,21 43:7	mean 9:8 12:23	63:21 64:10,12
leave 32:25	33:19,22 34:17	60:2	15:4 33:22,24	64:14,21 67:16
led 26:19	34:18 35:3	lower 21:22 22:6	39:18 52:3	68:1,9,14 69:6
Lees 20:21 35:1	36:18 37:1	41:15,18,25	53:13 60:10	Millbrook 1:5
55:13 62:18	38:2 46:7.14	LTE 12:13	67:20 68:20	18:14 20:21
64:23,25 65:4	56:4.5 63:16	25:23 33:6	meaning 12:9	55:14 66:8.18
65:6,13,14	locations 15:11	37:15 59:7	measured 18:4	67:17
67:16 68:9,14	15:12 37:20		meeting 6:2.3.20	minimal 55:19
left 70:13	40:2 47:13	M	10:7 19:9	minor 67:18
left-hand 50:17	50:22 57:4	M 2:10	23:21 26:4,5	missed 51:2
legal 58:20	long 12:14 17:18	Ma'am 29:2	63:9,11,14	missing 67:12
let's 9:23 25:21	17:20 49:24	macro 10:13	72:18,20 73:20	misspoke 65:9
28:10 31:11	51:22 60:1	11:23 59:1	73:21.22.24	Mlenak 2:3 4:16
33:7 42:10	63:1 67:9.22	63:22 64:25	74:3.4.14.15	4:20 6:10.23
43:10 44:12,21	70:7 72:23	65:14	74:20 75:21	7:3
44:21 50:16	73:8	main 17:19,21	meetings 6:12	modular 32:3
53:7 55:11,13	longitude 36:18	20:19 53:25	meets 12:24	moment 6:15
59:14 60:15	37:1 40:2.17	54:2 67:3 68:7	14:12 60:19	22:25 71:24
67:16,24 74:14	40:19	maintain 36:13	megahertz	Monday 71:4
74:22	look 12:15 19:4	40:25 41:1	19:10 20:13	month 72:5.6.8
level 9:7,11,12	24:15 27:14	major 62:12,13	21:2.21 38:9.9	72:10 73:25
13:7 34:10	29:11.13 34:22	62:17 67:25	42:11.13.18.21	74:16 75:16.23
38:7	35:25.25 39:14	68:3	42:23	month's 72:12
levels 16:16 36:1	39:15 47:1.9	majority 26:25	member 4:24	months 39:1
36:2 44:6,18	51:19 59:21	54:3,9,13	5:1 52:2 74:23	Morristown
45:12 46:18,20	63:24 65:7	making 19:5	members 1:10	19:12 42:9.17
59:22 60:12	67:22 69:25	30:8 35:22	3:15 71:21	44:12 47:10
life 24:22	73:25 74.22	manner 15:9	memory 32.13	Mountain 69-13
light 52:13	looked 16:25	map 15:3 47:14	mental 19:5	move 7:8 12:16
lights 55:12	18:4.15 29.9	mapping 46:3,9	mention 21.14	19:7 22:8 38:8
limited 1:4 5:22	29:10 43:23.24	maps 16:15 47:5	mentioned 4:16	48:5 58:23
	I	I	I	1

73:23 75:6	never 24:22	41:10 43:22	42:8 43:8	75:25
moved 74:4	new 1:4 54:17	47:23 69:16	44:20 48:24	PA 2:7
movie 24:24	55:4 76:4	numbers 9:10	49:23 51:14	PAGE 3:2,9
25:10,14	Newlin 1:11	17:16 27:23	52:12,14,20	panel 11:22
moving 38:23	52:2,6 74:23	67:23	54:21 58:14	paper 19:6
40:15	nice 5:11	numerical 9:2	61:15,22 64:2	parallel 35:18
multi-tasking	night 4:19 72:19	0	65:10 67:14	part 17:8 27:4
31:1	Nina 2:9	$\left \frac{0}{0.7.14} \right $	68:20 69:1,4,9	61:25
multiple 32:11	nine 63:19 64:18	0 /:14	70:15 71:18	particular 14:13
municipal 58:8	64:19	oath /:13	72:1,23 73:5	47:9 51:6
58:9 62:14	node 51:4,6 56:4	object 58:21	75:8,14	59:19,25 61:12
music 25:10	56:9,17 57:15	Objectors 2:8	omni 11:24	63:16
mutual 74:19	58:19 63:9	obligation 5:8	once 31:2	particularly
mutually 72:19	nodes 39:24	ODVIOUSIY 11:21	one-eighth	49:15
N	50:19,24 51:5	09:3	50:20 51:7	parties 76:11
$\frac{1}{N2.12.17.14}$	52:8 54:15	occur 10:19 43:0	52:4,17	Partnership 1:4
$1 \times 2:1 3:1 /:14$	57:5 58:5,7,24	occurred 42:11	one-story 55:24	PAUL 1:18
Hear 18:14	59:5 60:18,24	42:21	ones 18:20 51:12	peer-reviewed
00:18	61:9,12,16,23	occurring 50:9	61:5 64:15,20	22:11 23:1
	62:23 63:2,19	37:2 0.000000 16:21	69:7	pegged 25:24
55:12 mod 12:15 19	64:3,10 65:11	occurs 10:21	operate 41:24	31:20 45:4
neeu 15:15,18 41.7 54.17	65:24 66:22	30:22 ODAS 49.5 7 25	opportunities	people 71:24
41:/ 34:1/	67:2,25 68:2	ODAS 48:5,7,25	55:11,19	people's 16:13
69.17 24 72.14	68:25 69:6,15	49.12,17,10,22	opportunity 6:4	perceives 59:6
08:17,2472:14	69:24	54.22 55.17	opposed 37:21	percent 10:24
75.15,22 needed 22:2	noise 46:21	56.4 0 17 24	60:22	22:21,23 23:5
68.2	non-Verizon	57.1 5 15 58.4	optimal 44:8,15	23:10,12 28:4
00.3	73:23	59.7 10 24	order 46:1	percentage
ac.12 12 20	non-voice 24:13	50.5 17 60.2	ordinance 57:24	22:13 27:5,16
30.12,12,20	24:20 25:8,8	60.17.61.4.8	58:6,11,13,18	27:23 38:3
<i>37.0,10,12</i> <i>11:6 16:10</i>	40:20	61.12 16 22	organize /4:11	39:/41:/
50.8 23 60.5 5	nonresidential	62.23 63.2 0	orientation 4/:2	45:17,22,25
60.7.8	15:24	63.24 66.21	outcomes 69:21	4/:22
00.7,0 negative 9.73 7/	normal /1:12	67.25 68.2 25	outdoor 39:22	percentages
12.24 16.4	nortn 44:13	69.14 71.5	39:24	27:9,25 36:8
36.20 21 37.15	Notary /0:3	office 25.20	outside 39:9	3/:4 40:3
30.10 50.16 16	notation 18:25	oh 47.20 52.20	40:22 58:25	perfect 37:9
60.21 22 61.10	note 4:23 19:5	69·4	0/:4	performance
61.10	notes 1/:3,0,0,8	okay 4.21 25 7.3	overlapping	20:22 33:10
negatively 31.17	19:5 notice 74:10 25	7.4 19 20 25	45:9,17,22	performed 8:5
neither 76.9 11	notice 74:19,23	8.2 12.14 13.1		15:20
network 19.19	November 20:4	17.13 18.5	52:10	A2.17
25.23 26.23	20.7 8	19.7 23.17	overwhenning 26.25	43.17 normitted 59.57
32:4.5 49:13	27.1,0 number 8.1 17	27:18 28:18	20.23	per mitteu 38:3,/
60:17	0.21 22 27.2 6	30:15 31:25	P	51.22 52.11
network's 30:2	9.21,22 27:3,0	32:9.23 33:21	P 2:1.1	31.23 32.11
30:12	27.0,10,17 28.1,2,21.1	37:18 39:13	P.E 1:18	personative 65.7
networks 54:23	$\begin{array}{c} 20.1,2 \ 51.1 \\ 38.25 \ 11.4 \ 5 \end{array}$	40:16 41:13	p.m 1:8 74:20	perspective 05:7
1.2011011001120	30.23 41.4,3		P	pertain 30:10
	I	I	I	1

nhone 24:24	33:1 35:22	nrobably 4:5.10	60:13	raw 12:1.3
30.271516	52.16 75.4	31.15 64.19	nrovides 16.20	reaching 30.16
30:21 25 31:4	nointed 33:25	65.24 66.6 10	provides 10:20	reaction 49.16
31.11 15 16	pointed 33.23	nrohlem 36.11	37·4	read 22.19 25
32.11 34.1 4 5	24.20 25.24	27.7 28.22	nrovisions 58.11	23.1 15 58.1
34.6	36.1 10.1 11.7	nrohloms 20.21	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{p} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} s$	23.1,13 30.1 reading 0.23
54.0 nhono!s 20.2.11	30.1 40.4 44.7	problems 30.21	71.21 76.2	22.4 51.0
20.17	44:12,15,14	proceed 72:12	/1.21/0.5	25.451.9
30:17	4/:11		public \$ /5:18	readings 12:1,5
phones 28:20	pole / 1:12	PROCEEDIN	pull 20:22	real 24:22
physically 14:5	poles 52:25	1:5	purposes 19:8	really 0:20 11:5
5/:5,15	54:16,23 /1:14	process 18:19	58:1/62:/	15:25 19:3
ріск 43:1 /2:4	police 62:15	promise 24:22	/4:1//5:4	23:23 29:6
75:22	poor 33:17	propagate 41:25	put 9:18 26:15	55:24 61:10
picture 16:20	39:17,18 62:4	propagated 59:6	34:5 55:3,4,18	66:5 72:16
pie 40:7,9,11	63:15	propagation	60:17	reasonable
PierCon 8:17	possibility 72:9	16:15,18,20	Putting 55:23	22:12,17
48:8 69:12	possible 32:7	39:14 47:4,6	<u> </u>	recall 5:13 6:8
PierCon's 69:14	64:19	47:18 50:5,8		6:10 17:14,16
PL 1:6	power 9:7,11,12	59:8,17 61:12	quality 23:7,8	18:2,17 21:19
place 8:5 36:15	10:11,14,15	71:7	23:12 45:11	23:14 24:18
36:20 39:8	11:19 12:7	properties 16:14	quarter 50:21	25:10 27:22
49:7 63:9 76:7	29:22 54:25	proposed 16:3	51:8,11,13,17	33:19 69:12,25
placed 21:21	powerful 43:20	21:2 33:18,22	52:3,10,17	recalls 23:9
56:4 57:6	PP 1:18	34:14,17,17	question 6:15	receiver 9:13
63:13	pre-pandemic	35:21,24 37:3	8:22 10:2	recollection 5:16
placement 57:24	29:17	37:5 38:24	12:21 14:2,9	5:18 17:23
placing 60:24	precisely 36:16	39:14,15 40:5	15:16 16:15,16	58:3,5 70:2
plain 57:13	36:17	44:18 46:6,14	17:14 21:9	record 8:4,12
plan 48:5,7,9,11	PRECISION	58:25 59:3	22:3 24:3 28:6	15:6,8 44:24
48:25 49:17,18	1:23	62:23 68:1	29:6,20 42:4,5	74:18 75:5
50:9,12,12	prediction 66:4	proposing 39:1	42:15 48:24	record's 44:20
66:21 71:6	PRESENT 1:10	55:18	49:16 50:10	recorded 15:11
72:5 74:14	1:16	provide 10:9.22	57:8,10,14	17:3 33:6
planner 4:23	presented 8:7.25	11:14.15 12:8	61:1,3 63:12	recording 19:17
72:20 75:7	24:4 33:2	12:14 14:12.25	71:4	37:23 48:2
planning 72:12	47:21 63:13	46:9 50:17	questioning	Red 67:9.18
74:11	presumably	55:25 56:3	7:25	reduce 21:6
play 43:25 74:2	12:23 28:19	provided 6:23	questions 6:14	43:12.22
Pleasantville	47:4	10:19 11:23	16:1 22:9 33:6	Reel 19:12
18.7 14 53.14	nretty 34.24	12.1 13 14.11	45:13 52:21	refer 16.3 20.1
59.25 63.1	previously 5.20	20.25 21.25	70:12 71:22,25	23.7 44.9
67.8.18	7.1 15 48.16	20.2321.23 22.424.12	73:18,19	reference 12.10
nlease 11.5	49.21 59.24	25.2 11 17	auick 71:3	20.8 35.8 9
pleasure 70:25	nrimarily /3.6	25.2,11,17 26.7,12,27.4	auickly 60:6	referenced
$\frac{\text{pleasure } 70.23}{\text{nlot } 45.25}$	primary 5/1.25	20.7,1227.4 20.4735.4		23.21 25.2
plue 63.25	primary 54.25	25.7,755.7	R	25.21 25.2 referencing 51.4
pius 03.2 00.13	20.6 26.4	30.22 37.1	R 2:1 7:14 76:1	51.12
point 5.12.15	40.16 50.2	18.6 0 50.22	range 52:19	51.12 referred 22.10
Point 3.13,13 21.10 20.7	+7.10 JU.2 nrivato 18.21 22	58.6 50.23	53:1 64:12	referring 7.22
21.10 20:7	private 10:21,22	30.0 39.21	rate 38:10	reterring /:25
	I	I		I

	I	I	I	
11:4 20:19,20	1:23	27:6,9,10 30:1	67:3,5,6,18	44:25 59:17
21:15 26:9	reports 15:12,14	30:8 31:2,3,5	68:1,7	scenarios 33:25
30:6 34:14	15:17,18,19,20	31:22 32:1,6,7	roadway 21:5	scheduled 74:3
reflect 25:18	17:9,10,23	32:8,10,14,20	50:19 51:22	74:15
refresh 70:1	19:2 20:7	32:25 33:3,10	54:10 65:10,23	schedules 74:7
refrigerator	21:19 22:1,11	35:17,19 36:14	roadways 16:23	Schneider 2:5,5
34:8	22:14	37:11,14,21,21	59:5 68:3,4,24	4:13 5:12,17
regard 50:16	represent 9:6	40:7,10,14,15	Rob 6:10 52:2	7:2,5,6,12 11:3
54:14	61:24	41:18,25 42:3	ROBERT 2:8	11:6,9,15 21:9
regarding 22:9	represented	42:5,6,18,19	role 43:25	21:12,18 23:24
49:17 57:24	4:23 71:22	43:8 46:7,22	roll 60:5	48:18 53:9
61:1 73:21	request 11:2	52:1 55:21	rooftop 55:16,24	56:5 57:7,16
regardless 25:25	24:14 47:25	56:22 59:9	70:19	58:10,14,20
50:7	72:17	62:6,23,24	rooftops 55:12	69:16 70:3
regular 72:18	research 22:19	63:3,6,10 64:8	55:15	72:2,4,7,25
regularly 74:3	reserve 72:10	64:15 65:9,22	ROSA 76:3,15	73:16 74:17
74:15	75:2,5	66:2,11,20,21	ROSENBAUM	75:2,9,14,17
reinitiate 28:9	resident 18:11	66:24 67:5,7	1:14	school 70:16,17
relate 41:4	residential	68:5,5,18	route 18:20	screen 51:25
related 28:21	15:23 16:21	69:10 70:14	ROWE 2:2	seat 24:21
relation 35:6	17:11,21,24	71:1 72:10	RPR 76:15	second 14:23
36:23 45:23	18:11,23 20:15	73:16,24 75:2	RRC 41:3	secondary 54:25
relative 76:10	20:18 53:17	75:5,15,20	RSRP 9:3 10:4	SECRETARY
76:12	65:5 67:12	right-of-way	12:5,13,25	4:22
reliable 16:4	respectful 72:17	57:24 58:6,8,9	16:4 39:19	sector 34:16
37:14 59:6	respectfully	58:17,19	59:8	35:24 44:14
Relo 44:12	72:14	risers 54:25	RSSI 9:2 10:4	45:2,2,4 46:2,4
47:10	respective 24:25	road 1:5 12:18	12:3,4	46:10,14,23
remaining 34:13	response 49:16	17:4,21 18:7	Run 18:8,8,14	47:8
remember 18:12	52:21	18:10,14,15	running 32:12	sectors 34:20
25:6 69:24	result 32:13,16	20:21,21,21	32:15	36:1 37:3 40:3
remind 7:12	32:21	35:1,1,2 53:8	~	40:4 46:5,12
Remote 1:8	results 30:10	53:14,15,17,21	<u> </u>	47:11
repeat 4:2 12:21	31:12	54:2,5 55:13	S 2:1 3:7 7:14,14	see 5:11 6:16
42:14	review 10:20	55:14,14 59:25	safe 49:10	11:18 12:11
repeated 8:12	reviewed 15:1	60:1,18 61:23	Saganic 2:10	14:4 17:11
repetitive 6:6	22:11,14 26:6	61:24 62:12,18	Sand 35:1	21:18 24:15
rephrase 21:17	28:24	62:19,20,21,24	Sarah 2:11	29:13 30:14
reply 71:23	RF 5:13,14	62:24 63:1,1	saw 41:11	41:10 44:1
report 6:17,18	Rich 11:11	63:10,20 64:10	saying 19:20	47:7,13,20
8:7 9:15 10:23	21:11 73:12	64:14,21,24,25	51:6 52:12	51:11,25 52:12
18:2 21:14	RICHARD 2:5	65:5,14,17,19	54:3 67:14	55:9,16 56:23
22:15 23:1,22	Ridge 20:8	65:20 66:8,18	says 58:8	60:6 65:4
26:16 33:13	right 4:1,12 5:24	67:9,10,10,11	scale 50:17,23	70:15 75:16
34:13 35:22	6:7 7:9 11:10	67:17,18	51:8,16,20,21	seeing 59:18
41:4 62:11	13:2 15:19	roads 17:2,19	52:7,15	seen 26:18,24
Reporter 76:4	17:14 18:17	18:4,19,23	scanned 35:25	49:11,14 50:2
Reporters 1:24	19:20 21:25	54:1,24 62:13	46:4	50:5,9,12
REPORTING	24:1 25:6 27:6	62:17 66:19	scenario 44:11	54:24 71:13
1				

٦

selected 9:21	side 50:19 73:3	60:15,19 63:22	72:19 73:21,22	36:9,10 37:8
send 4:17	signal 16:15	64:25 65:24	73:23 74:4	38:12,18 44:5
sense 27:25	19:18 30:16,18	66:1 69:13	specific 13:16	59:13,15 60:21
separate 24:17	31:18 33:10	site's 65:14 66:9	24:16	61:10 62:5
separation	34:4.9.12 36:1	sites 19:11.13.21	specifically	63:15 67:3
24:14	36:2.9.10.13	38:11 43:11.15	16:12 18:3.6	strengths 37:6
server 45:25	37:6.8 38:7.11	43:25 45:8.23	18:19 19:16	stretch 63:20
46:18 47:8.14	38:18 44:5	46:5.13	35:5 39:13	64:11
servers 38:1	45:11.12 46:18	situated 53:16	47:15	stronger 38:7
service 1:23	59:13.15.22	situation 25:18	spoke 5:6	structure 13:10
17:25 23:8.13	60:12.21 61:9	six 63:5 65:24	spoken 16:17	13:24 55:24
33:17 55:25	62:4 63:15	66:16.17	spreadsheet	structures 14:17
services 13:10	67:3	slightly 56:15.16	25:2 26:7.12	14:19.22 15:5
serving 45:12	signals 45:11	64:4	26:14.20 27:15	54:17.18 55:16
46:5.13 47:7	significance	slipping 7:23	45:16	55:21 71:5
47:16	44:17	slotted 73:20	Spring 35:1	studies 22:12.15
set 53:25 54:2.3	significant	small 55:11.18	61:23 67:10.19	23:2
54:10 76:8	39:17 60:6	55:23 56:9.14	69:8	subject 58:10.13
setback 53:7.7	signs 18:21	56:21.24 57:1	standard 22:13	submit 60:11
53:20	SIM 32:2	70:18	22:17.22.24	75:9
setting 72:22	similar 56:3	smart 25:22	standing 34:7.7	submitted 6:5
settle 26:2	57:1	28:20 31:16	start 8:3 29:11	10:25 15:13
severe 60:4	Simon 2:8 4:13	SMITH 2:2	started 6:13 7:8	16:14 17:9
SGSL 2:8	5:15.20.25 6:1	SMSA 1:4	62:10	24:11 48:16.19
sheds 55:22	6:13 7:5.9.11	solution 55:17	state 28:21 42:4	48:20 49:6.12
sheet 41:7	7:16 8:11,15	somebody 40:22	76:4	49:18 50:3,4
shield 30:23	11:1.8.11.12	someone's 25:19	stated 8:3 34:3	50:13 60:10
Shorthand 1:24	21:11,16 23:17	somewhat 59:11	41:23 46:1	subscriber 32:2
76:4	23:25 52:6	sorrv 10:17	49:5 62:11	subsequent 8:22
Shot 74:20 75:1	53:10 57:11,18	12:21 15:25	statement 52:25	26:5
show 12:23	58:12,15 69:22	17:7 20:12	station 11:20	sudden 30:9
19:21,25 27:4	70:5,8,9,12,22	21:15 22:14	stav 23:11	suggest 63:8
30:13 35:5	71:1,20,22	27:12 39:5	stenographica	summary 30:13
36:4 37:14	73:7,10,17	42:7 49:4,20	76:7	supplied 15:21
38:17 39:21	75:19	50:25 51:1,3	Steve 6:7 74:9,9	24:16 48:14
41:6 42:17	simple 14:9	51:24 52:21	74:10	supply 11:2,7
47:6 69:7	simultaneously	54:7 68:6,19	STEVEN 2:3	15:3,22 23:19
showing 9:11	32:15	sort 29:23	stick 70:23	sure 9:8 10:25
12:19 13:4,13	sit 73:24	sound 5:25	story 55:23	12:22 14:7
16:2,8 37:7,8	site 10:13,14	66:11 68:5	streaming 24:24	15:4 23:16
38:21 46:19	19:25 20:9	74:12	25:9	27:23 31:19,24
54:15 60:12	21:3 34:21	sounds 5:24	street 9:7,11	32:23 41:9
63:5 66:22	35:8,13,14,21	67:7 75:8	13:7 16:10,13	42:15 51:3,12
shown 9:10,18	35:21 38:6,14	southern 47:11	16:19 53:3	54:19 74:22
47:4 48:7,15	38:14,22,23	SOVOLOS 1:13	63:10	surrounding
61:9,13,23	39:2 40:5,11	space 32:12	streets 16:21	34:15 35:23
shows 9:22 36:2	42:13,23 45:2	speak 23:12	17:12 20:15,19	43:25 56:1
58:24	45:3,20,20	70:25	20:19 53:3	Susan 2:9
shrinks 29:23	46:24 59:1	Special 72:9,13	strength 19:18	swap 43:15

				_
swanning 43.11	65.22 73.2	35.22 41.23	total 27.3 16	68.21 72.14
switch 38.8	75.10	43.15 44.15	28.2 41.10	turn 71.24
swoon 72.15	terrain 64.6 9	53.12 56.14 23	68.2 6	turned 19.13
sworn 3.15 7.15	64.16.66.5	58.8 61.3	totally 66.20	tweaking 48.11
SYMONDS	test 8:5.7.8.17	62:13 64:20.24	tough 71:12	48:13
1:12	8:20 9:15.15	65:3.19.22	tower 10:8 12:8	two 4:6.11 6:11
system 29:22	10:12 11:24	66:12 68:17	21:2.2.6.21	22:21.23 23:5
	12:2 14:10	70:11 71:15	towers 71:5	23:10,11 24:15
T	16:12,16,17,19	72:13,16,24	town 71:13	44:3 45:8,13
T 3:7 7:14 76:1	16:24 18:20	73:11 74:6	Township 1:1	56:18 70:11
76:1	19:10,17 20:11	thinking 73:1	33:18 49:1,19	73:2,10,11,16
TAGLAIRINO	20:13 39:15	Thirty-five 68:7	62:14	type 14:25 43:9
1:17 4:22	66:4	Thirty-three	traffic 55:12	56:17
take 10:2 12:15	tested 15:2,10	71:10	67:15,20	typically 52:20
12:22 34:2,10	15:24 61:25	THOMAS 1:13	transcript 1:3	52:24 73:10
36:15 46:22	testified 5:22	thorough 55:7	76:6	
65:25 72:1	6:18 9:1 13:25	thought 68:15	transmission	U
73:14	26:5,11 38:25	thousand 17:20	22:10 25:16,17	U 7:14
taken 9:12,16	testifies 7:15	17:25 18:10	28:11 31:3	unable 33:11
10:5 76:6	testimony 5:14	three 15:14,17	32:22 35:16,18	unaware 48:18
takes 13:7,8,8	5:14 6:5,11,14	15:20 17:8,23	37:24 40:12	understand
talk 23:22 62:17	6:25 10:10	19:2 24:21	43:13 46:3,15	13:11,25 15:25
74:8,9,9	15:5,14 20:7	25:1 30:3 42:9	transmissions	32:10 40:18
talked 41:20	21:20 22:1	42:17 73:19	22:13 23:23	41:9 57:7,9
72:7	23:22 51:14	threshold 13:6	24:5,21 27:1	61:2 66:14
talking 20:12	54:9 59:24	14:13 34:2	33:15 41:22	6/:14
33:14 43:18,19	72:6,16,21,24	59:23	43:3,23 45:16	understanding
44:19 02:18	73:7,13 74:12	Thursday 1:7	transmit 10:14	3:19 11:14
67.2 68.4	74:13 76:6	tilt 43:10	11:19	29:22 understeed
$07.2\ 00.4$	text 4:17 24:6	tilts 43:24 44:16	transmitter	27.22.60.11
tan / 1.3, 14 Tod 2.11	texts 25:9		10:13	27.25 09.11 undertook 15.7
tolocommunic	thank 4:21 5:5,9	time 6:9 22:23	transmitting	undered 35.18
57·25	5:10 /:11,21	26:11 28:16	35:21	undates 32.21
telephone 54.16	8:2,14 11:10	30:19,20 32:12	transpired	updates 52.21 usable 55.2
55.10 71.12 14	11:11 12:13 10.24 21.11	57:25 41:15	09.21	USB 25.21
tell 37·18 42·16	19:24 21:11	07:22 75:14	tree 54:7 00:2	use 26.22 69.6
54:22.59:19	61.15 70.14 24	73.1070.7	60.2	user 28:9 30:14
telling 60:20.23	71.2 10 20	23.2 20.17	00.3 triangla 35.1	30:19.24
ten 64:20 68:1	71.2,19,20	23.5 29.17	10.10 11.0	user's 30:1.4
68:18	75.16 17 19 20	today 5.1 48.23	65.67	users 29:19.21
terminate 31:9	Thanks 4.20	tonight 4.4 6.6	tries 33.7	uses 34:1
termination	75·17	15.14 75.18	true 58:4 69:17	utility 54:23
31:9	thing 71.12	tool 26.22	76.5	utilized 63:14
terms 6:4 14:24	things 31:2	ton 9:13 18:18	trv 59:11	utilizing 11:22
17:3 24:19	think 4:1.16 6:1	50:17 73:1	trying 6:10	11:24 54:16
43:2 47:21	6:17 15:4 19:8	topography	13:19 30:13	
56:19 57:2	20:8 21:17	65:12	31:1 44:8	V
61:11 64:23	23:20 33:1,16	torch 4:15	50:11 53:4	Valley 61:23
	Í			67:10,19 69:8
1	1	1	1	1

		I	I	1
Van 61:23 67:11	wanted 8:11	21:14 57:9	Zone 1:6	47:10
69:7	47:7,13 60:16	69:18 71:7,15	zoom 1:8 25:14	30 32:14
vary 53:13	68:23	word 70:23		300 54:4,10
vehicle 9:13	wasn't 36:21	words 9:9 21:4	0	30XI 76:16
vehicular 67:20	43:17 63:12	30:5,7 72:25	00162800 76:16	32 69:14 70:1
verified 28:4	69:18	worked 69:12	1	33 71:8
verify 27:25	waste 41:13	working 49:22	$\left \frac{1}{11} \right $	33.5 71:9
31:19	watt 10:12,14	49:24,24		35 68:2,6,25
Verizon 1:5 4:5	way 14:20 17:14	worse 46:18	1,320 50:21	71:16
4:9,19 8:21	31:22 38:15	wouldn't 61:11	1013:23	360 47:1
19:18 20:1	52:3,4 57:22	write 14:19	100 9:23 10:24	3G 33:8
25:3,18 26:8	64:14 70:2	writing 33:19	36:12 46:19	3rd 8:6
27:20 28:7,24	ways 14:21 34:1	written 23:5	105 36:12 59:16	
29:16 36:22	we'll 11:9 74:10	wrong 31:7,8	59:23 60:5,8	4
47:23 48:6,10	75:9,22	wrote 10:7	60:21,21 61:10	40 44:23 68:25
48:15,16 49:15	we're 4:4 5:8		106 36:20	45 68:16
55:18 59:6	11:21 20:12		110 36:21	4G 33:3,7
62:1,12 67:4	48:18 59:18	X 1:6 3:1,7	120 19:10 20:12	4GLTE 10:9
69:14 75:22	62:18 65:24		20:14 39:16	12:8
Verizon's 32:5	66:10,19 67:2	$\frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{v}_{\text{and}} 21 \cdot 721}$	125 44:22,23	5
versus 24:13	68:4 70:10	yaru 21:7,21	40:23	$\frac{3}{500,17,10,25}$
25:9 39:9	73:19	55:055:7	14th 8:9,18,22	500 17:19,25
40:20,22,23	weak 33:10 36:9	yean 00:5,15	15:8	18:24 32:24
54:17	37:8 45:12	09:3 / 3:15	1500 52:24	55:18,21
viable 70:21	46:18,20	74:23 Noom 9:0 29:10	1 7 1:0 17 19 1.4	6
vicinity 13:3	weaken 30:18	year 8:9 28:19	1/-101:4	$\frac{1}{60018.9}$
37:5	weaker 36:2,10	29.14,10 Nooms 24.22	101.7 18+h 74.20.24	642-4299 1·24
Videoconfere	37:6 38:11	Vork 1.4	10111 74.20,24	650 50:20
1:8	39:19 44:6		13.1	
view 16:18	59:22 60:12		2	7
Village 18:10	welcome 4:13,13	Z-13 48:6.7.15	2 19:12.12 44:21	7 3:4 9:17
20:21 35:2	went 14:5 20:6	50:3,14,16,18	46:23 47:10	7:30 74:20
55:14 62:20,21	weren't 38:20	51:9,15 52:7	20 13:23 32:14	7:40 1:8
65:18,19,19,20	wetlands 57:13	54:14 58:24	68:15	700 19:10 20:13
65:21 67:17	width 47:3	59:18 61:6,9	2019 29:9,10,18	21:1,21 38:9,9
68:12,13	width-wise	61:13,16 62:25	2020 8:6,9,18,23	7dB 9:24 10:2
visual 75:10	50:20	63:19,25 64:1	15:8 29:7,12	12:6
VOGEL 2:5	Wildlife 18:8,8	66:21	29:14	
voice 24:6,9,10	18:13	Z-14 48:6,7,15	2021 1:7	$\left \frac{8}{0.1}\right $
24:13,17,20	winter 9:16	50:3,14 51:9	2100 42:11,13	81:5
25:/40:20,25	wireless 1:5	54:14 61:6,9	42:18,21,23	80 20:14 21:3,7
VOLTE 24:9	19:18 25:19,21	61:13,17 66:21	21st 5:23	21:8,10,22,24
	29:21 34:15,16	Z-3 8:25 9:10,19	240 44:23	22:3,7
$\frac{11}{\text{want } 4.10, 17, 22}$	55:25 45:7	9:22 12:17,22	25 58:24 66:22	0
8·2 23·4 27·12	3/:23 02:12	13:5 16:9 19:9	67:1,2,25	0.10 75.25
39:5 42.7	WILIIUTAWN 48.17 10 21	19:15,24	68:15,17 71:16	908 1.74
47:20 69:5	40.1/,19,21	Z-8 9:1,10,19,22		93 9·24
70:22 72:14	47.0 WITNESS 2.7	19:9,16,24	$\left \frac{3}{210.12}\right $	95 12.74 13.6
, ,	VIIIVE00 J.2	zero 52:15	3 19:12 44:12	70 12.27 1J.U
	I	I	I	I

16:4 36:3,10 37:6,10,13,16 39:19 44:6 59:8,16 60:5,7 60:22 61:10 96 36:20		