# HARDING TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MINUTES <br> SEPTEMBER 30, 2021 <br> SPECIAL MEETING 7:00 PM 

## CALL TO ORDER AND STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The Board Vice-Chair, Mr. Newlin called the meeting of the Board of Adjustment to order at 7:00 and announced that adequate notice of the meeting had been made in accordance with the New Jersey State Open Public Meetings Act and State Executive Order 103.

## ROLL

Ms. Taglairino called the roll. It went as follows:

| Mr. Cammarata | Present | Mr. Newlin | Present | Mr. Maselli | Excused |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mr. Addonizio | Present | Ms. Sovolos | Present | Mr. Boyan | Present |
| Mr. Rosenbaum | Present |  | Mr. Symonds Present | Mr. Flanagan Present(7:45) |  |

Mr. Hall, Board Attorney, Mr. Fox, Board Engineer, Ms. Mertz, Board Planner and Ms. Taglairino, Board Secretary were present as well.

## REGULAR MEETING

## EXECUTIVE SESSION DETERMINATION

Mr. Newlin announced the request for the Board to adjourn into an Executive Session at the end of the meeting to discuss Professional reviews. Mr. Addonizio made a motion to adjourn into Executive Session. The motion was seconded by Mr. Rosenbaum. On a voice vote all approved of holding and Executive Session at the end of the meeting.

## ADMINISTRATIVE

Mr. Newlin announced the following applications are pending completeness:

| Application BOA\# 14-20 | Donald \& Eliza Murphy <br> 49 Meyersville Road, B55/L5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Application BOA\# 16-21 | Ronald \& Judith Preiss <br> 110 Village Road, B15/L10.03, R-1 Zone |

Mr. Newlin announced that the following applications are carried until the October meeting:

Application BOA\# 02-21

Application BOA\#03-21

Dr. James Wittig
34 Kitchell Road B1/L5 R-1 Zone
Jack Lankford Wade
203 Blue Mill Road, B4/L27, R-1 Zone

## MINUTES

Mr. Newlin tabled the July and August minutes until the October meeting.

## OLD BUSINESS

Application BOA\# 14-21

> Charles Kilgore and Victoria Hawbecker 82 Sand Spring Road, B22/L1
> Applicant is requesting variance relief for a front and side setback and for an accessory structure setback as per
> N.J.S.A.40:55D-70(c).

Presenting:
Nicole Magdziak, Attorney
Richard Schommer, Engineer
Hyland Turner, Architect

- Ms. Magdziak noted that the applicant submitted 2 variations of the Board for their review.
- Ms. Magdziak noted that one plan rotated the home on the plan $90^{\circ}$ and the other plan shifted the house $45^{\circ}$.
- Ms. Magdziak opined about the constraints of the property.
- Mr. Schommer presented Exhibit A with the existing conditions on the property.
- Mr. Schommer presented Exhibit A-2 with a proposed plan to shift the home $90^{\circ}$.
- Mr. Schommer presented Exhibit A-3 with a plan that shows the home shifted $45^{\circ}$.
- Mr. Schommer noted there are still setback variances with both plans but there is no variance for building coverage or lot coverage.
- The plan includes an attached garage and a proposed future pool cabana.
- Mr. Schommer pointed out an approximate tree clearing area.
- Mr. Schommer presented an Exhibit showing neighboring dwelling comparisons.
- Several members noted their preference for the plan turned $90^{\circ}$.
- Mr. Newlin did not share the Board's preference. He was open to either plan.

Mr. Newlin made a motion to approve the Plan A Exhibit subject to landscape plan being approved by the Township Engineer and the proposed patios are not elevated. The motion was seconded by Mr. Rosenbaum. A roll call vote went as follows:

For: Mr. Newlin, Mr. Rosenbaum, Mr. Addonizio, Mr. Boyan, Mr. Symonds, Mr. Cammarata \& Mr. Flanagan.
Against: None

## NEW BUSINESS

## Application BOA\# 7 \&12-21

529 Waterfront Properties, LLC
595 Van Beuren Road, B5/L8, RR Zone

Presenting:
Arnold Lakind, Attorney
Scotti Collins, Attorney for the Gargiulos

Mr. Addonizio recused himself.

Mr. Gargiulo and Mr. Battista were sworn in for testimony.

- Mr. Lakind noted that the item in question is the Zoning Officer decision of the issuance of a permit for a fence on the flagstaff of 595 Van Beuren Road.
- Mr. Hall noted that the proceeding were confined to the definition of a recorded road right-of-way.
- Mr. Lakind cited 225-116Q, that prohibits and part of a fence, driveway gate or pillar from being located in a public right of way.
- The Board discussed the definition of a road right-of-way vs a private shared driveway deed.
- Mr. Byrnes noted a condition within the issuance of the permits that there may need to be access granted in the future to the driveway from Block 5 Lot 6.01 through the proposed fence.
- Mr. Gargiulo and Mr. Battista opined their outlooks on the current conditions of the flagstaff.

After much discussion, Mr. Flanagan made a motion to deny the appeal based on the fact that the driveway is not classified as a road. It was seconded by Mr. Rosenbaum. A roll call vote went as follows.

For: Mr. Flanagan, Mr. Newlin, Mr. Rosenbaum and Ms. Sovolos
Against: Mr. Symonds and Mr. Boyan

## OLD BUSINESS

Application BOA\# 17-18
New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless
8 Millbrook Road, B17/L1, PL Zone
Applicant requesting variance relief for use, per NJSA 40:55D-70(d) for a cell tower.

Presenting:
Richard Schneider, Attorney
Mr. Mlenak is acting Board Attorney for this application.
Robert Simon is an objecting attorney for this application.
Dr. Bruce Eisenstein, RFP Specialist
Peter Steck, Objector Planner

Mr. Schneider questioned Mr. Steck.
There was a discussion about the October 15, 2021 meeting date.
A transcript of the testimony is appended to the minutes.

## OTHER BUSINESS

None

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

There was a motion to adjourn into Executive Session.

## ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Flanagan adjourned the meeting at 10:40.


Respectfully submitted by Lori Taglairino, Board of Adjustment Secretary


|  | Page 5 |  | Page 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. SCHNEIDER: Redirect. | 1 | to end at 10:30. |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I know we hope to have | 2 | MR. SCHNEIDER: So do you want to cut off |
| 3 | an hour and a half tonight. I thought you said -- | 3 | before 10:30 for Executive Session? |
| 4 | MR. SIMON: We sort of talked outside about | 4 | CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Let me ask the Board. |
| 5 | where we are, dates, and all kinds of things. | 5 | How does the Board feel about going to 10:30 and then |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And I'm -- I know we're | 6 | we'll take ten minutes afterwards? |
| 7 | running late on time. We need to do an executive | 7 | MR. SYMONDS: I'd like to go as long as we |
| 8 | session tonight as well. We have to talk about | 8 | can. |
| 9 | scheduling for this application. | 9 | MR. SCHNEIDER: So we'll go to 10:30. |
| 10 | MR. SIMON: We talked about all of that and | 10 | We'll play it by ear. Let me see if I can move this |
| 11 | we're hoping that we can get as far as we can. We just | 11 | along in the interest of time. |
| 12 | need a couple of minutes before you go into Executive | 12 | I don't know about housekeeping item, but I |
| 13 | Session to talk about scheduling. | 13 | want to respond to one question that came up at the |
| 14 | MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, do you want | 14 | prior public hearing. I think it was either the Chair |
| 15 | to do it now? | 15 | or Mr. Newlin, a couple of questions concerning the |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Please. Yes. We can | 16 | distance of the pole from both Village Road and |
| 17 | do that now. | 17 | Millbrook Road and you asked that question in the |
| 18 | MR. SCHNEIDER: So just tell me -- | 18 | context of what the setback was for the Green Village |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And everyone, by the | 19 | Fire Department. So I have those numbers for you, so |
| 20 | way, feel free to leave masks on, if you're | 20 | for the purposes of the record. |
| 21 | comfortable, or feel free to take them off. | 21 | For this site the tower is plus or minus |
| 22 | MR. SCHNEIDER: Just tell me what your | 22 | 365 feet from Village Road, and 650 feet from Millbrook |
| 23 | cutoff time is so I know when the appropriate break is, | 23 | Road. With respect to the flagless flagpole at the |
| 24 | assuming I don't complete. | 24 | Green Village Fire Department at 529 Green Village |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We're scheduled | 25 | Road, and I did that application, I'm now referring to |
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| 1 | the zoning drawings, the setback of the tower to the | 1 | whether he would require that I bring the crane |
| 2 | front property line is 130 feet. | 2 | operator in for live testimony subject to his |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. | 3 | cross-examination. He can speak for himself. What he |
| 4 | MR. SCHNEIDER: So just for purposes of | 4 | told me is that he has no objection to the |
| 5 | record, unless anybody needs me to mark anything, I'll | 5 | admissibility of the certification for what it is. And |
| 6 | just proffer that. | 6 | unless the Board has any objection -- |
| 7 | MR. MLENAK: I'll just ask Mr. Simon if | 7 | CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We don't need to see |
| 8 | he's accepting of those numbers because it was given by | 8 | him. Thank you. |
| 9 | Counsel? | 9 | MR. SIMON: Okay. Rich, is that accurate? |
| 10 | MR. SIMON: I don't have any reason to | 10 | MR. SCHNEIDER: One hundred percent |
| 11 | doubt Mr. Schneider, and certainly I'll verify that. | 11 | accurate. We can move along. We should just quit |
| 12 | If there's an issue I'll raise it, but I believe Mr. | 12 | while we're ahead. |
| 13 | Schneider. | 13 | BY MR. SCHNEIDER: |
| 14 | MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll give you a set of the | 14 | Q. Okay. Mr. Steck, I'm going to try, in the |
| 15 | shadow plans. | 15 | interest of time, while I have a couple of questions, |
| 16 | MR. SIMON: It's fine. I got it. Okay. | 16 | but certainly they'll be less than Mr. Simon's, let's |
| 17 | Can I just -- can I throw in one more housekeeping | 17 | see if we can move this along. |
| 18 | thing? I had submitted to the Board I think before the | 18 | A. And I understand that I am still under |
| 19 | last meeting, a certification from the crane operator | 19 | oath. |
| 20 | incident to our site visit. Just basically reciting | 20 | MR. MLENAK: You're currently under oath. |
| 21 | and identifying the process he went through and how he | 21 | P ETER STECK, having been |
| 22 | raised the crane and the times he was there and the | 22 | previously sworn, testifies as follows: |
| 23 | height it was and the location it was. I submitted | 23 | Examination BY MR. SCHNEIDER: |
| 24 | that to the Board. | 24 | Q. Mr. Steck, on direct with Mr. Simon you |
| 25 | I have spoken to Mr. Schneider as to | 25 | provided the Board with the benefit of your experience |


|  | Page 9 |  | Page 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | as a professional planner, including specifically your | 1 | Q. The three being one in Cranford, one in |
| 2 | testimony in conjunction with applications for wireless | 2 | Bernardsville, one in Hillsborough? |
| 3 | communications facilities. My understanding, and you | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | correct me if I'm wrong, is that you have had occasion | 4 | Q. In the interest of time, are your clients |
| 5 | to testify in opposition to various wireless | 5 | in this matter the same as those of Mr. Simon? |
| 6 | telecommunications cases; is that correct? | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | Q. Okay. And were you retained by Mr. Simon |
| 8 | Q. And can you give me the applications and at | 8 | or the clients directly? |
| 9 | least the municipalities in which you so testified? | 9 | A. My initial contact was through Mr. Simon. |
| 10 | A. In opposition? | 10 | Q. And -- |
| 11 | Q. Yes, correct. | 11 | A. Although my recollection is my proposal was |
| 12 | A. Bernardsville, Cranford on two occasions, | 12 | not to Mr. Simon, but to the clients. |
| 13 | two different towers. I'll have to go through my | 13 | Q. So can I assume from that answer that your |
| 14 | records. Hillsborough. Northvale. The Northvale case | 14 | contractual, your retainer agreement is directly with |
| 15 | I was representing the municipality, so it was not for | 15 | the clients of Mr. Simon's as distinct to Mr. Simon |
| 16 | a private client in opposition. You caught me off | 16 | himself? |
| 17 | guard so I'll have to look at my records to give you | 17 | A. That's correct. |
| 18 | the other names. | 18 | Q. Do you know where all of your individual |
| 19 | Q. Okay. With respect to the Bernardsville, | 19 | clients reside? |
| 20 | let's just say Bernardsville, Cranford and | 20 | A. My recollection is that I have two clients. |
| 21 | Hillsborough, who is the attorney with who you work | 21 | I'll call them prime clients. And they were on the |
| 22 | with in opposition? | 22 | crane inspection tower. |
| 23 | A. Mr. Simon. | 23 | Q. The one being conducted on July 9th of this |
| 24 | Q. All of those matters? | 24 | year? |
| 25 | A. The three. | 25 | A. Yes. It was July 9th or 10th? |
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| 1 | Q. I'm sorry. It could be the 10th, I'm | 1 | 10th where you had occasion to visit any of your |
| 2 | sorry. So when you say the two prime clients, there | 2 | clients' respective properties? |
| 3 | are numerous clients that you're referring to as the | 3 | A. I was not physically on those properties |
| 4 | two prime clients? | 4 | but I did tour the neighborhood on other occasions. |
| 5 | A. If I can just consult my records I may be | 5 | Q. You indicated in conjunction with your |
| 6 | able to be more accurate. | 6 | direct testimony, Mr. Simon asked you a series of |
| 7 | MR. SIMON: Would you like Mr. Steck to | 7 | questions about what you did in preparation for your |
| 8 | consult his records or do you want to move on, or he | 8 | testimony. I just want to make sure I understand it. |
| 9 | can go back to it? | 9 | You've had the opportunity and have reviewed all of the |
| 10 | MR. SCHNEIDER: No, that's fine. | 10 | transcripts of the public hearings conducted to date? |
| 11 | BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Can you repeat the | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | question while you're waiting? | 12 | Q. And you indicated on direct that you had |
| 13 | BY MR. SCHNEIDER: | 13 | the opportunity to review all of the exhibits that were |
| 14 | Q. I was asking whether he was retained by all | 14 | posted on the website. Do you understand whether all |
| 15 | of his clients or were there certain -- two prime | 15 | of the exhibits that have been marked into evidence in |
| 16 | clients that he was retained by? | 16 | this matter have been posted on the website? |
| 17 | A. I would invite assistance from Mr. Simon | 17 | A. I don't know whether they have or not. |
| 18 | because my proposal -- | 18 | Q. Okay. Did you review any exhibits that |
| 19 | Q. Let's move along. Other than the crane | 19 | have not been posted on the Harding Township website |
| 20 | test that was conducted on July 10th did you have | 20 | for this matter? |
| 21 | occasion to personally visit any of your clients' | 21 | A. I don't know because I don't know what -- I |
| 22 | residences? | 22 | have reviewed all the exhibits that were posted on the |
| 23 | A. The first time I was on their properties | 23 | website. If I was present at a meeting where an |
| 24 | was during the crane test. | 24 | exhibit was marked I was, you know, obviously -- that |
| 25 | Q. Was there any other time other than on July | 25 | was available to me if I was here. |

Q. Okay. But it is possible that there were exhibits not marked into evidence -- I'm sorry, that were not posted on the website or were not marked into evidence and you may not have reviewed those exhibits; is that correct?
A. I wouldn't know -- if they were marked as an exhibit in the record and they were posted on the website I did look at them.
Q. You were present at the -- as you just indicated, at the site inspection that is conducted on that Saturday morning July 10th; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And in conjunction with your physical appearance on that evening you took certain photos as was more particularly reflected on Exhibit O-5; correct?
A. On that morning, yes.
Q. On that morning, yes.
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And other than the photographs that you took and are reflected in Exhibit O-5 did you have occasion to undertake any additional visual analysis?
A. I took additional photographs that I did not put in my exhibit.
Q. Okay. Did you -- have you had occasion or

## Page 15

Q. Now, on direct you provided testimony somewhat detailed about what you understood to be the required variance relief in conjunction with this application. So I want to be sure that I understand all aspects of that testimony.

You spent some significant time discussing the nature of the existing uses that are conducted at the DPW property. Just so I don't misunderstand your testimony as it relates to those uses, you take no issue with or do not dispute that the underlying DPW use is a permitted use in the PL Zone which the subject property is located; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And your opinion is that, and you acknowledge that the wireless communication facility is not a permitted use in the PL Zone; hence, that's why this matter is before the Board as a D-1 Use Variance; correct?
A. That's one of the "D" Variances that is triggered by this application.
Q. And the other "D" Variances, just so we're clear in the interest of time, is your opinion that a " D " Variance is required as a second or third principal use on the subject property; is that a fair statement?
A. Correct.
-- strike that. Did you have occasion to prepare for this case, what we would commonly refer to as photo simulations?
A. I did not.
Q. Okay. In your capacity as a professional planner in the past have you had occasion to prepare what we -- what has been commonly referred to as photo simulations?
A. On this case or in general?
Q. In general.
A. I have not done photo simulations, but I have on other occasions, for example, floated a helium balloon just to indicate where the top of a cell tower would be, but part of my practice is not producing photo simulations.
Q. Were you present on the date of the crane test that resulted in the visual analysis prepared by Mr. Masters as reflected in O-5?
A. No.
Q. Were you present at the crane test that was conducted by Verizon Wireless in February of 2019?
A. No.
Q. Had you been retained by your clients by either of those dates?
A. No.
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Q. Now, in order to establish the entitlement to a D-1 variance because the use here is not inherently beneficial the burden of the applicant is to establish that the use serves the general welfare because the site is particularly suitable for the proposed use; is that a correct statement?
A. Under the positive criteria, yes.
Q. Okay. And you would acknowledge that Verizon Wireless is licensed by the FCC at various frequency bands; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And would you agree that by virtue of that license its use as a matter of law serves the general welfare; correct?
A. For part of the positive criteria that's correct. It does not -- that does not in itself address the particular suitability that needs to be demonstrated.
Q. But it does establish as to that prong of the positive criteria that its use serves the general welfare; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And to use your words, and you correct me if I'm wrong, the fact that Verizon Wireless is licensed they have crossed that hurdle, is that
consistent with your recollection of how you characterize --
A. That part of the positive criteria, yes.
Q. Were you present physically or did you
otherwise have the opportunity to review the testimony of Police Chief Heller in this matter?
A. I was not present but reviewed the transcript.
Q. Okay. And in the course of your opinions that you rendered on direct did you give consideration to the testimony of Police Chief Heller?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you recall specifically that portion of the Chief's testimony in which he testified about a lack of reliable wireless communication services that the police were encountering in various portions of Harding Township?
A. I recall that that was part of his testimony.
Q. Okay. Do you believe that the lack of reliable wireless communication services, as testified by Police Chief Heller, is relevant in assessing whether the use advances the general welfare?
A. In my opinion it's relevant.
Q. Okay. You also, with respect to the
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that there was a lack of reliable wireless
communication services at the Harding Township School when he conducted those training exercises?
A. Again, I don't remember it under the
heading of training exercises, but I know that it was his opinion that he would have preferred better reliability in communication at the school.
Q. Do you believe the lack of reliable
wireless communication services encountered by the Chief during the training exercises at the Harding Township Elementary School would be a relevant consideration as the Board considers whether the use serves the general welfare?
A. It would be one consideration, but my understanding is that the applicant needs to address also multiple technology. So for example, there could be an in-building distributed antenna system that would solve the issue.
Q. So your response to that is that there may be other technologies, but you don't dispute the underlying fact that if there was a lack of reliable wireless communication services that would be a relevant consideration in the first prong of the Sica balancing test?
A. That would be one consideration.
negative criteria, discussed at length the applicability of the Sica balancing test; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you don't take any issue with the opinion rendered by Mr. Masters as confirmed by Mr. Mlenak and Ms. Mertz that this application with respect to the negative criteria is governed by the Sica balancing test; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And for the benefit of the public and for the benefit of the Board what is the first prong of the Sica balancing test?
A. The first prong is to assess the magnitude of the public benefit that is associated with the proposed use.
Q. Okay. And do you happen to recall the testimony of Police Chief Heller as it relates to certain training exercises that he took part in at the Harding Township Elementary School?
A. I know that he -- I don't know it under the heading of training exercises, but I know that he did comment on the need for, in his opinion, better -better radio frequency connections having to do with the school.
Q. And in fact did not Chief Heller testify
A. No.
Q. Do you know if there are administrative offices of the Harding Township school district at the elementary school?
A. I do not know for sure.
Q. Do you know if the superintendent's office is at the Harding Township School?
A. I do not know for sure.
Q. In preparation for your testimony here this evening did you have occasion to familiarize yourself with the public bid process which preceded this application?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you review the bid specifications?
A. I believe I did.
Q. Did you have occasion to review any meeting minutes or transcripts of the Harding Township committee relative to the public bid process?
A. I did not.
Q. Did you personally make any inquiry to the Township of Harding to obtain any information about the public bid process?
A. No.
Q. I just need to get a drink. Hold on. (Brief pause.)

Mr. Steck, I believe, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you indicated when you were going over your qualifications with Mr. Simon that I believe that you indicated that you had served previously as the Municipal Planning Consultant to both Maplewood and Montclair, was I correct about that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. In Montclair I was their In-House Planning Director so I was not a consultant. But in Maplewood I was hired as a consultant.
Q. Based on that municipal experience and your other experience are you generally familiar with the process involved when a municipality solicits bids for lease rights to municipal property?
A. That function largely comes out of law departments so I would not be -- as the planning director or the planning consultant in Maplewood I would not be familiar with those procedures.

Q. Based on your extensive experience as a professional planner would it be reasonable for you to assume that in a municipality such as Harding Township makes available certain property for lease rights that one would reasonably assume that the municipality is familiar with the property before going out to public <br> $$
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$$ <br> \section*{Page 24} <br> \section*{Page 24}

bid; correct?
MR. SIMON: Objection. When you say familiar with the property, in what context?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Familiar with the existing
land uses, surrounding land uses, ongoing operations.
Q. Is that a reasonable assumption based on your experience?
A. It is, but there are times when
municipalities make mistakes in putting properties out
to bid. They don't know all of the factors involved or may not know, for example, in Maplewood an easement was on a property that had to do with, let's say, lease.
Q. Let me be more specific. Based on what you know would it be reasonable to assume that the Township of Harding was well familiar with the nature of the ongoing DPW operations that are conducted at the subject property?
A. I would assume that they were aware of those operations.
Q. Would it likewise be reasonable to assume that the township was aware of what the permitted uses are in the PL Zone?
A. I would assume that they would be aware of the permitted uses of the PL Zone.
Q. Would it be reasonable to assume that the
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familiar with the property before going out to public

Township was familiar with the nature of the surrounding land uses to that of the DPW property?
A. I assume that the elected officials are aware of the facility and the surrounding land uses.
Q. Would it be reasonable to assume that the Township Committee was familiar with the relevant Master Plan considerations as it relates to the subject property?
A. I'm less confident that they would be familiar with the Master Plan considerations.
Q. Okay. Would you think it's reasonable to assume that the township was familiar with any redevelopment plans or studies that impact the subject property?
A. Well, since the governing body has to adopt a redevelopment plan I would presume that at least in theory they were aware of those redevelopment plan provisions.
Q. Would it be reasonable for you to assume that the -- when a township solicits a public bid for a use on a particular piece of property it would want to ensure that that proposed use doesn't operationally interfere with the existing use at the property?
A. Would you repeat that, please?
Q. In your professional opinion would it be

1 reasonable to assume that when a township or municipality goes out to public bid for a particular use at a subject property that it would want to ensure that that proposed use does not operationally interfere with the existing use?
A. That's a reasonable assumption.
Q. Would it be reasonable in your opinion to assume that in this case the Township of Harding would be familiar with the boundaries of both the state and Federal historic district?
A. I don't know whether the Township was or was not. The bid specs, I believe, did put the burden on the bidder to address similar issues. So I would presume that in a general fashion the governing body was aware of some historic issues.
Q. You spent some significant time on direct testimony with Mr. Simon talking about what you opined as a third use, that being the Tunis-Ellicks House which is located on the same property. Would it be reasonable to assume that the Township was well familiar with the fact that that house existed at the subject property?
A. Yes.
Q. Would it be reasonable to assume that the

Township was familiar with the cell towers proposed or
A. Yes.
Q. Now, was Harding Township, as you
understand it, under any legal obligation to make available its public property for the sighting of a wireless communication facility?
A. No.
Q. So as they did with this municipal building property they could have declined to make the property available; correct?
A. When you say municipal building property you mean the one we're in?
Q. Let me rephrase the question. So, the Township could have very easily declined to make available the DPW property for the sighting of a wireless communication facility; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. They were under no obligation to make that property available to Verizon Wireless or any other wireless communication carrier; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. At the last public hearing Board member Newlin asked you a question, and I'm not sure that you either answered it or I understood the answer. So let me go there again.

Recognizing that the Township was not under
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the cell tower's proximity to both the national and state historic district?
A. I don't know whether they were familiar with the subtleties of the local versus the state and national designations.
Q. Do you recall, if you know, what the original authorized height of the proposed tower was under the public bid?
A. I don't recall offhand. It may -- I don't recall the precise height on the bid specs.
Q. Would it be reasonable in your opinion to assume that the township was aware of the potential visual impact that would result from the sighting of a tower on the subject property?
A. Well, my recollection is that a bidder at that moment didn't necessarily disclose the aesthetics of the proposal, so I'm not sure that the governing body would necessarily have evaluated that in advance.
Q. But the township would have been made aware of the fact in this case that the bid authorized a 140-foot tower; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. As a professional planner do you think it's important, generally, that municipalities provide for reliable wireless communication?
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any obligation to make available the DPW property for the sighting of a wireless communication facility, do you have an opinion as to why they did in fact make the property available?

MR. SIMON: Objection. If you know directly, but are you asking him to speculate? Because he shouldn't be speculating.
Q. Do you have an opinion as to --
A. I'm aware that Verizon -- my recollection is that Verizon approached the municipality, but I don't know why the municipality -- I don't know what was in the mind of the elected officials as to why they responded by offering this property.
Q. In your opinion would it be reasonable to assume that, in fact, they made the property available because they have identified a need for reliable wireless communications services?

MR. SIMON: Objection. Unless you know, specifically.
A. I don't know that the municipality had an independent evaluation of the reliability of communications.
Q. What other considerations would you think would be relevant in the township making available the facility for a wireless communication use?

MR. SIMON: Objection. That's vague and speculative.

BOARD MEMBER BOYAN: So just as a point of
order, shouldn't the Chair rule on the objection?
MR. MLENAK: Well, the objections that --
so far before that have taken place Mr. Schneider has
rephrased the question and Mr. Steck has answered the question. And on this particular question, Mr.
Schneider, do you want to rephrase the question?
MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll move on. I think the
point's been made.
BY MR. SCHNEIDER:
Q. You indicated previously, I believe, or at least certainly on direct, that you're familiar with the relevant provisions of the PL Zone; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And in the interest of time let me see if I can condense this. There are no setback requirements contained in the PL Zone; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And there's no requirements as they relate to minimum lot area; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. No requirements relating to impervious coverage; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. No requirements relating to building coverage; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And are there any height requirements contained in the PL Zone?
A. I don't recall any.
Q. On the assumption, which I believe is correct, that there are no height requirements, if hypothetically the township of Harding wanted to construct a 120 -foot silo or salt dome in conjunction with its DPW use, would a height variance be required?
A. No.
Q. Are there any other zone districts within Harding Township that do not contain any bulk or height requirements?
A. None that I know of.
Q. Does the PL Zone contain any permitted conditional uses?
A. I don't recall any conditional uses at all. I think they're either permitted or not permitted.
Q. So neither the existing DPW use or the proposed wireless communication use constitutes a conditional use; correct?
A. Correct.
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Q. Okay. And you in preparation for your testimony obviously had the opportunity I'm sure to review in detail the testimony that was proffered by Mr. Masters in his capacity as the Planner for the Applicant; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And do you recall that portion of his testimony where -- and I'll do this in the interest of time -- where he went through the conditional use requirements that would be applicable for wireless communication towers if it was located in a conditional -- a permitted conditional use zone; do you recall that testimony?
A. He did go through the requirements for the OB or B-2 Zone.
Q. And in fact under Harding Township's Ordinance, wireless communication towers are a permitted conditional use in certain zone districts; correct?
A. In the two zones that I mentioned.
Q. Right. And as the Chairman specifically asked you last time, those zones are nowhere proximate or close to that of the DPW property; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you happen to know the distance of those
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zones from the DPW property; if you know?
A. It's certainly more than a mile away.
Q. So since the wireless communication here use is not located in the two zoned districts where towers are a conditional use would you agree with the fact that Section 225-175 which relates to the conditional uses for wireless telecommunications towers do not govern this application?

MR. SIMON: I'm going to object, only in terms of the use of your term "Do not govern." I don't know what you mean.
Q. Is any relief required from Section 225-175 as they relate to wireless -- to telecommunications towers?
A. No relief is required, but those conditions are relevant in terms of documenting the policies of Harding Township.
Q. Okay. Let's talk about then the relevance in documenting the policies of Harding Township.
In -- would compliance with various

Sections of 225-175 be relevant in documenting the application's conformance with the policies of Harding Township?
A. It depends on which provisions you're referring to.
Q. Okay. So let's go through those. And in the interest of time, Mr. Speck, do you happen to have Section 225-175?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I want to refer you, if I may, to Subparagraph "C" of that Section of the Ordinance. You let me know when you're there?
A. I'm there.
Q. Okay. When an applicant -- "When the Applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Board that suitable locations on existing buildings or structures do not exist or are not available or viable the Applicant may seek approval to erect a wireless telecommunications tower in accordance with the following requirements." And then there's a list of requirements; correct?
A. Yes.

MR. SIMON: I'm sorry. Just so the record's clear, you're referring to Section 225 --

MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes, dash 175 capital "C."
MR. SIMON: Thank you.

## BY MR. SCHNEIDER:

Q. Okay. Now I'm referring to C-1A. With me, Mr. Steck?
A. Yes.
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A. Certainly if it was in the OB or B-2 Zone it would be relevant. But it's a starting-off spot because now we're in a zone that doesn't even permit it. One might say, well, if the governing body were looking at this area maybe it would only allow a tower of 80 feet in height. So you cannot rely on the 120 feet because that was intended for a specific zone that happened to be a commercial zone that specifically permitted towers as a conditional use.
Q. So your opinion is that that section of the ordinance which talks about -- those sections of the ordinance which talks about minimum lot size, minimum setback of tower, maximum tower height, that may or may not be relevant as we assess this application?
A. You have to read the specific wording, but on its face the starting point is these were intended to be applicable for the two zones that allowed it as towers as a conditional use.
Q. So in your opinion these provisions do not provide any relevant guidance to the Board as it assesses this application?

MR. SIMON: Objection. That's not what he said at all.

MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm asking him.
A. If, for example -- one example of guidance
Q. Okay. So as we go through them these are the various conditional use requirements, if you were located in those two zones; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And the first one is minimum lot size same for permitted use. There's no minimum lot size in the PL Zone so that section would be satisfied; correct?
A. Well, this doesn't apply to the PL Zone. It only applies to the OB and the B-2 Zones, and they have minimum lot sizes.
Q. Okay. So the next one would be -- so is it your opinion that -- strike that.

You just indicated that they would be relevant, these provisions would be relevant in determining conformance with Harding Township's policies?
A. Some of them may be relevant, but again you can't ignore the fact that these were designed to apply when a tower was proposed in either the OB or the $\mathrm{B}-2$ Zone.
Q. Okay. With that understanding let's go to Subparagraph C. "The maximum tower height: No more than necessary to provide service and no case higher than 120 feet." Would that be relevant here?
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is if you were coming in with a tower of 140 -feet in height one would say, well, that is really out of the box, because even in the zones where they allow it as a conditional use there's a limit of 120 feet.
Q. Okay. What about the ordinance requirement which provides the maximum number of towers per lot is one; is that relevant?
A. That may be relevant because it's suggested that issues like setbacks should apply to that individual tower, period.
Q. Okay. What about the ordinance provision which permits a compound of no more than 2500-square feet, would that be relevant?
A. That would be relevant if you were in an OB or a B-2 Zone. If someone in any case were -- one could argue that in other zones where it's not even permitted one could argue that just meeting the 2500 -square foot compound might be too large.
Q. Okay. The next one is, "It shall be situated behind any existing structures, buildings, or terrain features. Would that be relevant?

MR. SIMON: Rich, I'm sorry. Relevant to what?

MR. SCHNEIDER: As the Board assesses the applicability of Section 225-175.
A. Again, in my view, because this was intended only for two zones, it's a starting point but one could argue that in a zone that doesn't even permit it the regulations potentially should be more restrictive.
Q. Okay. The next ordinance provision provides that "The maximum height of all equipment shall not exceed 12 feet." Would that be relevant for the Board in assessing this application?
A. It's a starting point that clearly anywhere they shouldn't be more than 12 feet, but because this is in a zone that doesn't permit it and it's near residential uses one could easily conclude that a more restrictive height limit would be appropriate in other areas.
Q. Okay. "When a location out of public view is not possible a landscape buffer of 20 feet is required."

First of all with respect to this application do you believe this that this facility is located out of public view or within public view, as it relates to the DPW property?
A. I'm not sure what public view is. The compound is clearly visible from adjacent residential property. So I'm not sure what the phrasing means
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MR. SCHNEIDER: 225-175 C-2 -- I'm sorry, C-2(d).

THE WITNESS: So it's clear from the heading too that this applies just to the equipment compound.
BY MR. SCHNEIDER:
Q. So now having familiarized yourself with the fact that it only applies to the equipment compound would it be reasonable to assume that the intention of this provision is to ensure that the equipment compound only be required to have a landscape buffer if it's a location out of public view?
A. Well, if the location out of public view is not possible and you were within the OB or the B-2 Zone this suggests that that negative influence could be mitigated by a 20 -foot buffer.
Q. Okay. So again, your opinion as to this section, as it was on the other ones, that these have limited relevance because they're not located in the OB or any of the two permitted conditional uses, is that a fair statement?
A. That's correct. If this were in a zone that wasn't even permitted one could argue that a 40 -foot buffer would be appropriate for the compound.
Q. The next requirement relates to a required
"public view is."
Q. Is it relevant to you that the --

MR. SIMON: Wait, wait. Are you done
answering the question?
THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what the view -what the intent of the public view is. It may be, if it's from the adjacent property owner that's one consideration. It doesn't say from the public street.
Q. Would it not be relevant that in assessing whether it's out of public view whether the equipment would be visible to people accessing the DPW property?
A. Would you ask that again, please?
Q. Would it be relevant in your opinion as to whether the equipment compound would be visible to individuals who are accessing the DPW property for their recycling or the like?
A. It's not clear from this wording. It's clear that people dropping recycling materials off will see this facility, but the answer is people even hundreds of feet away will see it. This applies just apparently to the equipment area. Let me read it one more time.
Q. Sure. Take your time.

MR. SIMON: Rich, I apologize. What section is this, specifically.
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fencing of 7 - to 8 -feet in height. Would that be relevant?
A. It's relevant if you're in the zone that permits it as a conditional use.
Q. So you're grouping those with your opinion on the previous?
A. Yes. This whole section, in my opinion, was intended for towers that were proposed in either the OB or the B-2 Zone.
Q. Okay. Subparagraph 5, which you discussed extensively at the prior public hearing said -provides "Wireless telecommunications towers shall not be erected within 1,000 feet of any historic district or site listed or eligible for listing on the national and/or state register of historic places."

Should that provision be considered in the same light that you just opined as to the other eight provisions?
A. Yes.

MR. SIMON: Well, I'm going to object. If you can just rephrase, because you sort of coupled a whole bunch of things together.

MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll rephrase the question.
Q. Does that section of the ordinance -- let me rephrase it.

Should that section of the ordinance be given the same consideration as that which you just opined as to the previous seven or eight sections?
A. Yes. One could argue that if a tower was proposed in other than an OB or B-2 District potentially it should be 2,000 feet away from a historic site.

MR. SIMON: Do you want me to -- I have about probably ten or fen minutes and then --

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: How does everyone feel about hearing another ten or 15 minutes? Well, let me ask, ten or 15 minutes you have to do your redirect?

Let's talk about scheduling. I think you guys mentioned you had some discussion earlier?

MR. SIMON: We did.
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So let me say -- and I've spoken to Steve and Alf about this. I am not going to -- there's a 99 percent likelihood I will not be here October 21st. I am traveling for business. I'm trying to have things changed. I don't think I'm going to get somebody to cover for me. I'd like to be here to vote and be at the end of this application. So with that said --

MR. SCHNEIDER: Here's my solution. It
takes two to tango, but I think we can pull this off.
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November 4th. It's a Thursday.
BOARD MEMBER BOYAN: So November 4th and 5th is the New Jersey Teachers' Convention, which is a big vacation week.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: What about earlier that week, Tuesday or Wednesday?

MR. SCHNEIDER: I can't do Wednesday but I can do Thursday.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Monday.
MR. SIMON: I can't. We're talking about
November?
SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: So the room will be available November 2nd.

MR. SIMON: Which is Election Day. I'm available that night.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Oh, so then it's not available. The room is not available the following week.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Well, so let me ask. So the Teacher's Convention. Are you going to go away? Are people going away? What about that week prior, the last week of October? I know Steve and I had spoken earlier.

BOARD MEMBER ROSENBAUM: I will not be here.

Based on -- let's assume we have another half hour to 45 minutes of Mr. Steck, let's just be conservative. What I would propose to do, whether you're here or not Mr. Chairman, is carry the matter, Mr. Steck, apparently may have some other matter, but if we can start early, let's say 6:30 or seven on the 21 st , just for the limited purpose of getting Mr. Steck's planning testimony complete, which at that point in time would complete all expert testimony on this matter. Okay. And then maybe even tonight we can then schedule a meeting for the first week of -- I'm throwing out November, but it could be, you know, for a final public hearing scheduled subject to the Board's availability wherein we would have public comments, summation and vote. That way we get it done in a meeting in an hour.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So let me ask -MR. SCHNEIDER: Does that -CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Let me ask the Board.
MR. SCHNEIDER: And the date we had in mind that at least Mr. Simon, myself and Mr. Mlenak were available, I did not check with Dr. Eisenstein --

DR. EISENSTEIN: What day are you talking about?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, I'm throwing out
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CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: The week of the 25th? BOARD MEMBER ROSENBAUM: Yes. I'll be out of town.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Is November 4th definitely out?

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Well, we're not going
to have George here. Who else is not going to be here?
SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: It is also Historic
Preservation. Technically, it is, but we can finagle a Special Meeting.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Let me ask this.
George, can you vote anyway? Can you vote on this application?

BOARD MEMBER ROSENBAUM: Is he eligible to vote?

BOARD MEMBER BOYAN: In terms of having read the material, yes, but as Alternate number two I'm not sure I'm going to be asked to.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Well, is there anybody else who wouldn't be able to attend on the 4th?

BOARD MEMBER CAMMARATA: I may not be able to, Mike.

MR. SCHNEIDER: But will we know that by the 21 st ?

BOARD MEMBER CAMMARATA: Yes. I should
know by then. I'll know probably by Monday.
MR. SCHNEIDER: So -- go ahead.
MR. SIMON: No, you can go.
MR. SCHNEIDER: So what I would suggest is
we complete Mr. Steck on the 21st, and then --
MR. SIMON: Rich, just to be clear, Peter
don't you have another gig that night?
MR. STECK: I do have a meeting in South Hackensack on the 21st.

MR. SIMON: And the reason why I'm raising that now is for Mr. Steck to be available to finish the cross and the redirect, and plus if there's any questions from members of the public, that we just ask that we start on the 21st of October as early as possible so we can get it in and get him out.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. So the guy who's probably not going to be here on the 21st what time does everyone want to start? Actually, the 7 o'clock start I liked.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Seven. How full is the Agenda?

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: We have -- well --
BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Very full?
SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: No, in fact, it
concrete discussion about the next meeting date?
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, yes. Yes. I just want one meeting at a time. So 21st at seven Verizon goes first, right? Fair enough. And then the following meeting is going to be -- I'm sorry. Where did we leave off? We said the 4th is a possibility. Mike, you will know by the 21 st.

Does anyone else have an issue?
BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: Mike, I'm curious why we're not doing the regularly scheduled meeting that month?

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: It's not to say we won't do it.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, I guess the other option, Mr. Chairman, is just bang this -- excuse the expression -- complete the application in its entirety on October 21st.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mike said he would not be here. It would be a better idea to have a full Board. You're not paying me enough.

MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry. You're right. I forgot.

MR. SIMON: Rich's request to bang it out.
BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not a good salesmen there, Rich.
isn't full because I was kind of slow on the roll on applications trying to get to this.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I mean, we have Wittig, we have Wade --

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I don't know if
Preiss will be ready to come in. So I think we possibly would have two, honestly.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Would a seven o'clock
start work? What time do you need? Seven o'clock start works for you?

MR. STECK: The answer is, I will try to make it work. It's just that this was sprung on me this evening, so I don't know what's happening in South Hackensack. I only want to offend one Board per evening.

MR. SCHNEIDER: You can offend them because we've been at it for 20 hearings or something.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And they got you last time, not us. Right, weren't you there? So when are you going to know?

MR. STECK: For the good of the order I will be here at seven o'clock.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Seven o'clock on the 21st.

MR. SCHNEIDER: But could we give some more
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CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: To answer your
question, Elizabeth, I would -- we're going to have a regular application with Mr . Steck on the 21 t of October. I think on the 18 th of November, which would be the regular scheduled November meeting we're going to need to meet with regular applications. And the goal is by the time we get to the 18th this application is done, right, because what we're proposing is on the 4th, if that's the day, have a meeting which is solely this, and vote.

BOARD MEMBER ROSENBAUM: Vote and then approve the Resolution?

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You're giving me the look of why are we having two meetings in one month?

BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: Are you talking to me?

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: No. I'm trying --
MR. MLENAK: I think the issue, and Rich and Rob can speak to it, to get through the application in one meeting we will need the whole meeting.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: What if we gave you the entire meeting on the 8 th of November. And I know part of the desire is to not have this drag out. I can give you the entire meeting. So we can give you November 18th, give you that entire meeting. Ill bump

1 all other non-Verizon applications out of that night.

## then?

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fair enough. BOARD MEMBER ROSENBAUM: I just want to
make sure that that timeline is okay.
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Well, I had a
discussion with the attorneys and my understanding,
though, is when we vote even if it's verbal it's done,
done. There's no changing their mind between voting and writing the Resolution.

BOARD MEMBER ROSENBAUM: So the Resolution
then is to get it properly recorded.
MR. SCHNEIDER: The Resolution is just a memorialization of the Board's action that it would have a taken.

MR. MLENAK: If the Board votes yes the Board can't vote no on the Resolution.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Are you guys okay if I give you the entire meeting -- so October, the regular October meeting, Mr. Steck, first up seven o'clock, an hour to 45 minutes. Then we will give you the entire 18th meeting.

MR. SCHNEIDER: That works for me.
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That works. If that works for you, you're the Applicant. Mr. Simon, you agree with that, too, right?
came in -- what's the mandatory timeline to have to respond to an application once it's filed, 30 days?

MR. SCHNEIDER: 45 days for completeness and then 120 days if it's a variance from the date of completeness.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So 45 days from the date of completeness. So if we get one completed tomorrow I have 120 days to hear it, right?

MR. SCHNEIDER: You can always ask for an extension of time like you did me.

BOARD MEMBER ROSENBAUM: If we did the November 21st that would mean that --

MR. SCHNEIDER: November 18th.
BOARD MEMBER ROSENBAUM: I'm sorry, the 18th, that means the attorney would then draft the Resolutions?

MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
MR. MLENAK: It would be the following meeting.

MR. SCHNEIDER: I don't want to speak for the Board. We would just vote or the Board would vote on the 18 th and then a Resolution, hopefully an approval, whatever it is, would be adopted at a subsequent meeting.

BOARD MEMBER ROSENBAUM: So it's done, done

Page 51
-


| A | answer 10:13 | approval 33:13 | 43:13,15,17,17 | bit 52:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| able 11:6 44:20 | 27:23 38:19 | 50:23 | 45:12 | Block 1:6 |
| 44:22 49:22 | 46:11 48:1 | approve 48:12 | aware 23:18,21 | Blue 1:8 |
| absolutely 52:14 | answered 27:23 | area 29:22 35:5 | 23:23 24:4,17 | BOA 1:4 |
| accepting 7:8 | 29:7 | 38:21 | 25:15 26:12,19 | Board 1:1,11,17 |
| accessing 38:11 | answering 38:4 | areas 37:15 | 28:9 | 2:3 4:4,6,9,11 |
| 38:15 | antenna 19:17 | argue $36: 16,17$ |  | 4:13,15,19 6:4 |
| accurate 8:9,11 | anybody 7:5 | 37:3 39:23 | B | 6:5 7:18,24 8:6 |
| 11:6 54:6 | 44:20 | 41:4 | B 3:9 | 8:25 11:11 |
| acknowledge | anyway 44:12 | ARIC 1:13 | B-2 31:15 34:10 | 15:17 18:11 |
| 15:15 16:8 | apologies 4:23 | asked 6:17 12:6 | 34:20 35:1 | 19:12 20:3 |
| action 51:13 | apologize 4:21 | 27:22 31:22 | 36:15 39:14 | 27:21 29:3 |
| 54:11,13 | 38:24 | 44:18 | 40:9 41:5 | 33:10 35:20 |
| additional 13:22 | apparently | asking 11:14 | back 4:1,15,20 | 36:24 37:9 |
| 13:23 | 38:21 42:5 | 28:6 35:24 | 11:9 20:8,9 | 42:19 43:2,24 |
| Addonizio 1:13 | appearance | aspects 15:5 | balances 20:4 | 44:2,14,16,22 |
| 4:14,15 | 13:14 | assess 18:13 | balancing 18:2,8 | 45:1,21,24 |
| address 16:17 | applicability | 35:14 | 18:12 19:24 | 46:14 47:9,18 |
| 19:15 25:13 | 18:2 36:25 | assesses 35:21 | 20:5 | 47:20,24 48:11 |
| adjacent 37:24 | applicable 31:10 | 36:24 | balloon 14:13 | 48:15 50:11,14 |
| 38:7 | 35:17 | assessing 17:22 | bands 16:10 | 50:21,21,25 |
| ADJUSTMENT | applicant 2:6 | 20:2 37:9 38:9 | bang 47:15,23 | 51:3,10,15,16 |
| 1:1 | 16:3 19:15 | assistance 11:17 | Bansal 2:9 | 52:20 |
| administrative | 20:13 31:5 | associated 18:14 | based 22:12,20 | Board's 42:13 |
| 21:2 | 33:9,10,13 | assume 10:13 | 23:6,13 42:1 | 51:13 |
| Administrator | 51:24 52:20 | 22:22,24 23:14 | 49:17 | body $24: 15$ |
| 1:17 | application 5:9 | 23:18,20,23,25 | basically 7:2 | 25:14 26:18 |
| admissibility 8:5 | 6:25 15:4,20 | 24:3,5,12,19 | believe 7:12 | 35:4 |
| adopt 24:15 | 18:6 21:12 | 25:1,8,20,24 | 17:20 19:8 | boundaries 25:9 |
| adopted 50:23 | 32:8 35:14,21 | 26:12 28:15 | 21:15 22:1,3 | box 36:3 |
| advance 26:18 | 37:9,20 41:22 | 39:9 42:1 | $25: 12 \text { 29:13 }$ | Boyan 1:15 4:3 |
| advances 17:23 | 44:13 47:16 | assuming 5:24 | 30:8 37:20 <br> beneficial 16:3 | $4: 429: 343: 2$ |
| aesthetics 26:16 | 48:3,7,19 50:2 53:17 | assumption 23:6 | beneficial 16:3 benefit 8:25 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 44:16 } \\ \text { break 5:23 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Agenda 45:22 agree 16:12 32.5 | $53: 17$ <br> application's | $\begin{gathered} 25: 630: 8 \\ \text { attend } 20: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { benefit } 8: 25 \\ 18: 10,11,14 \end{array}$ | break 5:23 <br> Brian 2:9 |
| agree 16:12 32:5 $51: 25$ | 32:22 | 44:20 | 52:19 | Brief 21:25 |
| agreement | applications 9:2 | attorney 9:21 | Bernardsville | bring 8:1 |
| 10:14 | 9:8 46:2 48:6 | 50:15 54:10,12 | 9:12,19,20 | BRUCE 1:19 |
| ahead 8:12 45:3 | 49:1 | attorneys 2:3,6 | 10:2 | buffer 37:17 |
| AICP 1:18 | applies 34:10 | 2:8 51:6 | better 18:22,23 | 39:11,16,24 |
| Alf 1:12 41:17 | 38:20 39:4,8 | authorized 26:7 | 19:6 47:19 | building 1:8 |
| allow 35:5 36:3 | apply 34:9,19 | 26:20 | bid $21: 11,14,18$ | 27:7,10 30:2 |
| allowed 35:17 | 36:9 | availability | 21:22 23:1,10 | buildings 33:11 |
| Alternate 44:17 | appreciate 52:7 | 42:14 | 24:20 25:2,12 | 36:20 |
| amenable 49:23 | approached | available 12:25 | 26:8,10,2 | bulk 30:15 |
| amount 52:3 | 28:10 | 22:23 27:4,9 | bid | bump 48:25 |
| analysis 13:22 | appropriate | 27:14,18 28:1 | 26:15 | bunch 40:22 |
| 14:17 | 5:23 37:14 | 28:4,15,24 | bids 22:14 | burden 16:3 |
| and/or 40:15 | 39:24 | 33:12 42:22 | big 43:4 | 25:12 |


| business 41:19 | 43:5,9,19 44:1 | committee 21:18 | 12:5 13:13 | counsel 7:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 44:6,11,19 | 24:6 | 15:3 30:11 | 54:10,12 |
| C | 45:17 46:3,8 | commonly 14:2 | connections | couple 5:12 6:15 |
| C 2:18:21 33:6 | 46:18,23 47:2 | 14:7 | 18:23 | 8:15 |
| 33:20 34:23 | 47:12,15 48:1 | communication | conservative | coupled 40:21 |
| 54:1,1 | 48:13,17,21 | 15:15 17:15,21 | 42:3 | course 17:9 |
| C-1A 33:23 | 49:7,10,13,20 | 19:2,7,9,22 | consideration | Court 20:9 |
| C-2 39:1 | 49:23 50:6 | 26:25 27:5,15 | 17:10 19:12,14 | cover 41:21 |
| C-2(d) 39:2 | 51:2,5,17,23 | 27:19 28:2,25 | 19:23,25 20:1 | coverage 29:25 |
| call 10:21 | 52:4,22 53:1,7 | 30:23 31:11,17 | 20:3,11 38:8 | 30:3 |
| Cammarata | 53:10,16 | 32:3 | 41:2 | crane 7:19,22 |
| 1:14 4:18,19 | CHAIT 2:5 | communicatio... | considerations | 8:1 10:22 |
| 44:22 45:1 | changed 41:20 | 1:19 9:3 28:17 | 24:7,10 28:23 | 11:19,24 14:16 |
| capacity 14:5 | changing 51:8 | 28:22 | considered | 14:20 |
| 31:4 | characterize | complete 5:24 | 40:16 | Cranford 9:12 |
| capital 33:20 | 17:2 | 42:8,9 45:6 | considers 19:12 | 9:20 10:1 |
| Carifa 2:10 | check 42:22 | 47:16 | consistent 17:1 | criteria 16:7,15 |
| Carried 53:1 | Chief 17:6,11,22 | completed 50:7 | constitutes | 16:20 17:3 |
| carrier 27:19 | 18:17,25 19:10 | completeness | 30:23 | 18:1,7 |
| carry 42:4 | Chief's 17:14 | 50:3,5,7 | construct 30:11 | cross 45:13 |
| case 1:4 9:14 | children 20:15 | compliance | consult 11:5,8 | cross-examina... |
| 14:2,9 25:8 | Christel 2:10 | 32:20 | consultant 1:19 | 8:3 |
| 26:20 34:24 | Christina 2:10 | compound | 22:5,10,11,18 | cross-examine |
| 36:15 | clear 15:22 | 36:12,18 37:24 | contact 10:9 | 4:24 |
| cases 9:6 | 33:19 38:17,18 | 38:14 39:5,8 | contain 30:15,18 | crossed 16:25 |
| caught 9:16 | 39:3 45:7 | 39:10,24 | contained 29:19 | CSR 54:15 |
| cell 14:13 25:25 | clearly 37:10,24 | concerning 6:15 | 30:6 | curious 47:9 |
| 26:1 | client 9:16 | conclude 37:13 | context 6:18 | currently 8:20 |
| Cellular 1:19 | clients 10:4,8,12 | concludes 53:18 | 23:3 | cut 6:2 |
| certain 11:15 | 10:15,19,20,21 | concrete $47: 1$ | contractual | cutoff 5:23 |
| 13:14 18:18 | 11:2,3,4,15,16 | condense 29:18 | 10:14 |  |
| 22:23 31:18 | 14:23 | conditional | Convention 43:3 | D |
| certainly 7:11 | clients' 11:21 | 30:19,20,24 | 43:20 | D 1:18 3:1 15:19 |
| 8:16 29:14 | 12:2 | 31:9,11,12,18 | correct 9:4,6,11 | 15:21,23 |
| 32:2 35:1 | close 31:23 | 32:5,7 34:2 | 10:17 13:5,11 | D-1 15:17 16:2 |
| Certificate | CME 1:18 | 35:9,18 36:4 | 13:16 15:12,13 | d/b/a 1:5 |
| 54:16 | COLLINS 2:5 | 39:20 40:4 | 15:18,25 16:6 | dais 53:6 |
| certification | come 46:6 49:5 | conditions 32:15 | 16:10,14,16,21 | dash 33:20 |
| 7:19 8:5 | comes 22:16 | conducted 10:23 | 16:23 18:2,8,9 | date 12:10 14:16 |
| Certified 1:24 | comfortable | 11:20 12:10 | 22:1,6 23:1 | 42:20 47:1 |
| 54:3 | 5:21 | 13:10 14:21 | 26:21 27:9,15 | 50:4,7 54:8 |
| certify 54:5,9 | coming 36:1 | 15:7 19:3 | 27:19,20 29:15 | Dated 54:17 |
| Chair 6:14 29:4 | Commencing | 23:16 | 29:19,22,23,25 | dates 5:5 14:24 |
| Chairman 1:12 | 1:9 | confident 24:9 | 30:1,3,4,9,24 | David 2:9 |
| 4:1,17,20 5:2,6 | comment 18:22 | confirmed 18:5 | 30:25 31:5,6 | DAVIS 2:2 |
| 5:14,16,19,25 | 49:11 52:3 | conformance | 31:19,23,24 | day 42:23 43:14 |
| 6:4 7:3 8:7 | comments 42:14 | 32:22 34:16 | 33:16 34:3,4,8 | 48:9 |
| 31:21 41:10,16 | commercial | Conine 2:9,11 | 39:22 | days $50: 2,3,4,6,8$ |
| 42:4,17,19 | 35:8 | conjunction 9:2 | Cotton 2:11 | declined 27:8,13 |


| definitely 44:4 | 31:18 32:4 | employed 20:24 | 13:7,15,21,24 | 21:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| demonstrated | documenting | employee 54:10 | exhibits 3:12 | familiarized |
| 16:18 | 32:16,19,21 | 54:12 | 12:13,15,18,22 | 39:7 |
| demonstrates | doing 47:10 | encountered | 13:2,4 | far 5:11 29:6 |
| 33:10 | dome 30:11 | 19:9 | exist 33:12 | fashion 25:14 |
| Department | doubt 7:11 | encountering | existed 25:21 | FCC 16:9 |
| 6:19,24 | DPW 15:8,10 | 17:16 | existing 15:7 | features 36:21 |
| departments | 23:16 24:2 | Engel 2:10 | 23:4 24:23 | February 14:21 |
| 22:17 | 27:14 28:1 | ensure 24:22 | 25:5 30:22 | Federal 25:10 |
| depends 32:24 | 30:12,22 31:23 | 25:3 39:10 | 33:11 36:20 | feel 5:20,21 6:5 |
| DESCRIPTI... | 32:1 37:22 | entire 48:22,24 | expect 52:15 | 41:10 |
| 3:10 | 38:11,15 | 48:25 49:10,15 | expected 4:22 | feet 6:22,22 7:2 |
| designations | Dr 1:19 42:22,23 | 51:18,20 | experience 8:25 | 34:25 35:6,7 |
| 26:5 | draft 50:15 | entirety 47:16 | 22:12,13,20 | 36:4,13 37:8 |
| designed 34:19 | drag 48:23 | entitlement 16:1 | 23:7 49:17 | 37:11,17 38:20 |
| desire 48:23 | drawings 7:1 | equipment 37:7 | expert 42:9 | 40:13 41:6 |
| detail 31:3 | drink 21:24 | 38:10,14,21 | expression | fen 41:9 |
| detailed 15:2 | dropping 38:18 | 39:4,8,10 | 47:16 | fencing 40:1 |
| determining |  | erect 33:1 | extend 53:13 | filed 50:2 |
| 34:16 | E | erected 40:13 | extension 50:10 | finagle 44:9 |
| devoted 49:9 | E 2:1, $13: 1,9$ | ESQUIRE 2:3,5 | 53:14 | final 42:13 |
| different 9:13 | 8:21,21,21 | 2:8 | extensive 22:20 | financially |
| direct 8:24 12:6 | 54:1,1 | ESQUIRES 2:5 | extensively | 54:13 |
| 12:12 15:1 | ear 6:10 | establish 16:1,4 | 40:11 | fine 7:16 11:10 |
| 17:10 25:16 | earlier 41:14 | 16:19 | F | finish 45:12 |
| 29:14 | 43:5,23 49:20 | Eunice 2:9 | F | 52:23,24 |
| directly 10:8,14 | early 20:22 42:6 | evaluated 26:18 | F $2: 854.1$ | Fire 6:19,24 |
| 28:6 | 45:15 49:18 | evaluation 28:21 | face 35:16 | first 11:23 18:11 |
| director 22:10 | easement 23:11 | EVD 3:10 | facilities 9:3 | 18:13 19:23 |
| 22:18 | easily 27:13 | evening 13:14 | facility 15:15 | 34:5 37:19 |
| disclose 26:16 | 37:13 | 21:10 46:13,15 | 24:4 27:5,15 | 42:11 47:4 |
| discussed 18:1 | eight 40:17 41:3 | 49:15 52:19 | 28:2,25 37:20 | 51:19 |
| 40:10 | Eisenstein 1:19 | everybody $4: 2$ | 38:19 | five 52:10 |
| discussing 15:6 | 42:22,23 | 52:5,5 | fact 16:24 18:25 | flagless 6:23 |
| discussion 41:14 | either 6:14 | evidence 12:15 | 19:21 20:1 | flagpole 6:23 |
| 47:1 51:6 | 14:24 27:23 | 13:2,4 | 25:21 26:20 | Flanagan 1:12 |
| dispositive | 30:21 34:20 | Examination | 28:3,15 31:16 | 4:1,16,17,20 |
| 20:12 | 40:8 | 8:23 | 32:6 34:19 | 5:2,6,16,19,25 |
| dispute 15:10 | elected 24:3 | example 14:12 | 39:8 45:25 | 6:4 7:3 8:7 |
| 19:20 | 28:12 | 19:16 23:11 | factors 23:10 | 41:10,16 42:17 |
| distance 6:16 | Election 43:14 | 35:25,25 | fair 15:24 39:21 | 42:19 43:5,9 |
| 31:25 | elementary | exceed 37:8 | 47:4 51:2 | 43:19 44:1,6 |
| distinct 10:15 | 18:19 19:11 | excuse 47:15 | familiar 22:13 | 44:11,19 45:17 |
| distributed | 20:16,19,20,21 | executive 5:7,12 | 22:19,25 23:3 | 46:3,8,18,23 |
| 19:17 | 20:25 21:4 | 6:3 | 23:4,15 24:1,6 | 47:2,12 48:1 |
| district 21:3 | eligible 40:14 | exercises 18:18 | 24:10,12 25:9 | 48:13,17,21 |
| 25:10 26:2 | 44:14 | 18:21 19:3,5 | 25:21,25 26:3 | 49:7,10,13,20 |
| 40:13 41:5 | Elizabeth 1:15 | 19:10 | 29:14 familiarize | 49:23 50:6 |
| districts 30:14 | 48:2 | exhibit 12:24 | familiarize | 51:2,5,17,23 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 52:4,22 53:1,7 \& goal 48:7 52:4 \& 22:22 23:15 \& hundred 8:10 \& 29:17 31:8 <br>
\hline 53:10,16 \& goes 25:2 47:4 \& 25:8 27:2 \& hundreds 38:20 \& 33:2 <br>
\hline floated 14:12 \& going 4:23 6:5 \& 30:10,15 31:16 \& hurdle 16:25 \& interested 54:13 <br>
\hline following 33:15 \& 8:14 22:2,25 \& 32:17,19,22 \& hypothetically \& interfere 24:23 <br>
\hline 43:17 47:5 \& 32:9 40:20 \& 34:16 \& 30:10 \& 25:4 <br>
\hline 50:18 \& 41:18,21 43:20 \& Harsh 2:8 \& I \& invite 11:17 <br>
\hline follows 8:22 \& 43:21 44:6,7 \& heading 18:21 \& I \& involved 22:14 <br>
\hline foot 36:18 \& 44:18 45:18 \& 19:5 39:4 \& idea 47:19 52:2 \& 23:10 <br>
\hline foregoing 54:5 \& 46:20 47:5 \& hear 50:8 52:5 \& identified 28:16 \& IRIS 54:3,15 <br>
\hline forget 4:25 \& 48:2,5 49:5,25 \& 52:10,14 \& identifying 7:21 \& issue 7:12 15:10 <br>
\hline forgot 47:22 \& $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g o o d }} 46: 21$ \& hearing 6:14 \& ignore 34:19 \& 18:4 19:18 <br>
\hline forth 54:8 \& 47:24 53:16 \& 27:21 40:11 \& imagine 20:20 \& 47:8 48:18 <br>
\hline fourth 20:5 \& govern 32:8,10 \& 41:11 42:13 \& impact 24:13 \& issues 25:13,15 <br>
\hline FOX 1:18 \& governed 18:7 \& 53:17 \& 26:13 \& 36:9 49:3 <br>
\hline free 5:20,21 \& governing 24:15 \& hearings 12:10 \& impervious \& item 6:12 <br>
\hline frequency 16:10 \& 25:14 26:17
$35: 4$ \& 46:17

20ight 7.2326 .7 \& 29:24
important 26:24 \& <br>
\hline 18:23 \& 35:4 \& height 7:23 26:7 \& important 26:24 \&  <br>
\hline front 7:2 \& grades 20:18,21 \& 26:10 30:5,9 \& in-building \& James 2:10 <br>
\hline full 45:21,24 \& graduate 20:23 \& 30:12,15 34:23 \& 19:17 \& Jersey 43:3 54:4 <br>
\hline 46:1 47:19 \& Green 6:18,24 \& 35:6,13 36:2 \& In-House 22:9 \& July 10:23,25 <br>
\hline function 22:16 \& 6:24 \& 37:7,14 40:1 \& incident 7:20 \& 11:20,25 13:11 <br>
\hline further 53:3,10 \& GREENBAUM \& helium 14:12 \& including 9:1 \& K <br>

\hline :9 \& 2:2 \& Heller 17:6,11 \& $$
28: 21
$$ \& K 8:21 <br>

\hline G \& \& help \& indicate 14:13 \& keep 52:10 <br>
\hline G 2:3,11 \& guess 47:14 \& hereinbefor \& indicated 12:5 \& kind 46:1 <br>
\hline gallery 52:6 \& guidance 35:20 \& 54:8 \& 12:12 13:10 \& kinds 5:5 <br>
\hline general 14:9,10 \& 35:25 \& HEROLD 2:7 \& 22:2,4 29:13 \& know 5:2,6,23 <br>
\hline 16:4,13,20 \& guy 45:17 \& higher 34:24 \& 34:14 \& 6:12 10:18 <br>
\hline 17:23 19:13 \& guys 41:14 \& Hillsborough \& individual 10:18 \& 12:17,21,21,24 <br>
\hline 25:14 \& 51:17 52:13 \& 9:14,21 10:2 \& 36:10 \& 13:6 18:20,20 <br>
\hline generally 22:13 \& \& hired 22:11 \& individuals \& 18:21 19:5 <br>
\hline 26:24 \& H \& historic 25:10 \& 38:15 \& 20:15,18,21,24 <br>
\hline George 1:15 \& H3:9 \& 25:15 26:2 \& influence 39:15 \& 21:2,5,6,8 <br>
\hline 44:7,12 \& Hackensack \& 40:13,15 41:7 \& information \& 23:10,11,14 <br>
\hline getting 42:7 \& 45:10 46:14 \& 44:8 \& 21:21 \& 25:11 26:3,6 <br>
\hline gig 45:8 \& half 5:3 42:2 \& Hold 21:24 \& inherently 16:3 \& 28:5,11,11,18 <br>
\hline give 7:14 9:8,17 \& 52:12 \& honestly 46:7 \& initial 10:9 \& 28:20 30:17 <br>
\hline 17:10 46:25 \& happen 18:16 \& 52:16 \& inquiry 21:20 \& 31:25 32:1,11 <br>
\hline 48:23,24,25 \& 20:15 31:25 \& hope 5:2 52:8 \& inspection 10:22 \& 33:7 42:12 <br>
\hline 51:18,20 \& 33:2 \& hopefully 50:22 \& 13:10 \& 43:22 44:24 <br>
\hline given 7:8 41:2 \& happened 35:8 \& hoping 5:11 \& intended 35:7 \& 45:2,2 46:5,13 <br>
\hline giving 48:13 \& happening \& hour 5:3 42:2,16 \& 35:16 37:2 \& 46:20 47:7 <br>
\hline go 5:12 6:7,9 \& 46:13 \& 51:20 \& 40:8 \& 48:22 53:5 <br>
\hline 9:13 11:9 \& Harding 1:1 \& hours 52:12 \& intent 38:6 \& Koeneke 2:9 <br>
\hline 27:24 31:14 \& 12:19 17:17 \& house 25:18,21 \& intention 39:9 \& <br>
\hline 33:1 34:1,22 \& 18:19 19:2,10 \& housekeeping \& interest 6:11 \& <br>

\hline 43:20 45:3,4 \& 20:16,19 21:3 \& 6:12 7:17 \& 8:15 10:4 \& | LA 54:3,15 |
| :--- |
| lack 17:15,20 | <br>

\hline 52:12,18 \& 21:7,17,21 \& HUGH 1:14 \& 15:22 20:2 \& $$
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { lack 17:15,20 } \\
19: 1,8,21
\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| land 23:5,5 24:2 | 48:14 | 42:11,16 44:10 | Mlenak 2:3 7:7 | negatives 20:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24:4 | looking 35:5 | 45:9 47:1,3,5 | 8:20 18:6 29:5 | neighborhood |
| landscape 37:17 | Lori 1:17 49:24 | 47:10 48:5,9 | 42:21 48:18 | 12:4 |
| 39:11 | lot 1:6 29:22 | 48:20,20,22,24 | 50:18 51:15 | neither 30:22 |
| large 36:18 | 34:5,6,11 | 48:25 50:19,24 | 53:13,15 | 54:9,11 |
| largely 22:16 | 35:12 36:6 | 51:18,19,21 | moment 26:16 | New 1:4,9 43:3 |
| late 4:23 5:7 |  | meetings 48:14 | Monday 1:7 | 54:4 |
| law 2:7 16:13 | M | member 4:4,6,9 | 43:9 45:2 | Newlin 1:12 |
| 22:16 | M 2:10 | 4:11,13,15,19 | Montclair 22:6 | 4:10,11 6:15 |
| lease 22:15,23 | magnitude | 11:11 27:21 | 22:9 | 11:11 27:22 |
| 23:12 | 18:13 | 29:3 43:2,24 | month 47:11 | 45:21,24 47:18 |
| leave 5:20 47:6 | making 28:24 | 44:2,14,16,22 | 48:14 | 47:24 |
| legal 27:3 | mandatory 50 | 45:1,21,24 | morning 13:11 | night 43:15 45:8 |
| length 18:1 | Maplewood | 47:9,18,24 | 13:17,18 | 49:1,2 53:16 |
| let's 8:16 9:20 | 22:5,10,18 | 48:11,15 50:11 | move 6:10 8:11 | Nina 2:9 |
| 11:19 23:12 | 23:11 | 50:14,25 51:3 | 8:17 11:8,19 | NJ 1:9 |
| 32:18 33:1 | mark 7:5 | 51:10 | 29:10 | non-Verizon |
| 34:22 41:13 | marked 3:12 | members 1:11 | multiple 19:16 | 49:1 |
| 42:1,2,6 | 12:15,24 13:2 | 3:15,16 45:14 | municipal 1:8 | Northvale 9:14 |
| license 16:13 | 13:3,6 | memorializati... | 22:5,12,15 | 9:14 |
| licensed 16:9,25 | Maselli 4:7 | 51:13 | 27:7,10 | Notary 54:3 |
| light 40:17 | masks 5:20 | mentioned | municipalities | notice 53:2,4,10 |
| liked 45:20 | Master 24:7,10 | 31:20 41:14 | 9:9 23:9 26:24 | November 42:12 |
| likelihood 41:18 | Masters 14:18 | Mertz 1:18 18:6 | municipality | 43:1,2,11,13 |
| likewise 20:3 | 18:5 31:4 | Michael 1:14 | 9:15 22:14,22 | 44:4 48:4,5,22 |
| 23:20 | material 44:17 | 2:9 | 22:24 25:2 | 48:25 49:8 |
| limit 36:4 37:14 | materials 38:18 | midnight 52:16 | 28:10,11,20 | 50:12,13 |
| limited 1:4 | matter 1:3 10:5 | 52:17 | mutual 53:14 | number 36:6 |
| 39:19 42:7 | 12:16,20 15:17 | Mike 1:12 44:23 |  | 44:17 |
| line 7:2 53:15 | 16:13 17:6 | 47:7,9,18 | N | numbers 6:19 |
| list 33:15 | 42:4,5,10 | mile 32:2 | N 2:1 3:1 | 7:8 |
| listed 40:14 | matters 9:24 | Mill 1:8 | names 9:18 | numerous 11:3 |
| listen 52:13 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a x }} 52: 10$ | Millbrook 1:5 | national 26:1,5 |  |
| listing 40:14 | maximum 34:23 | 6:17,22 | 40:14 | 0 |
| little 49:18 | 35:13 36:6 | mind 28:12 | nature 15:7 | o'clock 45:20 |
| live $8: 2$ | 37:7 | 42:20 51:8 | 23:15 24:1 | 46:8,9,22,23 |
| Livio 2:10 | McKINLEY | minds 52:6 | near 37:12 | 49:19 51:19 |
| LLC 2:8 | 1:18 | minimum 29:22 | necessarily | 52:19,25 |
| LLP 2:2 | McKittrick 2:10 | 34:5,6,11 | 26:16,18 | O-5 13:15,21 |
| local 26:4 | mean 27:11 | 35:12,12 | necessary 34:24 | 14:18 |
| located 15:12 | 32:11 46:3 | minus 6:21 | 53:11 | oath 8:19,20 |
| 25:19 31:11 | 49:16 50:12 | minutes 5:12 6:6 | need 5:7,12 8:7 | OB 31:15 34:10 |
| 32:4 34:3 | 52:1 | 21:17 41:9,11 | 18:22 21:24 | 34:20 35:1 |
| 37:21 39:19 | means 37:25 | 41:12 42:2 | 28:16 46:9 | 36:14 39:14,19 |
| location 7:23 | 50:15 | 51:20 52:10 | 48:6,20 49:18 | 40:9 41:5 |
| 37:16 39:12,13 | meet 48:6 | mistakes 23:9 | needs 7:5 16:17 | object 32:9 |
| locations 33:11 | meeting 7:19 | misunderstand | 19:15 | 40:20 |
| long 6:7 | 12:23 20:12 | 15:8 | negative 18:1,7 | objection 8:4,6 |
| look 9:17 13:8 | 21:16 36:17 | mitigated 39:16 | 39:15 | 23:2 28:5,18 |


| 29:1,4 35:22 | 24:22 25:4 | pause 21:25 | 38:12 52:11 | 25:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| objections 29:5 | operations 23:5 | paying 47:20 | plus 6:21 45:13 | previous 40:6 |
| Objectors 2:8 | 23:16,19 | peace 52:6 | point 29:3 35:16 | 41:3 |
| obligation 27:3 | operator 7:19 | people 38:11,18 | 37:2,10 42:9 | previously 8:22 |
| 27:17 28:1 | 8:2 | 38:19 43:21 | point's 29:11 | 22:4 29:13 |
| obligations | opined 25:17 | 52:7 | pole 6:16 | prime 10:21 |
| 49:25 | 40:17 41:3 | percent 8:10 | police 17:6,11 | 11:2,4,15 |
| obtain 21:21 | opinion 15:14 | 41:18 | 17:16,22 18:17 | principal 15:23 |
| obviously 12:24 | 15:22 17:24 | period 36:10 | policies 32:16,19 | prior 6:14 40:11 |
| 31:2 | 18:5,22 19:6 | permit 35:3 37:3 | 32:22 34:17 | 43:21 49:17 |
| occasion 9:4 | 24:25 25:7 | 37:12 | portion 17:14 | private 9:16 |
| 11:21 12:1 | 26:11 28:3,8 | permits 36:12 | 31:7 | probably 41:9 |
| 13:22,25 14:1 | 28:14 34:13 | 40:4 | portions 17:17 | 45:2,18 |
| 14:6 21:10,16 | 35:10,19 38:13 | permitted 15:11 | positive 16:7,15 | procedures |
| occasions 9:12 | 39:17 40:5,7 | 15:16 23:21,24 | 16:20 17:3 | 22:19 |
| 12:4 14:12 | opinions 17:9 | 30:18,21,21 | positives 20:4 | PROCEEDIN... |
| October 41:19 | opportunity | 31:12,18 34:6 | possibility 47:6 | 1:5 |
| 43:22 45:15 | 12:9,13 17:5 | 35:9 36:17 | possible 13:1 | process 7:21 |
| 47:17 48:4 | 31:2 | 39:20,23 | 37:17 39:14 | 21:11,18,22 |
| 49:6 51:18,19 | opposition 9:5 | personally 11:21 | 45:16 | 22:14 |
| 52:25 53:12 | 9:10,16,22 | 21:20 | possibly 46:7 | producing 14:14 |
| offend 46:14,16 | option 47:15 | Peter 3:4 45:7 | posted 12:14,16 | professional 9:1 |
| offering 28:13 | order 16:1 29:4 | photo 14:2,7,11 | 12:19,22 13:3 | 14:5 22:21 |
| offhand 26:9 | 46:21 | 14:15 | 13:7 | 24:25 26:23 |
| office 21:6 | ordinance 31:17 | photographs | potential 26:12 | proffer 7:6 |
| offices 21:3 | 33:6 35:11,12 | 13:20,23 | potentially 37:4 | proffered 31:3 |
| officials 24:3 | 36:5,11 37:6 | photos 13:14 | 41:6 | prong 16:19 |
| 28:12 | 40:24 41:1 | phrasing 37:25 | PP 1:18 | 18:12,13 19:23 |
| Oh 43:16 47:2 | original 26:7 | physical 13:13 | practice 14:14 | 20:5 |
| okay 4:1 5:25 | outside 5:4 | physically 12:3 | pre-suppose | properly 51:11 |
| 7:3,16 8:9,14 | owner 38:7 | 17:4 | 49:4 | properties 11:23 |
| 9:19 10:7 | P | piece 24:21 | preceded 21:11 | 12:2,3 23:9 |
| 12:18 13:1,25 | P | PL 1:6 15:11,16 | precise 26:10 | property 7:2 |
| 14:5 16:8 17:9 | P 2:1,1 8:21 | 23:22,24 29:15 | precisely 20:22 | 15:8,12,24 |
| 17:13,20,25 | P.E 1:18 | 29:19 30:6,18 | PRECISION | 22:15,23,25 |
| 18:10,16 22:8 | p.m 1:9 53:18 | 34:7,9 | 1:23 | 23:3,12,17 |
| 24:11 29:17 | PA 2:7 | place 29:6 54:7 | preferred 19:6 | 24:2,8,14,21 |
| 31:1 32:18 | PAGE 3:2,10 | places 40:15 | Preiss 46:6 | 24:23 25:3,19 |
| 33:1,5,9,23 | part 14:14 16:15 | plan 24:7,10,16 | preparation | 25:22 26:14 |
| 34:1,5,12,22 | 17:3,18 18:18 | 24:17 | 12:7 21:9 31:1 | 27:4,8,8,10,14 |
| 36:5,11,19 | 48:22 | planner 9:1 14:6 | prepare 14:1,6 | 27:18 28:1,4 |
| 37:6,16 39:17 | particular 16:17 | 22:21 26:23 | prepared 14:17 | 28:13,15 31:23 |
| 40:10 42:10 | 24:21 25:2 | 31:4 | present 1:11,16 | 32:1 37:22,25 |
| 50:6 51:4,17 | 29:8 | planning 22:5,9 | 12:23 13:9 | 38:7,11,15 |
| older 20:23 | particularly | 22:17,18 42:8 | 14:16,20 17:4 | proposal 10:11 |
| once 50:2 | 13:15 16:5 | plans 7:15 24:13 | 17:7 | 11:18 26:17 |
| ones 39:18 | parties 54:11 | play 6:10 | Preservation | propose 42:3 |
| ongoing 23:5,16 | Partnership 1:4 | please 4:2 5:16 | 44:9 | proposed 16:6 |
| operationally | PAUL 1:18 | 20:7 24:24 | presume 24:16 | 18:15 24:22 |


| 25:4,25 26:7 | 45:14 | referring 6:25 | 29:9 40:21,23 | retainer 10:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30:23 34:20 | quit 8:11 | 11:3 32:25 | 40:25 | review 12:13,18 |
| 40:8 41:5 |  | 33:19,23 | rephrased 29:7 | 17:5 21:14,16 |
| proposing 48:8 | $\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\text { R } 2.18 .2154}$ | reflected 13:15 | Reporter 20:9 | 31:3 |
| provide 26:24 | R 2:1 8:21 54:1 | 13:21 14:18 | 54:4 | reviewed 12:9 |
| 34:24 35:20 | radio 18:23 | register 40:15 | Reporters 1:24 | 12:22 13:4 |
| provided 8:25 | raise 7:12 | regular 48:3,5,6 | REPORTING | 17:7 |
| 15:1 | raised 7:22 | 49:2 51:18 | 1:23 | Rich 8:9 20:6 |
| provides 36:6 | raising 45:11 | regularly 47:10 | representing | 36:22 38:24 |
| 37:7 40:12 | read 20:8 35:15 | regulations 37:4 | 9:15 | 45:7 47:25 |
| provision 36:11 | 38:21 44:17 | relate 29:21 | request 47:23 | 48:18 52:2 |
| 37:6 39:10 | reads 20:9 | 32:13 | require 8:1 | 53:5,13 |
| 40:16 | ready 46:6 | relates 15:9 | required 15:3 | Rich's 47:23 |
| provisions 24:18 | really 36:2 | 18:17 24:7 | 15:23 30:12 | RICHARD 2:5 |
| 29:15 32:24 | reason 7:10 | 32:6 37:22 | 32:12,15 37:18 | right 31:21 |
| 34:15 35:19 | 45:11 | 39:25 | 39:11,25 53:2 | 44:19 45:17 |
| 40:18 | reasonable | relating 29:24 | 53:4 | 46:19 47:4,21 |
| proximate 31:22 | 22:21 23:6,14 | 30:2 | requirement | 48:8 49:25 |
| proximity $26: 1$ | 23:20,25 24:5 | relative 21:18 | 36:5 39:25 | 50:8 51:25 |
| public 3:15,16 | 24:11,19 25:1 | 54:10,12 | requirements | 52:18,20 53:1 |
| 6:14 12:10 | 25:6,7,20,24 | relevance 32:18 | 29:19,21,24 | 53:7,16 |
| 18:10,14 20:2 | 26:11 28:14 | 39:19 | 30:2,5,9,16 | rights 22:15,23 |
| 21:11,18,22 | 39:9 | relevant 17:22 | 31:10,14 33:15 | Road 1:5,8 6:16 |
| 22:25 24:20 | reasonably | 17:24 19:11,23 | 33:16 34:2 | 6:17,22,23,25 |
| 25:2 26:8 27:4 | 22:24 | 20:3 24:6 | reside 10:19 | Rob 48:19 |
| 27:21 37:16,21 | recall 17:13,18 | 28:24 29:15 | residences 11:22 | ROBERT 2:8 |
| 37:21,23 38:1 | 18:16 26:6,9 | 32:16,21 34:15 | residential | roll 4:2 46:1 |
| 38:6,8,10 | 26:10 30:7,20 | 34:15,18,25 | 37:13,24 | room 43:12,17 |
| 39:12,13 40:11 | 31:7,12 | 35:2,14,20 | Resolution | ROSA 54:3,15 |
| 42:13,14 45:14 | reciting 7:20 | 36:7,8,13,14 | 48:12 50:22 | Rosenbaum |
| 49:11 52:3,14 | Recognizing | 36:21,22 37:8 | 51:9,10,12,16 | 1:13 4:12,13 |
| 54:3 | 27:25 | 38:2,9,13 40:2 | Resolutions | 43:24 44:2,14 |
| pull 41:25 | recollection | 40:3 | 50:16 | 48:11 50:11,14 |
| purpose 42:7 | 10:11,20 17:1 | reliability 19:7 | respect 6:23 | 50:25 51:3,10 |
| purposes 6:20 | 26:15 28:9 | 28:21 | 9:19 17:25 | ROWE 2:2 |
| 7:4 | record 6:20 7:5 | reliable 17:15 | 18:6 37:19 | RPR 54:15 |
| put 13:24 25:12 | 13:7 | 17:21 19:1,8 | respective 12:2 | rule 29:4 |
| putting 23:9 | record's 33:19 recorded 51:11 | 19:21 26:25 | respond 6:13 | running 5:7 |
| Q | records 9:14,17 | reli |  | S |
| qualifications | 11:5,8 | 32:15 | response 19:19 | S 2:1 3:9 8:21 |
| 22:3 | recycling 38:16 | rely 35:6 | responsibility | Saganic 2:10 |
| question 6:13,17 | 38:18 | remember 19:4 | 20:13 | salesmen 47:25 |
| 11:12 20:10 | redevelopment | rendered 17:10 | restrictive 37:5 | salt 30:11 |
| 27:12,22 29:7 | 24:13,16,17 | 18:5 | 37:14 | Sarah 2:11 |
| 29:8,8,9 38:4 | redirect 5:1 | repeat 11:11 | result 26:13 | satisfaction |
| 40:23 48:2 | 41:12 45:13 | 20:6 24:24 | resulted 14:17 | 33:10 |
| questions 6:15 | refer 14:2 33:5 | 52:11 | retained 10:7 | satisfied 34:7 |
| 8:15 12:7 | referred 14:7 | rephrase 27:12 | 11:14,16 14:23 | Saturday 13:11 |


| saying 49:21 | September 1:7 | 49:11,16,21 | 26:10 | 25:22 26:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| says $53: 8$ | series 12:6 | 51:24 52:1,18 | speculate 28:6 | 42:13 |
| schedule 42:11 | served 22: | 53:5,9 | speculating 28:7 | submitted 7:18 |
| scheduled 5:25 | serves 16:4,13 | Simon's 8:16 | speculative 29:2 | 7:23 |
| 42:13 47:10 | 16:20 19:13 | 10:15 | spent 15:6 25:16 | Subparagraph |
| 48:5 | service 1:23 | simulations 14:3 | spoken 7:25 | 33:6 34:23 |
| scheduling 5:9 | 34:24 | 14:8,11,15 | 41:17 43:22 | 40:10 |
| 5:13 41:13 | services 17:16 | sincerely 4:21 | spot $35: 2$ | subsequent |
| 49:3 | 17:21 19:2,9 | sit 52:16,17 | sprung 46:12 | 50:24 |
| Schneider 2:5,5 | 19:22 28:17 | site 6:21 7:20 | staff 20:24 | subtleties 26:4 |
| 4:21 5:1,14,18 | session 5:8,13 | 13:10 16:5 | stake 20:2 | suggest 45:5 |
| 5:22 6:2,9 7:4 | 6:3 | 40:14 41:7 | start 20:22 42:6 | 49:17 |
| 7:11,13,14,25 | set 7:14 54:8 | situated 36:20 | 45:15,19,20 | suggested 36:8 |
| 8:10,13,23 | setback 6:18 7:1 | size 34:6,7 35:12 | 46:9,10 49:18 | suggests 39:15 |
| 11:10,13 20:8 | 29:18 35:13 | sizes 34:11 | starting 35:16 | suitability 16:17 |
| 20:14 23:4 | setbacks 36:9 | slow 46:1 | 37:2,10 52:19 | suitable 16:5 |
| 29:6,9,10,12 | seven 41:3 42:6 | SMITH 2:2 | 52:25 | 33:11 |
| 33:20,22 35:24 | 45:21 46:8,9 | SMSA 1:4 | starting-off 35:2 | summation |
| 36:24 39:1,6 | 46:22,23 47:3 | solely 48:9 | state 25:9 26:2,4 | 42:15 |
| 40:23 41:24 | 49:19,20,21 | solicits 22:14 | 40:15 54:4 | summations |
| 42:18,20,25 | 51:19 52:19 | 24:20 | statement 15:24 | 49:11 |
| 43:7 44:4,24 | SGSL 2:8 | solution 41:24 | 16:6 39:21 | superintenden... |
| 45:3,5 46:16 | shadow 7:15 | solve 19:18 | statutory 20:12 | 21:6 |
| 46:25 47:14,21 | Shorthand 1:24 | somebody 41:21 | Steck 3:4 4:24 | sure 12:8 15:4 |
| 49:14 50:3,9 | 54:4 | 53:6 | 8:14,24 11:7 | 21:5,8 26:17 |
| 50:13,17,20 | Sica 18:2,7,12 | somewhat 15:2 | 22:1 29:7 | 27:22 31:2 |
| 51:12,22 52:24 | 19:23 20:5 | sooner 4:22 | 33:24 42:2,5 | 37:23,25 38:5 |
| 53:3,12,14 | side 53:6 | sorry 11:1,2 | 45:6,9,12 | 38:23 44:18 |
| school 18:19,24 | sighting 26:13 | 13:2 33:18 | 46:11,21 48:3 | 51:4 |
| 19:2,7,11 | 27:4,14 28:2 | 36:22 39:1 | 49:5 51:19 | surrounding |
| 20:16,19,20,25 | significant 15:6 | 47:5,21 50:14 | 52:23 | 23:5 24:2,4 |
| 21:3,4,7 | 25:16 | sort 5:4 40:21 | Steck's 42:8 | Susan 2:9 |
| second 15:23 | silo 30:11 | South 45:9 | stenographica... | sworn 3:15,16 |
| SECRETARY | similar 25:13 | 46:13 | 54:7 | 8:22 |
| 4:3,5,7,10,12 | Simon 2:8 4:21 | Sovolos 1:15 4:5 | Steve 41:17 | Symonds 1:14 |
| 4:14,16,18 | 5:4,10 7:7,10 | 4:6 47:9 48:15 | 43:22 | 4:8,9 6:7 |
| 43:12,16 44:8 | 7:16 8:9,24 | speak 8:3 48:19 | STEVEN 2:3 | system 19:17 |
| 45:23,25 46:5 | 9:23 10:5,7,9 | 50:20 52:6,6 | street 38:8 |  |
| section 32:6,12 | 10:12,15 11:7 | Special 44:10 | strike 14:1 | T |
| 33:3,6,19 34:7 | 11:17 12:6 | specific 23:13 | 34:13 | T 3:9 8:21,21 |
| 35:10 36:25 | 20:6 22:3 23:2 | 35:7,15 | strong 52:7 | 54:1,1 |
| 38:25 39:18 | 25:17 28:5,18 | specifically 9:1 | structures 33:12 | TAGLAIRINO |
| 40:7,24 41:1 | 29:1 32:9 | 17:13 28:19 | 36:20 | 1:17 4:3,5,7,10 |
| sections 32:21 | 33:18,21 35:22 | 31:21 35:8 | students 20:22 | 4:12,14,16,18 |
| 35:11 41:3 | 36:22 38:3,24 | 38:25 | 20:23 | 43:12,16 44:8 |
| see 6:10 8:7,17 | 40:20 41:8,15 | specifications | studies 24:13 | 45:23,25 46:5 |
| 29:18 38:19,20 | 42:21 43:10,14 | 21:14 | subject 8:2 | take 4:2 5:21 6:6 |
| 53:12 | 45:4,7,11 | Speck 33:2 | 15:11,24 23:17 | 15:9 18:4 |
| seek 33:13 | 47:23 49:4,8 | specs 25:12 | 24:7,13 25:3 | 38:23 |


| taken 29:6 51:14 | 41:20 | 35:18 36:6 | 27:3 | 39:12,13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54:6 | think 6:14 7:18 | 40:8,12 | understanding | views 52:7 |
| takes 41:25 | 24:11 26:23 | town 44:3 | 9:3 19:15 | Village 6:16,18 |
| talk 5:8,13 32:18 | 28:23 29:10 | township 1:1 | 34:22 51:6 | 6:22,24,24 |
| 41:13 | 30:21 41:13,20 | 12:19 17:17 | understood 15:2 | virtue 16:12 |
| talked 5:4,10 | 41:25 46:6 | 18:19 19:2,11 | 27:23 | visible 37:24 |
| talking 25:17 | 48:4,18 49:22 | 20:16,19 21:3 | undertake 13:22 | 38:11,14 |
| 42:23 43:10 | 52:3,8,18,20 | 21:7,17,21 | use 15:11, 11,16 | visit 7:20 11:21 |
| 48:15 | 53:6 | 22:22 23:14,21 | 15:17,24 16:2 | 12:1 |
| talks 35:11,12 | third 15:23 | 24:1,6,12,20 | 16:4,6,13,20 | visual 13:22 |
| tango 41:25 | 25:18 | 25:1,8,11,20 | 16:23 17:23 | 14:17 26:13 |
| Teacher's 43:20 | THOMAS 1:13 | 25:25 26:12,19 | 18:15 19:12 | VOGEL 2:5 |
| Teachers' 43:3 | thought 5:3 | 27:2,13,25 | 24:21,22,23 | vote 41:22 42:15 |
| Technically 44:9 | thoughts 52:9 | 28:24 30:10,15 | 25:3,4,5,18 | 44:12,12,15 |
| technologies | three 9:25 10:1 | 32:17,19,23 | 28:25 30:12,22 | 48:10,11 49:12 |
| 19:20 | 52:12 | Township's | 30:23,24 31:9 | 49:13 50:21,21 |
| technology | throw 7:17 | 31:16 34:16 | 31:12,18 32:4 | 51:7,16 |
| 19:16 | throwing 42:12 | training 18:18 | 32:5,10 34:2,6 | votes 51:15 |
| Ted 2:11 | 42:25 | 18:21 19:3,5 | 35:9,18 36:4 | voting 51:8 |
| telecommunic... | Thursday 43:1,8 | $19: 10$ | $40: 4$ | W |
| 9:6 32:7,13 | time 5:7,23 6:11 | transcript 1:3 | uses 15:7,9 23:5 | $\frac{\text { Wade 46:4 }}{}$ |
| tell 5:18,22 | 11:23,25 15:6 | transcripts | 24:2,4 30:19 | wait 38:3,3 |
| ten 6:6 41:9,11 | 15:22 25:16 | 12:10 21:17 | 30:20 32:7 | waiting 11:12 |
| 41:12 | 29:17 31:9,22 | traveling 41:19 | 37:13 39:20 | want 5:14 6:2,13 |
| term 32:10 | 33:2 38:22,23 | triggered 15:20 |  | 11:8 12:8 15:4 |
| terms 32:10,16 | 42:9 45:19 | trip 49:25 | V | 24:21 25:3 |
| 44:16 52:3 | 46:9,19 47:3 | true 54:5 | vacation 43:4 | 29:9 33:5 41:8 |
| terrain 36:21 | 48:7 50:10 | try 8:14 46:11 | vague 29:1 | 45:19 46:14 |
| test 11:20,24 | 54:7 | 52:10 | variance 15:3,17 | 47:3 50:20 |
| 14:17,20 18:2 | timeline 50:1 | trying 41:20 | 15:23 16:2 | 51:3 52:14 |
| 18:8,12 19:24 | 51:4 | 46:2 48:17 | 30:12 50:4 | wanted 30:10 |
| 20:5 | times 7:22 23:8 | Tuesday 43:6 | Variances 15:19 | wants 53:5 |
| testified 9:9 | told 8:4 | Tunis-Ellicks | 15:21 | wasn't 39:23 |
| 17:14,21 | tomorrow 50:8 | 25:18 | various 9:5 16:9 | way 5:20 42:15 |
| testifies 8:22 | tonight 4:24 5:3 | two 9:12,13 | 17:16 32:20 | 49:2 52:7 |
| testify 9:5 18:25 | 5:8 42:10 | 10:20 11:2,4 | 34:2 | we'll 6:6,9,10 |
| testimony 8:2 | $\boldsymbol{t o p} 14: 13$ | 11:15 31:20 | verbal 51:7 | 52:15,23 |
| 9:2 12:6,8 15:1 | tour 12:4 | 32:4 34:3 | verify $7: 11$ | we're 5:6,11,25 |
| 15:5,9 17:5,11 | tower 6:21 7:1 | 35:17 37:2 | Verizon 1:5 | 8:12 15:21 |
| 17:14,19 18:17 | 10:22 14:13 | 39:20 41:25 | 14:21 16:9,24 | 27:11 35:3 |
| 21:9 25:17 | 26:7,14,21 | 44:17 46:7 | 27:18 28:9,10 | 43:10 44:6 |
| 31:2,3,8,13 | 33:14 34:20,23 | 48:14 52:13 | 47:3 | 47:10 48:2,5,8 |
| 42:8,9 54:6 | 35:5,13,13 |  | Vernon 1:9 | 49:5,24 |
| Thank 8:8 33:21 | 36:1,10 41:4 | U | versus 26:4 | we've 46:17 |
| theory $24: 17$ | tower's 26:1 | underlying | viable 33:12 | 52:13 |
| thing 7:18 49:16 | towers 9:13 | 15:10 19:21 | view 37:1, 16,21 | website 12:14,16 |
| 52:1,21 | 25:25 31:11,17 | understand $8: 18$ | 37:21,23 38:1 | 12:19,23 13:3 |
| things 5:5 40:22 | 32:5,7,14 35:9 | 12:8,14 15:4 | 38:5,6,10 | 13:8 |


| Wednesday 43:6 | zone 1:6 15:11 | 21st 41:19 42:7 | 9:34 1:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43:7 | 15:16 23:22,24 | 44:25 45:6,10 | 908 1:24 |
| week 42:11 43:4 | 29:15,19 30:6 | 45:15,18 46:24 | 99 41:18 |
| 43:6,18,21,22 | 30:14,18 31:12 | 47:3,7,17 49:5 | 9th 10:23,25 |
| 44:1 | 31:15,18 34:7 | 50:12 52:25 |  |
| Welcome 4:1,20 | 34:9,21 35:1,3 | 53:2 |  |
| welfare 16:4,14 | 35:7,8 36:15 | 21t 48:3 |  |
| 16:21 17:23 | 37:3,12 39:14 | 225 33:19 |  |
| 19:13 | 39:22 40:3,9 | 225-175 32:6,12 |  |
| went 7:21 31:9 | zoned 32:4 | 32:21 33:3 |  |
| weren't 46:19 | zones 31:20,22 | 36:25 39:1 |  |
| wireless 1:59:2 | 32:1 34:3,10 | 2500-square |  |
| 9:5 14:21 | 35:17 36:3,16 | 36:12,18 |  |
| 15:15 16:9,24 | 37:2 | 25th 44:1 |  |
| 17:15,21 19:1 | zoning 7:1 | 2nd 43:13 |  |
| 19:9,22 26:25 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 27:5,15,18,19 | $\frac{0}{0016280054: 16}$ | $301 \cdot 750 \cdot 2$ |  |
| 28:2,17,25 | 0016280054.16 |  |  |
| 30:23 31:10,17 | 07976 1:9 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { 30XI 54:16 } \\ \mathbf{3 6 5} \text { 6:22 } \end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32: 3,7,13 \\ & 33: 1340: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 365 6:22 |  |
| WITNESS 3:2 | 11:6 | 4 |  |
| 20:11 38:5 | 1,000 40:13 | 40-foot 39:24 |  |
| 39:3 | 10:30 6:1,3,5,9 | 45 42:2 50:3,6 |  |
| Wittig 46:3 | 10:40 53:18 10th 10:25 11.1 | 51:20 |  |
| word 4:25 | 10th 10:25 11:1 | 4th 43:1,2 44:4 |  |
| wording 35:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 2012: 1 \\ & 13: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 2147: 6 \\ & 48: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 38:17 | $1237: 8,11$ |  |  |
| words 16:23 <br> work 9.21 46:9 | $120 \text { 34:25 35:7 }$ | 5 |  |
| work 9:21 $46: 9$ $46: 12$ | 36:4 50:4,8 | 540:10 |  |
| works 46:10 | 120-foot 30:11 | 529 6:24 |  |
| 51:22,23,24 | $1307: 2$ | 5th 43:3 |  |
| wouldn't 13:6 | 140-feet 36:1 | 6 |  |
| 44:20 | 140-foot 26:21 | 6:30 42:6 |  |
| wound 4:23 | 1541:11,12 | 642-4299 1:24 |  |
| writing 51:9 | 17-18 1:4 | 650 6:22 |  |
| 52:9 <br> wrong 9.4 | $175 \text { 33:20 }$ |  |  |
| wrong 9:4 16:24 | $\text { 18th } 48: 4,7,25$ | 7 |  |
| 22:2 | $49: 8,2250: 13$ | 745:20 52:25 |  |
| X | 50:15,22 51:21 | 7-40 |  |
| X 1:6 3:1,9 |  | 8 |  |
| Y | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2,000 } 41: 6 \\ & \text { 20 } 37: 1746: 17 \\ & \text { 20-foot } 39: 16 \\ & \text { 2019 } 14: 21 \\ & \mathbf{2 0 2 1} 1: 7 \\ & \mathbf{2 1} 1: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8 } 1: 53: 4 \\ & \text { 8-feet } 40: 1 \\ & \text { 80 } 35: 6 \\ & \text { 8th } 48: 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| year 10:24 |  |  |  |
| years 52:13 |  |  |  |
| York 1:4 |  |  |  |
| Z |  | 9 |  |
| Z |  |  |  |

